## **Cubical Abstract Machine**

Jon Sterling and Kuen-Bang Hou (Favonia)

June 30, 2017

$$\begin{array}{lll} M,A & ::= & a \mid \mathbb{x}[\vec{r};\vec{M}] \mid \mathsf{bool} \mid \mathsf{wbool} \mid \mathbb{S}^1 \mid \langle M,N \rangle \mid \mathsf{fst}(M) \mid \mathsf{snd}(M) \mid \lambda x.M \mid M(N) \mid \langle x \rangle M \mid M @ r \\ & & \mathsf{coe}_{x.A}^{r \sim r'}(M) \mid \mathsf{hcom}_A^{r \sim r'}(M; \overline{\xi} \hookrightarrow y.\overline{N}) \mid \mathsf{fcom}^{r \sim r'}(M; \overline{\xi} \hookrightarrow y.\overline{N}) \\ & & \mathsf{com}_{x.A}^{r \sim r'}(M; \overline{\xi} \hookrightarrow y.\overline{N}) \mid \dots & \mathsf{(terms)} \\ K & ::= & \mathsf{coe}_{\square}^{r \sim r'}(M) \mid \square(N) \mid \mathsf{fst}(\square) \mid \mathsf{snd}(\square) \mid \square @ r \mid \mathsf{hcom}_{\square}^{r \sim r'}(M; \overline{\xi} \hookrightarrow y.\overline{N}) \mid \dots & \mathsf{(continuations)} \\ C & ::= & M \lhd \mathcal{E} & & \mathsf{(closures)} \\ \mathcal{E} & ::= & & (\underline{\delta}, \gamma, \psi) & & \mathsf{(environments)} \\ \emptyset & ::= & & \underline{x} \hookrightarrow \overline{x}; \overline{a}.M \lhd \mathcal{E} & & \mathsf{(meta\ env.)} \\ \gamma & ::= & & \overline{a} \hookrightarrow C & & \mathsf{(dimension\ env.)} \\ f & ::= & & (K, \mathcal{E}, \Psi) & & \mathsf{(frames)} \\ \pi & ::= & & \cdot \mid f :: \pi & & \mathsf{(stacks)} \\ \mathcal{C} & ::= & & \langle M \lhd \mathcal{E} \mid \pi \rangle & & \mathsf{(states)} \end{array}$$

This machine is similar to both Krivine's environment machine and the CEK machine. To be honest, Jon Sterling is not really sure of what delineates these from each other, except that they usually seem to be call-by-name and call-by-value respectively. Ours is call-by-name.

Stack frames and machine states A stack frame  $(K, \mathcal{E}, \Psi)$  represents a continuation whose hole ranges over a binder of  $\Psi$  dimensions. For a stack  $\pi$ , let  $\vec{\Psi}$  be the aggregation of all the dimension bindings  $\Psi$  mentioned in  $\pi$ ; then the extension of a stack  $(K, \mathcal{E}, \Phi) :: \pi$  is wellformed when  $\mathcal{E}$  is an environment for  $\mathbf{FD}(K) \setminus \vec{\Psi}$ ; moreover, K is allowed to mention the dimensions in  $\vec{\Psi}$ .

A machine state  $\langle M \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel \pi \rangle$ , where  $\vec{\Psi}$  is the aggregation of dimension bindings in  $\pi$ , is wellformed when  $\mathcal{E}$  is an environment for  $\mathbf{FD}(M) \setminus \vec{\Psi}$ ; moreover, M is allowed to mention the dimensions in  $\vec{\Psi}$ .

**Selected transition rules** We define a transition judgment  $C \succ_{\mu} C'$  with  $\mu$  ranging over the following possible modes:

- 1. , denoting a cubically stable transition
- 2. •, denoting a non-cubically stable transition

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{C} \succ_{\square} \mathcal{C}'$  then  $\mathcal{C} \succ_{\bullet} \mathcal{C}'$ .

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}(a) \equiv M \triangleleft \mathcal{E}'}{\langle a \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel \pi \rangle \succ_{\square} \langle M \triangleleft \mathcal{E}' \parallel \pi \rangle} \qquad \frac{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \vec{x}; \vec{a}.N \triangleleft \mathcal{E}' \qquad \mathcal{E}'' \triangleq [\mathcal{E}', \overline{a \hookrightarrow M \triangleleft \mathcal{E}}, \overline{x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}(r)}]}{\langle \mathbf{x} [\vec{r}; \vec{M}] \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel \pi \rangle \succ_{\square} \langle N \triangleleft \mathcal{E}'' \parallel \pi \rangle}$$

$$\overline{\langle M(N) \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel \pi \rangle \succ_{\square} \langle M \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\square(N), \mathcal{E}, []) :: \pi \rangle}$$

$$\overline{\langle \lambda a.M \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\square(N), \mathcal{E}', []) :: \pi \rangle \succ_{\square} \langle M \triangleleft [\mathcal{E}, a \hookrightarrow N \triangleleft \mathcal{E}'] \parallel \pi \rangle}$$

The rules for coercions are more difficult, but using second-order substitutions we can account for them without needing to force closures.

