# Technical Report: ecommerce\_books

# Author: Jonathan Castellanos

# August 8, 2025

# Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Objectives	2
3	Scope	2
4	Assumptions	9
5	Limitations	9
6	Methodology6.1 Visual Story Mapping6.2 Requirements and User Stories6.3 Business Process Modeling (BPMN)6.4 Causal Loop Diagram6.5 Stock and Flow Diagram6.6 Architecture Design6.7 Class Diagram6.8 Data Modeling	3 3 6 6 6 6 6 7
7	Results	7
8	Unit Test           8.1 Test Design	7 10
9	Integration Test 9.1 Test Design	10 10 12
10	System Test           10.1 Test Design	12 12 12
11	Discussion	13
<b>12</b>	Conclusion	13
	Images	1.5

#### Abstract

Your abstract.

#### 1 Introduction

This document presents the technical report for the development of the project **ecommerce\_books**, a web-based bookstore application. The goal of the application is to serve a small business with an estimated traffic of 100 users per day. This first version aims to register and log in customers, manage product listings, inventory control, and sales operations.

Background

# 2 Objectives

The primary objectives of this project include:

- Provide a user-friendly web interface for book shopping.
- Implement a secure authentication mechanism for users.
- Allow inventory and product management.
- Create a sales register.
- Improve sales volume with solution.

#### 3 Scope

The scope of this project was limited to the design and implementation of the fundamental modules for an online bookstore application (**ecommerce\_books**). This includes:

- User registration and authentication
- Product management (add books)
- Inventory control
- Sales register
- Basic interfaces

The application was built as a functional prototype to demonstrate core functionalities necessary for a minimal viable product (MVP).

The project **excludes** advanced features such as:

- Payment gateway integration
- User reviews or recommendations
- Order tracking
- Full e-commerce analytics

This focus helped to concentrate development efforts on building a reliable base infrastructure.

## 4 Assumptions

The following assumptions were made during the planning and development of this project:

- The application is intended to serve a small business with max 100 users per day.
- The system will be deployed in a controlled environment with limited traffic spikes.
- Only one administrator manages the backend operations.
- Data used for testing represents realistic scenarios.
- Security mechanisms are limited to JWT-based authentication for the MVP stage.
- The system is expected to run on a single server instance.

These assumptions influenced the architectural and technological choices made during the first development phase.

#### 5 Limitations

This project faced several limitations, primarily due to resource constraints:

- **Team size:** The project was developed entirely by a single developer, which constrained the implementation timeline and scope.
- **Time:** The available time allowed only the development of essential modules for the MVP. Features such as scalability optimization are planned for later phases.
- UI/UX: Frontend design was kept minimal and functional, with limited focus on user experience aesthetics.
- External integrations: No third-party payment or shipping services were included in this version.

Despite these limitations, the core business logic and application structure were implemented successfully, providing a strong foundation for future improvements.

#### 6 Methodology

This project followed a agile methodology, which included the following key phases:

#### 6.1 Visual Story Mapping

To visualize the product journey, a Story Map was created to group features by user activity for create user hisroty. (Figure 1: Visual Story Map)

#### 6.2 Requirements and User Stories

Requirements were collected based on the needs of a small business looking to digitalize its book sales. The core user stories defined were:

Code	HU-001		
Priority	Medium		
Story Points	3		
User Story	As an administrator, I want to create a new product by entering		
	its information, so that it can be available in the system.		
Acceptance Cri-			
teria	<ul> <li>When the user enters the name, description, price, and initial quantity, then the product is saved in the database.</li> <li>When the product is created, the system responds with the product information.</li> </ul>		
Alternative Flow	<ul> <li>Given that the information is incomplete or invalid, then the system returns an error.</li> <li>When a database error occurs while saving the product, then the system returns a 500 error.</li> </ul>		

Table 1: User Story HU-001 – Create Product

Code	HU-002
Priority	Medium
Story Points	3
User Story	As an administrator, I want to update the inventory of a product
	so that the available quantity reflects the actual stock.
Acceptance Cri-	
teria	• Given the user sends a quantity for an existing product, then the system updates the inventory accordingly.
	• When the inventory is updated, the system responds with the new stock.
Alternative Flow	
	• Given the user updates the information and the product does not exist, then the system returns an error.
	• Given the user updates the information and the quantity is not a positive number, then the system returns an error.
	• When a database error occurs while updating the inventory, then the system returns a 500 error.

