```
procedure push(f, h, v, w)
    assert e_f(v) > 0 and h(v) > h(w) and (v, w) \in G_f
    e \leftarrow (v, w)
    if e is a forward edge then
         \delta \leftarrow \min(e_f(v), c(e) - f(e))
         increase f(e) by \delta
    else
         e \leftarrow (w, v)
         \delta \leftarrow \min(e_f(v), f(e))
         decrease f(e) by \delta
     decrease e_f(v) by \delta
     increase e_f(w) by \delta
procedure relabel(f, h, v)
     assert e_f(v) > 0 and for all edges (v, w) \in E_f we have h(w) \ge h(v)
    h(v) \leftarrow h(v) + 1
function preflow_push(G, s, t)
    for each node u do e_f(u) \leftarrow 0
    h(s) \leftarrow n
    for each node u \neq s do h(u) \leftarrow 0
    for each edge (s, v) do
         f(s,v) \leftarrow c(s,v)
         e_f(v) \leftarrow c(s, v)
         e_f(s) \leftarrow e_f(s) - c(s, v)
    for each edge (u, v) such that u \neq s do f(u, v) \leftarrow 0
    while there is a node v \neq t with e_f(v) > 0 do
         if there is a node w such that h(v) > h(w) and (v, w) \in G_f then
              push(h, f, v, w)
         else
              relabel(h, f, v)
    return f
```

We will next prove the correctness of the preflow-push algorithm. Initially the preflow f and height function h are compatible. Each push satisfies the capacity constraints due to how the δ is calculated, and each relabel increases the height of a node ν by one. This could violate the compatibility of f and h. The relevant condition for compatibility is:

For all edges
$$(v, w) \in E_f$$
 we have $h(v) \le h(w) + 1$

If it is the case h(v) > h(w) then a push and not a relabel is performed, and in the other case, h(v) = h(w) the height of v is incremented by one, and this still