

[Question PDF](#)

Do the following written exercises. If you hand-write your work, do so neatly.
Work individually.

(1) Algorithmic complexity

For full credit, show your work for each problem—not just the answer. [Two points each.]

Suppose we have an algorithm with a runtime of $f(n) = n(2^n)$ for a problem size of n .

(1a) What is $f(2n)/f(n)$? Simplify as much as possible.

$$\begin{aligned} & f(2n) / f(n) \\ & \frac{f(2n)}{f(n)} = \frac{(2n) * 2^n}{2^{(2n)}} = \frac{2 * 2^{(2n)}}{2^n} = \frac{2^{2n+1}}{2^n} = 2^{n+1} * 2^{-n} = 2^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

(1b) What is $f(n+1) - f(n)$? Simplify as much as possible.

$$\begin{aligned} f(n+1) - f(n) &= (n+1)2^{n+1} - (n)2^n = (n)2^{n+1} + (1)2^{n+1} - (n)2^n \\ &= (n)2^{n+1} + 2^{n+1} - (n)2^n \end{aligned}$$

(1c) What is the largest integer n such that $f(n) \leq 1,000$?

I know 2^n doubles each time and n increases by 1 each time

I know $2^{10} = 1024$, so n has to be well under 10

$$f(9) = 2048$$

$$f(8) = 4608$$

$$f(7) = 896$$

$$896$$

(1d) What is the largest integer n such that $f(n) \leq 10,000$?

From the previous problem we know $f(9) = 4608$

Because it at least doubles each time we know $f(11) > 4 * f(9) > 10,000$

now we will try $f(10) = 10240$

So it has to be $f(9)$ since $f(9) = 4608$

4608

(1e) What is the smallest integer n such that $f(n + 1) - f(n) \geq 5000$?

From before we know $f(n + 1) - f(n) = (n)2^{n+1} + 2^{n+1} - (n)2^n$

From before we also know $f(9) = 4608$, we will use this as a starting point.

let $g(n) = f(n + 1) - f(n)$

$g(9) = 5632$, This is too big.

$g(8) = 2560$, This is just right.

2560

(2) Algorithmic complexity

For full credit, show your work for each problem—not just the answer. [Two points each.]

Now suppose we have an algorithm with a runtime of $g(n) = n \log_2 n$.

$$\log_2(x) = y \iff 2^y = x$$

$$\log(cx) = \log(c) + \log(x)$$

(2a) What is $g(2n)/g(n)$? Simplify as much as possible.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g(2n)}{g(n)} &= \frac{2n * \log_2(2n)}{n * \log_2(n)} = \frac{2 * \log_2(2n)}{\log_2(n)} = \frac{2 * (\log_2(n) + (\log_2(2)))}{\log_2(n)} = \\ &= \frac{2 * (\log_2(n) + 1)}{\log_2(n)} = \frac{2\log_2(n)+2}{\log_2(n)} = \frac{2\log_2(n)}{\log_2(n)} + \frac{2}{\log_2(n)} = 2 + \frac{2}{\log_2(n)} \end{aligned}$$

(2b) What is $g(2n) - g(n)$? Simplify as much as possible.

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(2n) - g(n) &= 2n * \log_2(2n) - n * \log_2(n) = 2n * \log_2(2n) - n * \log_2(n) \\
 &= 2n(\log_2(2) + \log_2(n)) - \log_2(2n) = 2n(1 + \log_2(n)) - \log_2(2n) = \\
 &2n + 2n * \log_2(n) - \log_2(2n) = n * \log_2(n) + 2n
 \end{aligned}$$

(2c1) What is the largest integer n such that $g(2n)/g(n) \leq 2.5$?

Because $\log_2(n)$ is a logarithm, it can't be negative.

