

Comp 767 Assignment 1

Jonathan Pearce 260672004

February 5, 2020

Problem 1.

let $\bar{\mu}_i$ be the empirical average reward for arm i after $\frac{T}{K}$ pulls of the arm. By Hoeffding's inequality we have for each of the K arms,

$$\mathbb{P}[\mu_i > \bar{\mu}_i + \epsilon] \leq e^{-\frac{2T\epsilon^2}{K}}$$

Equivalently

$$\mathbb{P}[\mu_i - \bar{\mu}_i > \epsilon] \leq e^{-\frac{2T\epsilon^2}{K}}$$

Further, by symmetry we have another form of Hoeffding's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}[\bar{\mu}_i - \mu_i > \epsilon] \leq e^{-\frac{2T\epsilon^2}{K}}$$

Combining these inequalities we obtain,

$$\mathbb{P}[|\mu_i - \bar{\mu}_i| > \epsilon] \leq 2e^{-\frac{2T\epsilon^2}{K}}$$

Using the union bound and the above adaption of Hoeffding's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^K |\mu_i - \bar{\mu}_i| > \epsilon\right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^K \mathbb{P}[|\mu_i - \bar{\mu}_i| > \epsilon] \leq 2Ke^{-\frac{2T\epsilon^2}{K}}$$

Equating to δ and solving for ϵ :

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &= 2Ke^{-\frac{2T\epsilon^2}{K}} \\ \ln\left(\frac{\delta}{2K}\right) &= -\frac{2T\epsilon^2}{K} \\ \sqrt{\frac{K}{2T} \ln\left(\frac{2K}{\delta}\right)} &= \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for every arm i we have that $|\mu_i - \bar{\mu}_i| \leq \epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{K}{2T} \ln\left(\frac{2K}{\delta}\right)}$ with probability $1 - \delta$.

Let $\hat{\mu}^*$ be the empirical average reward for the optimal arm and let $\hat{\mu}_i$ be the current maximum empirical average reward. It follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^* - \mu_i &= \mu^* - \hat{\mu}^* + \hat{\mu}^* - \mu_i \\ &\leq \mu^* - \hat{\mu}^* + \hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{K}{2T} \ln\left(\frac{2K}{\delta}\right)}\end{aligned}$$

Equating to ϵ and solving for T :

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &= 2\sqrt{\frac{K}{2T} \ln\left(\frac{2K}{\delta}\right)} \\ \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} &= \frac{K}{2T} \ln\left(\frac{2K}{\delta}\right) \\ T &= \frac{2K}{\epsilon^2} \ln\left(\frac{2K}{\delta}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore to ensure $\mu^* - \mu_i \leq \epsilon$ with probability $1 - \delta$, must have $T = O\left(\frac{K}{\epsilon^2} \ln\left(\frac{K}{\delta}\right)\right)$ arm pulls.

Problem 2a. From the question we have for all $a \in A$ and $s \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R}(s, a) &= R(s, a) + N(\mu, \sigma^2) \\ \Rightarrow R(s, a) &= \bar{R}(s, a) - N(\mu, \sigma^2)\end{aligned}$$

The value function for MDP M can be written as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}V_M^\pi(s) &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[G_t | s_t = s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[R(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) + \gamma R(s_{t+2}, a_{t+2}) + \gamma^2 R(s_{t+3}, a_{t+3}) + \dots | s_t = s] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\pi[R(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) | s_t = s] + \gamma \mathbb{E}_\pi[R(s_{t+2}, a_{t+2}) | s_t = s] + \gamma^2 \mathbb{E}_\pi[R(s_{t+3}, a_{t+3}) | s_t = s] + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \gamma^{k-t-1} \mathbb{E}_\pi[R(s_k, a_k) | s_t = s] \\ &= \sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \gamma^{k-t-1} \mathbb{E}_\pi[\bar{R}(s_k, a_k) - N(\mu, \sigma^2) | s_t = s] \\ &= \sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \gamma^{k-t-1} (\mathbb{E}_\pi[\bar{R}(s_k, a_k) | s_t = s] - \mathbb{E}_\pi[N(\mu, \sigma^2) | s_t = s]) \\ &= \sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \gamma^{k-t-1} \mathbb{E}_\pi[\bar{R}(s_k, a_k) | s_t = s] - \sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \gamma^{k-t-1} \mathbb{E}_\pi[N(\mu, \sigma^2) | s_t = s] \\ &= V_M^\pi(s) - \sum_{k=t+1}^{\infty} \gamma^{k-t-1} \mu \\ &= V_M^\pi(s) - \frac{\mu}{1 - \gamma}\end{aligned}$$

