Homework 2

Problem 1

We define the feature matrix as:

$$\mathbf{X} = [\cos x \quad \sin x \quad 1] \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes 3},$$

and the parameter vector as:

$$heta = \left[egin{array}{ccc} lpha & eta & \gamma \end{array}
ight] \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The function can be then rewritten as:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{ heta}^ op.$$

To find the optimal estimate of θ (represented as $\hat{\theta}$) as a least square problem, it can be formulated as:

$$\hat{ heta} = \mathop{\mathbf{argmin}}_{ heta} ig\| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{ heta}^ op ig\|_2^2,$$

and according to the lecture note, the closed form of solution is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}.$$

Problem 2

1.

Given $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, we have $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}) = 0$.

The closed form of solution of $\hat{ heta}$ is given by

$$\begin{split} \hat{\theta} &= (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}(\mathbf{y}^* + \mathbf{z}) \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}^* + (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{z} \\ &= \theta^* + (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{z}, \end{split}$$

therefore, we can calculate the expectation of $\hat{ heta}$ as:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\hat{ heta}) &= \mathbb{E}(heta^* + (\mathbf{X}^ op \mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^ op \mathbf{z}) \ &= heta^* + (\mathbf{X}^ op \mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^ op \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}), \end{aligned}$$

recall that we have $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}) = 0$, which leads to

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{ heta}) = heta^*.$$

To further prove the next equation, firstly utilize the given hint to reformulate the left side of the equation

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{y}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right\|_{2}^{2}) &= \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{y}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\left\|(\mathbf{y}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*}) + (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right\|_{2}^{2}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{y}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right\|_{2}^{2} - 2 < \mathbf{y}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*}, \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} >) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{y}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}) + \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right\|_{2}^{2}) - 2\mathbb{E}((\mathbf{y}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*})^{\top} \cdot (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{y}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}) + \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right\|_{2}^{2}) - 2\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{y}^{*\top}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{y}^{*\top}\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{*\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^{*\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{y}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}) + \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\right\|_{2}^{2}) - 2(\mathbf{y}^{*\top}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} - \mathbf{y}^{*\top}\mathbf{X}\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{*\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta}^{*} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^{*\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\mathbb{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})). \end{split}$$

Recall that we have already prooven that $\mathbb{E}(\hat{ heta})= heta^*$, which makes that all the terms in the 3.rd bracket cancell each other, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{y}^* - \mathbf{X}\hat{\theta}\right\|_2^2) = \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{y}^* - \mathbf{X}\theta^*\right\|_2^2) + \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{X}\theta^* - \mathbf{X}\hat{\theta}\right\|_2^2)$$
$$= \left\|\mathbf{y}^* - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}\theta^*)\right\|_2^2 + \mathbb{E}(\left\|\mathbf{X}\hat{\theta} - \mathbf{X}\theta^*\right\|_2^2)$$

Recall that we have derived the closed form of solution of $\hat{\theta}$ as $\hat{\theta} = \theta^* + (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{z}$, we can see that as $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, therefore $\hat{\theta}$ is also gaussian distributed, where the mean is by θ^* shifted.

Then we only have to focus on the second term in $\hat{\theta}$, i.e., $(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{z}$. According to the task, given $\mathbf{v} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{\Sigma})$, then $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} \sim (0, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^{\top})$. Let $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \sigma^2$, then we have for the variance

$$\sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}((\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top})^{\top} = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$

Therefore, $\hat{ heta} \sim \mathcal{N}(heta^*, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X})^{-1})$

3.

For the given equation:

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{X}\hat{ heta}-\mathbf{X} heta^*
ight\|_2^2
ight] = \sigma^2rac{d}{n} \ \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{X}\hat{ heta}-\mathbf{X} heta^*
ight\|_2^2
ight] = \sigma^2d. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let's focus on the left side of the equation

$$egin{aligned} \operatorname{left\ side} &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \mathbf{X}\hat{ heta} - \mathbf{X} heta^*, \mathbf{X}\hat{ heta} - \mathbf{X} heta^*
ight
angle
ight] \ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{X}\left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight)
ight)^{ op}\left(\mathbf{X}\left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight)
ight)
ight] \ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight)^{ op}\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X}\left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight)
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

We know that the result of a inner product has to be a scalar, thus we have for the terms inside the expectation operator

$$\left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{X}^{ op} \mathbf{X} \left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight) = \operatorname{trace} \left(\left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight)^{ op} \mathbf{X}^{ op} \mathbf{X} \left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight)
ight),$$

and therefore the expectation value can be calculated with the help of trace

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)^{\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)^{\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)\right)\right].$$