Because $\log_2(n)$ is the denominator, it can't be zero

we first have to find where $\log_2(n) > 0$

$$\log_2(0) = DNE$$

$$\log_2(1) = 0$$

$$\log_2(2) = 2$$

So n has to be at least 2

From before we know we can rewrite $\frac{g(2n)}{g(n)}$ as: $2 + \frac{2}{\log_2(n)}$

But we know that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log_2(n) = \infty$

$$\text{so } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ of } 2 + \frac{2}{\log_2(n)} = 2$$

Therefore there isn't a largest integer such that $\frac{g(2n)}{g(n)} \leq 2.5$

It might be worth noting though that the smallest integer such that $\frac{g(2n)}{g(n)} \leq 2.5$ is 16

$$\frac{g(2(15))}{g(15)} = 2 + \frac{2}{15\log_2(15)} \approx 2.512$$

$$\frac{g(2(16))}{g(16)} = 2 + \frac{2}{16 \log_2(16)} = 2 + \frac{2}{4} \approx 2.5$$

(2c2) What is the largest integer n such that $g(2n)/g(n) \geq 2.5$?

See 2c1

$$\frac{g(2(15))}{g(15)} = 2 + \frac{2}{15 \log_2(15)} \approx 2.512$$

$$\frac{g(2(16))}{g(16)} = 2 + \frac{2}{16 \log_2(16)} = 2 + \frac{2}{4} \approx 2.5$$

$$\frac{g(2(17))}{g(17)} = 2 + \frac{2}{17 \log_2(17)} \approx 2.489$$

(3) Run times

Suppose you have m circles and n squares, with $m > 0$ and $n > 0$. The time in seconds required for algorithm A to process the circles and squares is given by $g(m, n) = (m^2)(2^n)$.

Answer the following questions. [Two points each.]

(3a) Assuming that both m and n must be greater than zero, what is the largest number of circles we can process in at most 1000 seconds?

$$g(m, n) \leq 1000$$

$$m^2 2^n \leq 1000$$

We want to minimize n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $n > 0$, so $n = 1$

$$m^2 2^1 \leq 1000$$

$$2m^2 \leq 1000$$

$$m^2 \leq 500$$

$$m \leq \sqrt{1000}, \text{ note } m > 0$$

$$\sqrt{500} \approx 22.361$$

We could process at most 22 circles in 1000 seconds

(3b) Again, assuming that both m and n must be greater than zero, what is the largest number of squares we can process in at most 1000 seconds?

$$g(m, n) \leq 1000$$

$$m^2 2^n \leq 1000$$

We want to minimize m, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $m > 0$, so $m = 1$

$$1^2 2^n \leq 1000$$

$$2^n \leq 1000$$

$$\log_2(1000) \approx 9.966$$

so we could process at most 9 squares in 1000 seconds

(3c) If I double both the number of squares and the number of circles, how does the runtime change? In other words, what is $f(2m, 2n)/f(m, n)$?

$$(2m)^2 2^{(2n)} / m^2 2^n$$

$$\frac{(2m)^2 * 2^{(2n)}}{m^2 * 2^n}$$

$$\frac{4 * m^2 * 2^{2n}}{m^2 * 2^n}$$

$$\frac{4 * 2^{2n}}{2^n}$$

$$4 * 2^{2n} * 2^{-n}$$

$$4 * 2^n$$

The runtime increases by a factor of $4 * 2^n$

(3d) Suppose that the sum of the number of squares and circles that I will process is 10. What is the longest it could take to process 10 shapes, and how many of each kind of shape would this be?

we want to maximize $g(m, n)$ while $m + n = 10$

we can get m in terms of n, $m = 10 - n$

now $g(m, n) = g(n - 10, m)$

$$g(n - 10, m) = (n - 10)^2 * 2^n$$

$$(n^2 - 20n + 100) * 2^n$$

let $j(n) = (n^2 - 20n + 100) * 2^n$, we want to find the highest value for $j(n)$ such that $0 < n < 10$.