Problem 2b. Using the vector form of the bellman equation we get,

$$\begin{aligned}R &= V_M^\pi(s) - \gamma P V_M^\pi(s) = (I - \gamma P) V_M^\pi(s) \\ R &= V_M^\pi(s) - \gamma \bar{P} V_M^\pi(s) = (I - \gamma \bar{P}) V_M^\pi(s)\end{aligned}$$

Equating the first two equations we get,

$$(I - \gamma \bar{P}) V_M^\pi(s) = (I - \gamma P) V_M^\pi(s)$$

Because P and Q are both transition matrices and $\alpha + \beta = 1$, then \bar{P} is a valid transition matrix as well. Therefore the inverse of $(I - \gamma \bar{P})$ exists.

$$\begin{aligned}V_M^\pi(s) &= (I - \gamma \bar{P})^{-1} (I - \gamma P) V_M^\pi(s) \\ &= (I - \gamma(\alpha P + \beta Q))^{-1} (I - \gamma P) V_M^\pi(s) \\ &= (I - \gamma((1 - \beta)P + \beta Q))^{-1} (I - \gamma P) V_M^\pi(s) \\ &= (I - \gamma P - \gamma \beta P + \gamma \beta Q)^{-1} (I - \gamma P) V_M^\pi(s) \\ &= (I - \gamma P + \gamma \beta(Q - P))^{-1} (I - \gamma P) V_M^\pi(s)\end{aligned}$$

Problem 3. Let $t \in S$ be a state that maximizes the function $L_{\hat{V}}(s)$. It follows directly that $L_{\hat{V}}(t) \geq L_{\hat{V}}(t') \forall t' \in S$. At state t , let a be the optimal action, formally $a = \pi^*(t)$, let a' be the action taken by the greedy policy with respect to \hat{V} , formally $a' = \pi_{\hat{V}}(t)$. Because $\pi_{\hat{V}}(s)$ is a greedy policy then taking action a' is as good or better than taking action a :

$$R(t, a) + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a) \hat{V}(t') \leq R(t, a') + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a') \hat{V}(t')$$

From the question we have $|V^*(s) - \hat{V}(s)| \leq \epsilon \forall s \in S$, therefore

$$V^*(s) - \epsilon \leq \hat{V}(s) \leq V^*(s) + \epsilon$$

It follows,

$$R(t, a) + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a)(V^*(t') - \epsilon) \leq R(t, a') + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a')(V^*(t') + \epsilon)$$

Therefore,

$$R(t, a) - R(t, a') \leq 2\gamma\epsilon + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} [P_{tt'}(a')V^*(t') - P_{tt'}(a)V^*(t')]$$

From the question statement we have $L_{\hat{V}}(s) = V^*(s) - V_{\hat{V}}(s)$. Use DS eqn. By definition of the value function we have,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\hat{V}}(t) &= [R(t, a) - \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a)V^*(t')] - [R(t, a') - \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a')V_{\hat{V}}(t')] \\ &= R(t, a) - R(t, a') + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} [P_{tt'}(a)V^*(t') - P_{tt'}(a')V_{\hat{V}}(t')] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for $R(t, a) - R(t, a')$ using the inequality above,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\hat{V}}(t) &\leq 2\gamma\epsilon + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} [P_{tt'}(a')V^*(t') - P_{tt'}(a)V^*(t') + P_{tt'}(a)V^*(t') - P_{tt'}(a')V_{\hat{V}}(t')] \\ &= 2\gamma\epsilon + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a')[V^*(t') - V_{\hat{V}}(t')] \\ &= 2\gamma\epsilon + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a')L_{\hat{V}}(t') \end{aligned}$$

We stated earlier $L_{\hat{V}}(t) \geq L_{\hat{V}}(t') \forall t' \in S$, It follows,

$$L_{\hat{V}}(t) \leq 2\gamma\epsilon + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a')L_{\hat{V}}(t') \leq 2\gamma\epsilon + \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a')L_{\hat{V}}(t)$$

Rearranging,

$$L_{\hat{V}}(t) \leq \frac{2\gamma\epsilon}{1 - \gamma \sum_{t' \in S} P_{tt'}(a')} = \frac{2\gamma\epsilon}{1 - \gamma}$$