$$\overline{\langle \operatorname{coe}_{x,A}^{r \sim r'}(M) \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{\square}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \overline{\langle \operatorname{bool} \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{\square}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{bool} \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{\square}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{\square}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{\square}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{coe}_{x,x[x]}^{r \sim r'}(M), \mathcal{E}', [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{d} A), [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{d} A), [x]) :: \pi \rangle} \\ \nearrow_{\square} \langle \operatorname{d} A \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (\operatorname{d} A$$

$$\overline{\left\langle \operatorname{bool} \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \, \| \, \left( \operatorname{hcom}_{\square}^{r \leadsto r'} (M; \overline{\xi \hookrightarrow y.N}), \mathcal{E}', [] \right) :: \pi \right\rangle \succ_{\square} \langle M \triangleleft \mathcal{E}' \, \| \, \pi \rangle} }$$

$$\overline{\left\langle \operatorname{wbool} \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \, \| \, \left( \operatorname{hcom}_{\square}^{r \leadsto r'} (M; \overline{\xi \hookrightarrow y.N}), \mathcal{E}', [] \right) :: \pi \right\rangle \succ_{\square} \langle \operatorname{fcom}^{r \leadsto r'} (M; \overline{\xi \hookrightarrow y.N}) \triangleleft \mathcal{E}' \, \| \, \pi \rangle} }$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}'' \triangleq [\mathcal{E}', \mathbf{x} \hookrightarrow a.B \triangleleft \mathcal{E}]}$$

$$\overline{\left\langle (x:A) \rightarrow B \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \, \| \, \left( \operatorname{hcom}_{\square}^{r \leadsto r'} (M; \overline{\xi_i \hookrightarrow y.N_i}), \mathcal{E}', [] \right) :: \pi \right\rangle \succ_{\square} \langle \lambda a.\operatorname{hcom}_{\mathbf{x}[a]}^{r \leadsto r'} (M(a); \overline{\xi_i \hookrightarrow y.N_i(a)}) \triangleleft \mathcal{E}'' \, \| \, \pi \rangle} }$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}'' \triangleq [\operatorname{bcom}_{\mathbf{x}}^{r \leadsto s} (\operatorname{fst}(M); \overline{\xi_i \hookrightarrow y.\operatorname{fst}(N_i)}) \quad R \triangleq \operatorname{com}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}[\mathbf{z}]}^{r \leadsto r'} (\operatorname{snd}(M); \overline{\xi_i \hookrightarrow y.\operatorname{snd}(N_i)}) }$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}'' \triangleq [\mathcal{E}', \mathbf{x} \hookrightarrow .A \triangleleft \mathcal{E}] \quad \mathcal{E}''' \triangleq [\mathcal{E}'', \mathbf{y} \hookrightarrow z.B \triangleleft [\mathcal{E}, a \hookrightarrow L_z \triangleleft \mathcal{E}'']]} }$$

$$\overline{\left\langle (a:A) \times B \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \, \| \, \left( \operatorname{hcom}_{\square}^{r \leadsto r'} (M; \overline{\xi_i \hookrightarrow y.N_i}), \mathcal{E}', [] \right) :: \pi \right\rangle \succ_{\square} \langle \langle L_{r'}, R \rangle \triangleleft \mathcal{E}''' \, \| \, \pi \rangle} }$$

$$\underline{H \triangleq \operatorname{hcom}_{\mathbf{x}[\mathbf{x}]}^{r \leadsto r'} (M @ x; \overline{x = \epsilon \hookrightarrow y.y_\epsilon}, \overline{\xi_i \hookrightarrow y.N_i @ x}) \quad \mathcal{E}'' \triangleq [\mathcal{E}', \mathbf{x} \hookrightarrow x.A \triangleleft \mathcal{E}, \overline{y_\epsilon \hookrightarrow .P_\epsilon \triangleleft \mathcal{E}}] }$$

$$\overline{\left\langle \operatorname{path}_{x.A}(P_0; P_1) \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \, \| \, \left( \operatorname{hcom}_{\square}^{r \leadsto r'} (M; \overline{\xi_i \hookrightarrow y.N_i}), \mathcal{E}', [] \right) :: \pi \right\rangle \succ_{\square} \langle \langle x \rangle H \triangleleft \mathcal{E}'' \, \| \, \pi \rangle} }$$

**Unloading the machine** We can unload the machine at any time; this is useful if we are computing an open term and hit a variable.

$$\frac{\langle K[\Psi.M[\mathcal{E}]] \triangleleft \mathcal{E}' \parallel \pi \rangle \Longrightarrow N}{\langle M \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel \cdot \rangle \Longrightarrow M[\mathcal{E}]} \qquad \frac{\langle K[\Psi.M[\mathcal{E}]] \triangleleft \mathcal{E}' \parallel \pi \rangle \Longrightarrow N}{\langle M \triangleleft \mathcal{E} \parallel (K[\square], \mathcal{E}', \Psi) :: \pi \rangle \Longrightarrow N}$$

But using Favonia's Thought, we can come up with something more efficient. This naïve version is rather appalling.