We will first check the end points $j(1) = g(1, 9) = 512$ and $j(9) = g(9, 1) = 162$.

Now suppose there was an even higher point, let's call it $j(h)$.

As n goes from 1 from h , $f(n)$ approaches $f(h)$. As n goes from h from 9, $f(n)$ approaches $f(9)$. Since $j(h) > g(1)$ and $j(h) > g(9)$, $f(n)$ would increase until it reaches h then decrease after. Therefore the point h would be where $f(n)$ went from increasing to decreasing.

We can take the derivative of $f(n)$, $f'(n)$, and solve for $f'(n) = 0$

$$f'(n) = \frac{d}{dn}((n^2 - 20n + 100) * 2^n)$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{dn}(n^2 - 20n + 100) * 2^n\right) + ((n^2 - 20n + 100) * \frac{d}{dn}2^n)$$

$$((2n - 20) * 2^n) + ((n^2 - 20n + 100) * \ln(2) * 2^n)$$

$$\text{so } f'(n) = ((2n - 20) * 2^n) + ((n^2 - 20n + 100) * \ln(2) * 2^n)$$

we want to find $f'(n) = 0$

$$((2n - 20) * 2^n) + ((n^2 - 20n + 100) * \ln(2) * 2^n) = 0$$

$$(((2n - 20)) + ((n^2 - 20n + 100) * \ln(2))) * 2^n = 0$$

Since $2^n \neq 0$ while $1 \leq n \leq 9$, the other factor has to be 0

$$2n - 20 + (n^2 - 20n + 100) * \ln(2) = 0$$

$$2n - 20 + \ln(2)n^2 - 20\ln(2)n + 100\ln(2) = 0$$

$$\ln(2)x^2 + (-20\ln(2)x + 2x) + (100\ln(2) - 20) = 0$$

Let $a = \ln(2)$, $b = 2 - 20\ln(2)$, and $c = -20 + 100\ln(2)$

We can now use the quadratic formula $\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$ to get $\frac{-2 + 10\ln(2)}{\ln(2)}$

$$\frac{-2 + 10\ln(2)}{\ln(2)} \approx 7$$

So $j(h) = j(7)$

$$j(7) = g(3, 7)$$

$$g(3, 7) = 1152$$

The longest processing time would be 1152 seconds with $m = 3$ circles and $n = 7$ squares.

(4) Asymptotic bounds

Knowing that $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are each $O(h(n))$ for some function h , show rigorously (using the definition of $O(\cdot)$) that $f(n) + g(n)$ is also $O(h(n))$.

Remember: $f(n)$ is $O(h(n))$ if there exists $c > 0$ and $n_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $f(n) \leq ch(n)$.

Since $f(n)$ is $O(h(n))$ there are constants $c_1 > 0$ and $n_1 \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_1$,
$$f(n) \leq c_1 h(n)$$

Since $g(n)$ is $O(h(n))$ there are constants $c_2 > 0$ and $n_2 \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_2$,
$$g(n) \leq c_2 h(n)$$

To prove that $f(n) + g(n)$ is also $O(h(n))$, we need to prove there are constants $c_3 > 0$ and $n_3 \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq n_3$, $f(n) + g(n) \leq c_3 h(n)$

We can write $f(n) + g(n) \leq c_1 h(n) + c_2 h(n)$

$$c_1 h(n) + c_2 h(n) = (c_1 + c_2) h(n)$$

let $c_3 = (c_1 + c_2)$

Let n_3 be a number such that $n_3 \geq n_1$ and $n_3 \geq n_2$.

for all $n \geq n_3$, $f(n) + g(n) \leq (c_1 + c_2) h(n) = c_3 h(n)$

Therefore, by the definition of $O(\cdot)$, $f(n) + g(n)$ is $O(h(n))$ with constants $c_3 = c_1 + c_2$ and n_3 such that $n_3 \geq n_1$ and $n_3 \geq n_2$.