Table 2: User Story HU-002 – Update Inventory

Code	HU-003	
Priority	Medium	
Story Points	5	
User Story	As a logged-in user, I want to purchase products from the system	
	so that they can be shipped to my address.	
Acceptance Cri-		
teria	• Given that the user is authenticated, selects an existing product, and provides a quantity, the system validates the stock and deducts the correct amount.	
	• Given a purchase is made and the user has a shipping address, then a shipment is registered.	
Alternative Flow		
	• If the user is not authenticated, then the system returns an error.	
	$\bullet$ If there is insufficient stock, then the system returns an error.	
	• If a database error occurs while updating inventory or registering the shipment, then the system returns a 500 error.	

Table 3: User Story HU-003 – Purchase Products

Code	HU-004	
Priority	High	
Story Points	3	
User Story	As a new user, I want to register in the system so that I can make	
	purchases.	
Acceptance Cri-		
teria	• Given the user fills the form with a valid name, unique email (with '@' and '.'), valid password (minimum 8 alphanumeric characters), and valid shipping address, then the system registers the user.	
Alternative Flow		
	• If the email is already registered, then the system returns an error.	
	• If the password does not meet the criteria, then the system returns an error.	
	• If a database error occurs while saving the user, then the system returns a 500 error.	

Table 4: User Story HU-004 - User Registration

Code	HU-005	
Priority	High	
Story Points	3	
User Story	As a registered user, I want to log into the system so that I can	
	access it.	
Acceptance Cri-		
teria	• Given the user fills the login form with a valid email and password, then the system authenticates the user.	
Alternative Flow		
	• If the credentials are incorrect, then the system returns an error.	
	• If the user fails to log in after 3 attempts, then the system locks the account.	
	• If a database error occurs during login, then the system returns a 500 error.	

Table 5: User Story HU-005 – User Login

## 6.3 Business Process Modeling (BPMN)

A BPMN diagram was developed to describe the four main workflows. Register/log in, purchase products, create products, and update inventory. This was primarily used to identify the components in which each role will act. (Figure 2: BPMN Diagram)

#### 6.4 Causal Loop Diagram

A causal loop diagram was created to identify feedback loops within the system, such as the relationship between book sales, software investment, and user ratings. This was done to understand which needs our service must address in order to improve and grow the business. (Figure 3: Casual Loop Diagram)

User rating features are expected to be implemented in the future.

#### 6.5 Stock and Flow Diagram

The flowchart and stock diagram models the dynamics of inventory, specifically how stock is added and removed through user purchases. (Figure 4: Stock And Flow Diagram)

This allows us to understand how the system works and optimize inventory management in future implementations.

#### 6.6 Architecture Design

The application's conceptual design was guided by domain-oriented principles. A layered architecture was used, dividing the system into four main layers: Presentation, Service, Business Logic, and Data. This decision was made based on the non-functional requirements of the initial implementation, which considered a low user base, in addition to implementing modules that address basic system functionality. The choice of this architecture also allowed for reduced development time and costs, considering staffing limitations. (Figure 5: Architecture Diagram)

#### 6.7 Class Diagram

The class diagram defines the main entities and their relationships. (Figure 6: Class Diagram)

### 6.8 Data Modeling

The following entities were identified for the initial implementation of the service. (Figure 7: Entity-relation Diagram)

For the design of this solution, a relational database was chosen due to its ability to represent the domain's key entities in a structured and consistent manner. Relationships require high integrity; therefore, an SQL database allows us to easily address this. Finally, the database supports ACID transactions, which are vital for maintaining data consistency, especially in inventory and sales management. (Figure 8: Database Diagram)

#### 7 Results

- A fully functional prototype of the ecommerce application was developed.
- Integration tests confirmed the correctness of:
  - User creation and login flows
  - Book creation and inventory management
  - Sales recording endpoints
- Full application integration (Frontend, Backend, Database)

Image basic view (Figure 9: First look layout)

#### 8 Unit Test

## 8.1 Test Design

Table 6: Unit Test Design for InventoryBusiness Class

Test ID	Test Objective	Test Input	Expected Output
TC01	Verify successful	'{ "idProduct": 1, "quantity": 5	Returns the same data
	inventory update when	}'	after mock update is
	valid data is provided		called
TC02	Verify behavior when	'{ "quantity": 5 }'	Raises 'ValueError': "The
	'idProduct' is missing		field 'idProduct' is
	from input data		required."
TC03	Verify behavior when	'{ "idProduct": 1, "quantity":	Raises 'ValueError': "The
	quantity is negative	-3 }'	'quantity' must be a
			non-negative integer."
TC04	Simulate database error	'{ "idProduct": 1, "quantity": 5	Raises 'Exception': "DB
	during inventory update	}' with DB mock raising	error"
		exception	
TC05	Verify successful retrieval	'product $id = 1$ ' with mock	Returns '{ "idProduct":
	of inventory by product	returning data	1, "quantity": 20 }'
	ID	_	
TC06	Validate behavior with	'product id = $-10$ '	Raises 'ValueError': "The
	invalid product ID		'product id' is not valid"
	(negative)		
TC07	Simulate database error	'product id = 1' with mock	Raises 'Exception': "DB
	during inventory fetch	raising exception	error"

