

Submission 2.2

Jonas Wechsler

October 2014

1 Problems 2.8.3

1. Since $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ is a quadratic function, then $\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} = x_0$ where $f(x_0) = 0$. $\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} = x_0 = \pm 1$, so $f(\pm 1) = 0$.
2. The conclusion is stated as a premise.
3. S_{xy} : x is continuous at y
 f : our fcn
 c : the point in question (in this case, 0)
 C_{xy} : x converges to y
 $S_{fc} \iff \forall x (C_{xc} \implies C_{f(x)f(c)})$ Our definition of continuous.
 $\neg S_{fc} \iff \neg \forall x (C_{xc} \implies C_{f(x)f(c)})$ Our definition of not continuous.
 $\iff \exists x \neg (C_{xc} \implies C_{f(x)f(c)})$
 $\iff \exists x (C_{xc} \wedge \neg C_{f(x)f(c)})$

You have to find a sequence x , such that it converges to c , but $f(x)$ is not continuous at $f(c)$.

4. S_{xy} : x converges to y .
 x_n : x is a series.
 $\forall x_n (S_{x_n 3} \implies S_{x_n^2 - 1, 3^2 - 1})$

2 Arguments in English 2.8.4

1. b Invalid
Unsound
d C_{xy} : x is caused by y
 1. $\forall y \exists x (C_{xy})$
 2. $\exists y \forall x (C_{xy})$
2. b Valid
Invalid
d H_{xy} : x hates y
 f : Fred
 a : Al
 1. $\forall x (H_{xa} \implies H_{fx})$ P_1
 2. $\forall x H_{ax}$ P_1
 3. H_{aa} UI(2)
 4. $H_{aa} \implies H_{fa}$ UI(1)
 6. H_{fa} MP(3,4)
 7. H_{af} UI(2)
 8. $H_{af} \wedge H_{fa}$ Conj(6,7)

3. b Valid
 Soundness is difficult to determine
 d L_x : x is large and hostile
 I_x : x is impervious to pesticides
 i: An insect in this house
 1. $\forall_i(L_i)$ P_1
 2. $\exists_i(I_i)$ P_2
 3. L_x $UI(1)$
 4. I_x $EI(2)$
 5. $L_x \wedge I_x$ $Conj(3,4)$
 6. $\exists_i(L_i \wedge I_i)$ $EG(5)$

4. b Valid
 Sound
 d S_x : x can succeed at the university
 B_x : x is bright
 M_x : x is mature
 s: student
 1. $\exists_s \neg S_s$ P_1
 2. $(B_s \wedge M_x) \implies (S_s)$ P_2
 3. $\neg(S_s) \implies \neg(B_s \wedge M_x)$ $CP(2)$
 4. $\neg(S_s) \implies \neg B_s \vee \neg M_x$ $DM(3)$
 5. $\neg S_x$ $EI(1)$
 6. $\neg B_x \vee \neg M_x$ $MP(4,5)$
 7. $\exists_x(\neg B_x \vee \neg M_x)$ $EG(6)$

5. b Valid
 Sound
 d P_x : x is a pig
 1. $\exists_x \exists_y \exists_z ((x \neq y) \wedge (x \neq z) \wedge (z \neq y) \wedge P_x \wedge P_y \wedge P_z)$ P_1
 2. $\exists_x \exists_y ((x \neq y) \wedge (x \neq a) \wedge (a \neq y) \wedge P_x \wedge P_y \wedge P_a)$ $EI(1)$
 3. $\exists_x \exists_y ((x \neq y) \wedge P_x \wedge P_y)$ $Simp(2)$

6. b Valid Sound
 d L_{xy} : x likes y
 p: Popeye
 o: Olive Oyl
 1. $\forall x(L_{xo} \implies L_{px})$ P_1
 2. $\forall x(L_{ox})$ P_2
 3. L_{oo} $UI(2)$
 4. $L_{oo} \implies L_{po}$ $UI(1)$
 5. L_{po} $MP(3,4)$
 6. L_{op} $UI(2)$
 6. $L_{po} \wedge L_{op}$ $Conj(5,6)$