Given $\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbf{ABC}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbf{CAB}\right)\right]$, let $\mathbf{A} = \left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)^{\top}$, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$, and $\mathbf{C} = \left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)$, we can re-formulate the right side of equation above as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)^{\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*\right)^{\top}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\right)\right]$$

and according to $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{A}
ight)
ight]=\mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{A}
ight]
ight)$, the equation can be further rewritten as:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(\hat{ heta}- heta^*
ight)\left(\hat{ heta}- heta^*
ight)^{ op}\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X}
ight)
ight] = \operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{ heta}- heta^*
ight)\left(\hat{ heta}- heta^*
ight)^{ op}\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X}
ight]
ight) \ = \operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{ heta}- heta^*
ight)\left(\hat{ heta}- heta^*
ight)^{ op}
ight]\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

we can see that the term $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta^*\right)\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta^*\right)^{\top}\right]$ is the covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}$ since that $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\theta}\right]=\theta^*$, and we also had $\hat{\theta}\sim\mathcal{N}\left(\theta^*,\sigma^2\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\right)$, therefore, $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta^*\right)\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta^*\right)^{\top}\right]=\sigma^2\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$. So for the right side of the equation above we have:

$$egin{aligned} \operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight)\left(\hat{ heta} - heta^*
ight)^{ op}
ight]\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X}
ight) = \operatorname{trace}\left(\sigma^2ig(\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X}ig)^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{ op}\mathbf{X}ig) \ &= \sigma^2 \operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbf{I}
ight) \ &= \sigma^2 d. \end{aligned}$$

It is proven that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{X}\hat{ heta}-\mathbf{X} heta^*
ight\|_2^2
ight]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle\mathbf{X}\hat{ heta}-\mathbf{X} heta^*,\mathbf{X}\hat{ heta}-\mathbf{X} heta^*
ight
angle
ight]=\sigma^2d,$$

therefore

$$rac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{X}\hat{ heta}-\mathbf{X} heta^*
ight\|_2^2
ight]=\sigma^2rac{d}{n}.$$

4.

Given assumption that the underlying function is linear, i.e., all the terms with order higher than 1 in the feature vector should be multiplied by 0 in the parameter vector, which gives us the parameter vector θ as $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 & \theta_1 & \mathbf{0}_{D-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{D+1}$.

And
$$\left\|\mathbf{y}^* - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{ heta}^*
ight\|_2 = 0.$$

For this subtask we have d=D+1, and according to previous task, where we proven that $rac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{X}\hat{ heta}-\mathbf{X} heta^*
ight\|_2^2
ight]=\sigma^2rac{d}{n}$, we have

$$rac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbf{y}^*-\mathbf{X}\hat{ heta}
ight\|_2^2
ight]=\sigma^2rac{D+1}{n}.$$

 $\sigma^2 rac{D+1}{n}$ should be upper bounded by ϵ , which means

$$\sigma^2 \frac{D+1}{n} \leq \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad n \geq \sigma^2 \frac{D+1}{\epsilon}$$

5.

```
In []: import numpy as np
from matplotlib import pyplot as plt

def pred_error(n, D):
    alpha = np.random.uniform(-1, 1, n)
    y_star = alpha + 1

    z = np.random.normal(0, 1, n)
    y = y_star + z

# use numpy.polyfit to get the coefficients of the fitted polynomial
    params = np.polyfit(alpha, y, D)
# use numpy.polyId to generate the fitted polynomial
    poly = np.polyId(params)

    pred_error = ((y_star - poly(alpha))**2).mean()
    return pred_error
```

```
ns = np.array([10, 20, 50, 100])
Ds = np.array([1, 2, 3, 4, 5])
error = np.zeros((ns.size, Ds.size))
# iterate over all combinations of n and D, for each combination calculate 10 times and average the error
for i in range(0, ns.size):
 for j in range(0, Ds.size):
   for k in range (100):
      error[i, j] += pred error(ns[i], Ds[j])/100
plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
for i in range(0, ns.size):
  plt.plot(Ds, error[i, :], label='$n=%d$' % ns[i])
plt.title("")
plt.xlabel("D")
plt.ylabel("mean square error")
plt.legend()
plt.subplot(1, 2, 2)
for i in range(0, Ds.size):
  plt.plot(ns, error[:, i], label='$D=%d$' % Ds[i])
plt.title("")
plt.xlabel("n")
plt.legend()
```

Out[]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0xffff87721870>