Table 7: Unit Test Design for ProductBusiness Class

Test ID	Test Objective	Test Input	Expected Output
TC01	Verify successful product	{ "name": "Laptop", "price":	Returns the same data
	creation with valid data	1200.0, "description": "Gaming	and calls the create
		laptop" }	function once
TC02	Validate behavior when	{ "price": 100.0, "description":	Raises 'ValueError': "The
	'name' is missing	"Item" }	field 'name' is required."
TC03	Validate behavior when	{ "name": "Pen", "price":	Raises 'ValueError': "The
	'price' is not a number	"cheap", "description": "Blue	field 'price' must be a
		ink" }	number"
TC04	Simulate a persistence	{ "name": "TV", "price":	Raises 'Exception': "DB
	error during product	500.0, "description": "Smart	Error"
	creation	TV" } with mock raising	
		exception	
TC05	Verify successful retrieval	'product id = 1' with mocked	Returns { "id": 1,
	of product by ID	return of a product	"name": "Mouse",
			"price": 25.0,
			"description": "Wireless"
			}
TC06	Validate behavior when	'product $id = -9$ '	Raises 'ValueError':
	product ID is invalid		"Product ID is not valid."
	(negative)		
TC07	Validate behavior when	'product id = 999' with mock	Raises 'ValueError':
	product not found by ID	returning 'None'	"Product not found."
TC08	Simulate persistence error	'product id = 1' with mock	Raises 'Exception': "DB
	during get by ID	raising exception	Failure"
TC09	Verify successful retrieval	No input; mock returns list of	Returns { { "id": 1,
	of all products	products	"name": "Keyboard" }, {
			"id": 2, "name":
			"Monitor" } }
TC10	Simulate persistence error	No input; mock raises exception	Raises 'Exception':
	during retrieval of all		"Read error"
	products		

Table 8: Unit Test Design for PurchaseService Class

Test ID	Test Objective	Test Input	Expected Output
TC01	Verify successful product	{ "idProduct": 1, "idUser": 2,	Returns a dict with
	purchase with all valid	"quantity": 3 }, with mocks for	"status": "AC" and
	inputs	product, inventory, update, and	"total": 300.0"
		create methods	
TC02	Validate behavior when	{ "idUser": 2, "quantity": 3 }	Returns a dict with
	'idProduct' is missing		"error": "'idProduct' is
			required."
TC03	Validate behavior when	{ "idProduct": 1, "idUser": 2,	Returns a dict with
	'quantity' is not an	"quantity": "five" }	"error": "'quantity' must
	integer		be of type ¡class 'int'¿." '
TC04	Validate behavior when	{ "idProduct": 1, "idUser": 2,	Raises 'ValueError': "The
	'quantity' is less than 1	"quantity": 0 }	'quantity' must be a
			non-negative integer."

Test ID	Test Objective	Test Input	Expected Output
TC05	Simulate missing product	{ "idProduct": 1, "idUser": 2,	Raises 'ValueError':
	in database	"quantity": 3 } with mock	"Product not found."
		raising 'ValueError("Product	
		not found.")	
TC06	Validate behavior when	{ "idProduct": 1, "idUser": 2,	Raises 'ValueError':
	inventory is insufficient	"quantity": 10 } with product	"Insufficient inventory
		and inventory mocked;	quantity for this
		inventory quantity $= 5$	purchase."
TC07	Simulate persistence	{ "idProduct": 1, "idUser": 2,	Raises 'Exception': "DB
	failure during purchase	"quantity": 2 } with mocks and	failure"
	creation	'create purchase' raising	
		exception	

