

Author: Jonas Semprini Næss

FYS-STK4155: Applied Data Analysis and Machine Learning

Exercise 1: Analytical Exercises

a.) *Show that*

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{a})}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{b}.$$

Solution:

By convention we assume that both $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$. Hence can we re-write the given expression as

$$\frac{\partial (\sum_i b_i a_i)}{\partial a_k}$$

¹ $\forall i, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, which yields

$$\frac{\partial (\sum_i b_i a_i)}{\partial a_k} = b_k$$

\Downarrow

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{a})}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{b}$$

■.

b.) *Show that*

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{a})}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{a}^T (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T).$$

Let $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T$ such that the original quadratic form now becomes $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a}$. By this we can initiate the product rule for derivatives. Thus

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^T}{\partial \mathbf{a}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}}{\partial \mathbf{a}}$$

¹I have decided to adapt standard typing and not boldface for specifying when we are working with scalar elements of respective vectors or matrices

which by applying (a.) gives that

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^T}{\partial \mathbf{a}} \mathbf{W} + \mathbf{a}^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{W}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{W}^T + \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A}.$$

Finally exploit the mathematical fact of $\boxed{(AB)^T = B^T A^T}$ yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}^T + \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A} \\ &= \mathbf{a}^T (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T) \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to show. ■

c.) *Show that*

$$\frac{\partial (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{s}} = -2 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \mathbf{A}.$$

We follow the same reasoning as in (b.) which gives us the following

$$\frac{\partial (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T}{\partial \mathbf{s}} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}) + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{s}}$$

. Split up the parentheses such that

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^T}{\partial \mathbf{s}} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}) - \left(\frac{\partial (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \right) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}) + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{s}} - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \left(\frac{\partial (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \right).$$

Then differentiate with respect to \mathbf{s} , yielding

$$-\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \mathbf{A} = -2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \mathbf{A}.$$

Which is what we wanted to show. ■

d.)

To analyse the second derivate of the above mentioned result, we first differentiate $-2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \mathbf{A}$ with respect to \mathbf{s} again. This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \mathbf{A}}{\partial \mathbf{s}} &= 2 \frac{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T}{\partial \mathbf{s}} \mathbf{A} \\ &= 2 \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$$

Which describes the Hessian Matrix. If \mathbf{A} happens to be symmetric then obviously we would have that $-2 \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \mathbf{A}}{\partial \mathbf{s}} = 2 \mathbf{A}^2$, and if orthogonal then $-2 \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s})^T \mathbf{A}}{\partial \mathbf{s}} = 2$. ■