

Lab 6: Basic Comparison Sorts

A comparison sort is a type of sorting algorithm that compares elements in a list (array, file, etc) using a comparison operation that determines which of two elements should occur first in the final sorted list. The operator is almost always a **total order**:

1. $a \leq a$ for all a in the set
2. if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$ then $a \leq c$ (transitivity)
3. if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$ then $a=b$
4. for all a and b , either $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$ // any two items can be compared (makes it a total order)

In situations where three does not strictly hold then, it is possible that a and b are in some way different and both $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$; in this case either may come first in the sorted list. In a **stable sort**, the input order determines the sorted order in this case.

The following link shows visualization of some common sorting algorithms:

<https://www.cs.usfca.edu/~galles/visualization/ComparisonSort.html>

Your goal for this lab is to implement simple versions of Insertion Sort - **insertion_sort(alist)**, and Selection Sort - **selection_sort(alist)**, that will sort an array of integers and **count the number of comparisons**. Each function takes as input a list of integers, sorts the list counting the comparisons at the same time, **and returns the number of comparisons**. After the function completes, the “**alist**” should be sorted.

The worst-case runtime complexity is $\Theta(n^2)$ for selection and insertion sort. Why? Write out the summation that represents the number of comparisons.

Note: There is a fundamental limit on how fast (on average) a comparison sort can be, namely $\Theta(n \log n)$.

Fill out and submit via GitHub, a table similar to the table below as well as answers to the questions below.

Lab 6

Selection Sort		
List Size	Comparisons	Time (seconds)
1,000 (observed)	499500	0.2720348834991455
2,000 (observed)	1999000	1.0390753746032715
4,000 (observed)	7998000	4.102102756500244
8,000 (observed)	31996000	17.812032461166382
16,000 (observed)	127992000	89.37290167808533
32,000 (observed)	511984000	291.3952238559723
100,000 (estimated)	4999500000	2720
500,000 (estimated)	124999750000	124500
1,000,000 (estimated)	499999500000	272000
10,000,000 (estimated)	4999999500000	27200000

Insertion Sort		
List Size	Comparisons	Time (seconds)
1,000 (observed)	246998	0.08731818199157715
2,000 (observed)	1016731	0.4720005989074707
4,000 (observed)	3991281	1.3879685401916504
8,000 (observed)	16104212	5.437952041625977
16,000 (observed)	64651468	22.828858852386475
32,000 (observed)	257475139	90.61237525939941
100,000 (estimated)	2469980000	873
500,000 (estimated)	6465146800	22828
1,000,000 (estimated)	24699800000	87300
10,000,000 (estimated)	246998000000	873000

- Which sort do you think is better? Why?
My insertion sort processed a lot quicker so I would think that insertion is better.
- Which sort is better when sorting a list that is already sorted (or mostly sorted)? Why?
Insertion should be better at sorting an already sorted list because it doesn't have to iterate through the entire list like selection sort has to.

3. You probably found that insertion sort had about half as many comparisons as selection sort. Why? Why are the times for insertion sort **not** half what they are for selection sort? (For part of the answer, think about what insertion sort has to do more of compared to selection sort.)

Insertion sort only has to iterate until it finds a lower value while selection sort has to iterate through the entire list to look for the minimum. The times are not half because insertion sort has to switch elements more than selection sort does.