Table 9: Unit Test Design for UserBusiness Class

Test ID	Test Objective	Test Input	Expected Output
TC01	Verify successful user	{ "username": "john", "email":	Returns { "message":
	registration with valid	"john@example.com",	"User successfully
	data	"password": "securepass",	registered" } and calls
		"direction": "123 Main St" }	'create user' once
TC02	Validate behavior when	{ "email":	Raises 'ValueError':
	'username' is missing	"john@example.com",	"Missing field: username"
	during registration	"password": "securepass",	
		"direction": "123 Main St" }	
TC03	Validate behavior when	{ "username": "john", "email":	Raises 'ValueError':
	email is already registered	"john@example.com",	"Email is already
		"password": "securepass",	registered"
		"direction": "123 Main St" }	
		with 'get user by email'	
		returning a user	
TC04	Simulate persistence error	Same input as TC01 with	Raises 'Exception': "DB
	during registration	'create user' raising	Error"
		'Exception("DB Error")'	
TC05	Verify successful user	Valid 'username' and	Returns a dictionary
	login with correct	'password', user found and	containing a 'token'
	credentials	password matches	
TC06	Validate behavior when	{ "password": "pass123" }	Raises 'ValueError':
	'username' is missing		"Missing field: username"
	during login		
TC07	Validate login with	{ "username": "unknown",	Raises 'ValueError':
	non-existent username	"password": "somepass" } with	"Invalid username or
		'get user by username' returning	password"
		'None'	
TC08	Validate login with	Valid 'username' with hashed	Raises 'ValueError':
	incorrect password	password mismatch	"Invalid username or
			password"
TC09	Simulate persistence error	Valid input with 'get user by	Raises 'Exception': "DB
	during login	username' raising	Fail"
		'Exception("DB Fail")'	

# 8.2 Test execution

- Inventory test (Figure 10: Inventory test)
- Product test (Figure 11: Product test)
- Purchase test (Figure 12: Purchase test)
- User test (Figure 13: User test)

# 9 Integration Test

# 9.1 Test Design

Table 10: Integration Test Design from Postman Collection

Test ID	Test Objective	Test Input	Expected Output
TI01	Verify the backend	Method: GET	200 OK, response
	responds to a basic GET	URL: {{baseURL}}/	message such as "Hello
	request at root endpoint		World"
TI02	Test user registration	Method: POST	201 Created, message
	with valid credentials	$URL: \{\{baseURL\}\}/api/users$	"User successfully
		/register	registered"
		Body: { "username":	
		"admin", "password":	
		"admin", "email":	
		"admin@gmail.com",	
		"direction": "Cra 1 # 2 -	
		3" }	
TI03	Validate behavior when	Method: POST	400 Created, message
	'email' is missing during	$URL: \{\{baseURL\}\}/api/users$	"detail": "Missing field:
	register	/register	email"
		Body: { "username":	
		"admin", "password":	
		"admin", "direction": "Cra	
		1 # 2 - 3" }	
TI04	Validate behavior when	Method: POST	400 Created, message
	'email' registered	$URL: \{\{baseURL\}\}/api/users$	"Email is already
		/register	registered"
		Body: { "username":	
		"admin2", "password":	
		"admin2", "email":	
		"admin@gmail.com",	
		"direction": "Cra 1 # 2 -	
TDTO F		3" }	200 01 ( " ) "
TI05	Test login with correct	Method: POST	200 Ok, { "token":
	credentials	URL:	"eyJh" }
		{{baseURL}}/api/users/login	
		Body: { "username":	
		"admin", "password":	
		"admin" }	

Test ID	Test Objective	Test Input	Expected Output
TI06	Validate behavior when	Method: POST	400 Bad Request,
	'password' is missing	URL:	message "Missing field:
	during login	{{baseURL}}/api/users/login	password"
		Body: { "username":	
T107	Test logic with incomest	"admin" } Method: POST	401 Unauthorized armon
TI07	Test login with incorrect password	URL:	401 Unauthorized, error "Invalid username or
	password	{{baseURL}}/api/users/login	password"
		Body: { "username":	password
		"admin", "password":	
		"adminBad" }	
Ti08	Test product creation	Method: POST	201 Created, returns
	with valid data	URL:	created product with ID
		{{baseURL}}/api/products/	_
		Body: { "name": "Product	
		3", "price": 50000.50,	
		"description":	
		"Description 3" }	
Ti09	Validate behavior when	Method: POST	400 Bad request, message
	'description' is missing	URL:	"The field 'description' is
	during create product	{{baseURL}}/api/products/	required"
		Body: { "name": "Product 3", "price": 50000.50 }	
TI10	Test updating inventory	Method: PUT	200 OK, return {
1110	with valid values	URL:	"idProduct": 1,
	with valid values	{{baseURL}}/api/inventory	"quantity": 50 }
		/update	quantity: 55 j
		Body: { "idProduct": 1,	
		"quantity": 50 }	
TI11	Validate behavior when	Method: PUT	400 Bad Request,
	'quantity' is missing	URL:	message "The field
	during update inventory	$\{\{baseURL\}\}/api/inventory$	'quantity' is required"
		/update	
		Body: { "idProduct": 1 }	
TI12	Validate behavior when	Method: PUT	400 Bad Request,
	'quantity' have bad value	URL:	message "The 'quantity'
	during update inventory	{{baseURL}}/api/inventory / /update	must be a non-negative integer"
		Body: { "idProduct": 1,	Integer
		"quantity": -5 }	
TI13	Test purchasing a	Method: POST	200 OK, return {
	product with valid data	URL:	"idProduct": 1,
		{{baseURL}}/api/purchase/	"idUser": 1,
		Body: { "idProduct": 1,	"quantity": 5,
		"idUser": 1, "quantity":	"total": 50000,
		5 }	"status": "AC" }
TI14	Validate behavior when	Method: POST	400 Bad Request,
	'quantity' is missing	URL:	message "The 'quantity'
	during purchase	{{baseURL}}/api/purchase/	is required"
		Body: { "idProduct": 1,	
		"idUser": 1 }	