7. a S_x : x is sound
 C_x : x has a true conclusion
 a: this argument
 $\neg C_a$
 $\neg \exists_x (S_x \wedge \neg C_x)$

 $\neg S_a$
 b Valid
 Sound

- c Assume there is an interpretation in which $\neg C_x$ and $\neg \exists x(S_x \wedge \neg C_x)$ are both true, but $\neg S_x$ is false. So there must be some element of UD, call it 1, such that $(1 \ni S)$ and $\neg(1 \ni C)$. However, the second premise would be false in this interpretation. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ No such interpretation.
- d S_x : x is sound
 C_x : x has a true conclusion
 a : this argument
- | | |
|---|---------|
| 1. $\neg C_a$ | P_1 |
| 2. $\neg \exists x(S_x \wedge \neg C_x)$ | P_2 |
| 3. $\forall x \neg(S_x \wedge \neg C_x)$ | QEx(2) |
| 4. $\forall x(\neg S_x \vee \neg \neg C_x)$ | DM(3) |
| 5. $\forall x(\neg S_x \vee C_x)$ | DN(4) |
| 6. $\neg S_a \vee C_a$ | UI(5) |
| 7. $S_a \implies C_a$ | CSis(6) |
| 8. $\neg C_a \implies \neg S_a$ | CP(7) |
| 4. $\neg S_a$ | MP(1,8) |
8. a O_x : x weighs over 200 pounds
 j : Jones' killer
 s : Smith
- | | |
|----------------|--|
| O_j | |
| $\neg O_s$ | |
| <hr/> | |
| $O_s \neq O_j$ | |
- c Assume there is an interpretation in which O_x and $\neg O_y$, but not $O_y \neq O_x$. So there must be two elements of UD, call them 1 and 2, such that $1 \ni O$ and $\neg(2 \ni O)$, and $2 = 1$.
- d O_x : x weighs over 200 pounds
 j : Jones' killer
 s : Smith
- | | |
|---|---------|
| O_j | P_1 |
| $\neg O_s$ | P_2 |
| $\neg(O_s \iff O_j)$ | |
| $\forall x \forall y(x = y \implies (P_x \iff P_y))$ | Leibniz |
| $\forall x \forall y(\neg(P_x \iff P_y) \implies x \neq y)$ | CP |
| $O_s \neq O_j$ | MP |
9. b Valid
Not sound
- d L_{xy} : x likes y
 m : mandy
 a : andy
- | | |
|---|----------|
| 1. $\forall x(L_{xm})$ | P_1 |
| 2. $\forall x(L_{mx} \iff (m = a))$ | P_2 |
| 3. L_{mm} | UI(1) |
| 4. $L_{mm} \iff (m = a)$ | UI(2) |
| 5. $(L_{mm} \implies (m = a)) \wedge ((m = a) \implies L_{mm})$ | Equiv(4) |
| 6. $L_{mm} \implies (m = a)$ | Simp(5) |
| 7. $m = a$ | MP(3,6) |
10. b Valid
Sound
- d A_{xy} : x is afraid of y
 h : Mr. Hyde
 j : Dr. Jekyll

1. $\forall_x(A_{xh})$
2. $\forall_x(A_{hx} \iff (x = j))$
3. A_{hh} UI(1)
4. $A_{hh} \iff (h = j)$ UI(2)
5. $(L_{hh} \implies (h = j)) \wedge ((h = j) \implies L_{hh})$ Equiv(4)
6. $L_{hh} \implies (h = j)$ Simp(5)
7. $j = h$

3 Arguments in quantificational logic 2.8.5

2. b Assume the premise is true. In order for it to be true in all cases that an element of F must be within G, all elements of F must be contained within G. Therefore, if an element is not in G, it cannot be within F.

1. $\forall_x(F_x \implies G_x)$ P_1
- c 2. $F_x \implies G_x$ UI(1)
3. $\forall_x(\neg G_x \implies \neg F_x)$ UG(2)