



## Graph Enumeration and Pólya's Enumeration Theorem

- Enumerating unlabelled graphs is a classical and challenging problem in combinatorics. Unlike labelled graphs, where each vertex has a distinct identity, unlabelled graphs consider graphs equivalent under relabelling of vertices, making their enumeration significantly more complex.
- This task is known to be #P-complete, meaning it is as computationally difficult as counting the solutions to NP problems, and no efficient algorithm is known for solving it in the general case [1].

### Burnside's Lemma

**Burnside's Lemma** relates the number of distinct orbits under a group action to fixed points of group elements:

$$|X/G| = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |X^g|,$$

where  $X^g = \{x \in X : g \cdot x = x\}$  is the set fixed by  $g$ .

This lemma allows counting objects up to symmetry by averaging fixed points across group elements.

### Cycle Index Polynomial

The **cycle index polynomial** encodes the structure of a permutation group  $G$  acting on a set of size  $n$ :

$$Z(G, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{c_j(g)},$$

where  $c_j(g)$  counts cycles of length  $j$  in the permutation  $g$ .

This polynomial compactly summarises how group elements permute cycles of different lengths.

### Pólya's Enumeration Theorem

**Pólya's Theorem** [2] states that for a group  $G$  acting on a set  $X$  and a set of  $q$  colours  $A$ , the number of distinct colourings up to  $G$ -symmetry is:

$$|A^X / G| = Z(G, q, q, \dots, q).$$

For the purposes of graph enumeration, edges are coloured by two colours (either present or absent), and the group acts on vertex labels inducing permutations on edges.

### Example: Counting Unlabelled Graphs on 4 Vertices

For  $n = 4$ , the symmetric group  $S_4$  acts on the vertex set, inducing an action on the  $\binom{4}{2} = 6$  edges. Using the conjugacy classes of  $S_4$ , we compute the induced cycle index polynomial for  $S_4^{(2)}$ :

Permutation in $S_4$	Class Size	Permutation in $S_4^{(2)}$	Monomial	Value for $s_k = 2$
(1)(2)(3)(4)	1	(12)(13)(14)(23)(24)(34)	$s_1^6$	$1 \cdot 2^6 = 64$
(1)(2)(34)	6	(12)(34)(13 14)(23 24)	$s_1^2 s_2^2$	$6 \cdot 2^4 = 96$
(1)(234)	8	(12 13 14)(23 34 24)	$s_3^2$	$8 \cdot 2^2 = 32$
(12)(34)	3	(12)(34)(13 14)(23 24)	$s_1^2 s_2^2$	$3 \cdot 2^4 = 48$
(1234)	6	(13 24)(12 23 34 14)	$s_2 s_4$	$6 \cdot 2^2 = 24$

Collecting terms gives the cycle index  $Z(S_4^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{24} (s_1^6 + 9 s_1^2 s_2^2 + 8 s_3^2 + 6 s_2 s_4)$

Then by substituting  $s_k = 2$  (two colours: edge present/absent) we have:  $\frac{1}{24} (2^6 + 9 \cdot 2^4 + 8 \cdot 2^2 + 6 \cdot 2^2) = 11$ .

**Result:** There are exactly **11** distinct simple unlabelled graphs on 4 vertices.

## Recursive Generation of Simple Unlabelled Graphs

- We can generate all  $n$ -vertex graphs by extending the complete list  $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$  of non-isomorphic graphs on  $n - 1$  vertices.
- For each base graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ , we only consider new vertex neighbourhoods up to  $\text{Aut}(G)$  (one representative per orbit). This eliminates many redundant extensions before an computationally intensive isomorphism test.

### Orbit Representatives & Graph Extension

Let  $V = [n - 1]$  be the vertex set of  $G$  and let  $\text{Aut}(G)$  act on the power set  $\mathcal{P}(V)$  by

$$\gamma \cdot S = \{\gamma(v) : v \in S\}, \quad \gamma \in \text{Aut}(G).$$

This partitions  $\mathcal{P}(V)$  into orbits; choose one representative set from each orbit:

$$\mathcal{S}_G = \{S_1, \dots, S_m\} = \mathcal{P}(V) / \text{Aut}(G).$$

For each representative  $S \in \mathcal{S}_G$  define the extension

$$G_{\{S\}} = (V \cup \{n\}, E \cup \{\{u, n\} : u \in S\}).$$

Repeating this for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$  produces a candidate collection  $\mathcal{E}_n$ .

### Removing Isomorphic Duplicates

Different base graphs may produce isomorphic extensions. To obtain the final list  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , we must check for graph isomorphisms and retain only unique graphs (e.g. using **McKay's Canonical Labelling Algorithm** [3]), keeping a single representative for each canonical label:

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{E}_n / \cong.$$

This two-stage approach (orbit pruning then canonical labelling) can drastically reduce any redundant isomorphism checks in practice.

### Graph Generation Algorithm

**Input:** List  $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$  of all graphs on  $n - 1$  vertices with their automorphism groups

**Output:** List  $\mathcal{G}_n$  of all graphs on  $n$  vertices

```
 $\mathcal{E}_n \leftarrow \emptyset;$ 
foreach  $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$  do
  compute  $\text{Aut}(G)$ ;
  foreach representative  $S \in \mathcal{P}(V) / \text{Aut}(G)$  do
    declare  $G_{\{S\}} \leftarrow G \cup \{n\}$  with  $N(n) = S$ ;
    add  $G_{\{S\}}$  to  $\mathcal{E}_n$ ;
  end
end
 $\mathcal{G}_n \leftarrow \mathcal{E}_n / \cong$  using McKay's canonical labelling algorithm;
return  $\mathcal{G}_n$ 
```

### Running Time and Complexity

- Worst case:** If  $G$  is asymmetric then  $|\mathcal{P}(V) / \text{Aut}(G)| = 2^{n-1}$ , so

$$|\mathcal{E}_n| \leq |\mathcal{G}_{n-1}| \cdot 2^{n-1}.$$

In addition, McKay's canonical labelling may require up to  $O(n!)$  steps, giving a worst-case runtime of  $O(g_{n-1} n!)$ .

- Average case:** Since almost all large graphs are asymmetric [4],

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{E}_n|] = (1 - o(1)) g_{n-1} 2^{n-1}.$$

McKay's exhibits a polynomial average number of labelling steps [3], hence average runtime of  $O(g_{n-1} 2^{n-1} \text{poly}(n))$ .

## References



Scan for Report

[1] L. G. Valiant. "The complexity of enumeration and reliability problems". In: *SIAM Journal on Computing* 8.3 (1979), pp. 410–421.

[2] G. Pólya. "Kombinatorische Anzahlbestimmungen für Gruppen, Graphen und chemische Verbindungen". In: *Acta Mathematica* 68 (1937), pp. 145–254.

[3] B. D. McKay. "Practical graph isomorphism". In: *Congressus Numerantium* 30 (1981), pp. 45–87.

[4] P. Erdős and A. Rényi. "Asymmetric graphs". In: *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungarica* 14.3–4 (1963), pp. 295–315.