Test ID	Test Objective	Test Input	Expected Output
TI15	Validate behavior when	Method: POST	400 Bad Request,
	'quantity' have bad value	URL:	message "The 'quantity'
	during purchase	$\{\{ exttt{baseURL}\}\}$ /api/purchase/	must be a non-negative
		Body: { "idProduct": 1,	integer"
		"idUser": 1, "quantity":	
		<b>-6</b> }	
TI16	Validate behavior when	Method: POST	400 Bad Request,
	inventory is insufficient	URL:	message "Insufficient
	during purchase	$\{\{ exttt{baseURL}\}\}$ /api/purchase/	inventory quantity for
		Body: { "idProduct": 1,	this purchase"
		"idUser": 1, "quantity":	
		100 }	
TI17	Retrieve all products	Method: GET	200 OK, returns a list of
	from catalog	URL:	available products
		{{baseURL}}/api/products/	

### 9.2 Test execution

For integration tests, we opted to use API Test. The tests are located in the Postman collection within the project. (Figure 14: TI postman execution)

# 10 System Test

# 10.1 Test Design

Table 11: Stress Test Design

Test ID	Test Objective	Test Input	Expected Output
ST01	Evaluate system behavior	100 users performing	Response time remains
	under 100 concurrent	authenticated POST requests to	under 2 seconds for 95%
	purchase operations	'/purchase', each with valid	of requests; error rate
		product ID and quantity of 1–3	below 2%
ST02	Evaluate login stability	300 users sending POST	At least 95% of valid
	under high concurrency	requests to '/login', using both	logins succeed with
		valid and invalid credentials	response time ; 1.5s;
			invalid logins return
			correct 401 error; no
			system crash
ST03	Stress test on products	100 users simultaneously	System handles
	view	requesting products via GET to	concurrent requests
		'/products'	without timeouts or
			memory overload; 90% of
			responses returned within
			3 seconds

### 10.2 Test execution

JMeter was used to run the stress tests. All three tests were completed successfully, responding to all requests with correct statuses within the established timeframes. (Figure 15: System test)




Figure 1: Visual Story Map

#### 11 Discussion

The results obtained from the integration test collection demonstrate a comprehensive and structured approach to evaluating API functionality and reliability. The Postman collection organizes requests into well-defined folders representing different API modules, facilitating modular testing and ease of use.

The tests cover a range of scenarios, including successful requests, error handling, and edge cases. This indicates a correct validation of software.

Overall, the implementation met all expectations for this first phase. However, there are areas where improvements could be made. Several features were mentioned in the design that should be implemented in the future to meet all business needs.

#### 12 Conclusion

In conclusion, the analyzed tests represent a well-designed and coded solution. Key findings indicate that the test suite effectively covers functional requirements and error conditions. It provides a reliable framework for validating system behavior in various scenarios. The clear organization of requests and the automation of test validations contribute to the efficiency and consistency of the testing process.




Figure 2: BPMN Diagram




Figure 3: Casual Loop Diagram

# 13 Images




Figure 4: Stock And Flow Diagram




Figure 5: Architecture Diagram




Figure 6: Class Diagram




Figure 7: Entity-relation Diagram




Figure 8: Database Diagram




Figure 9: First look layout

Figure 10: Inventory test

Figure 11: Product test

Figure 12: Purchase test

Figure 13: User test




Figure 14: TI postman execution




Figure 15: Stress Test