Advanced Methods in ML 2018 - Exercise 1

1. Consider a distribution q over three random variables X_1, X_2, X_3 defined as:

$$q(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} 1/12 & x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 = 0\\ 1/6 & x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 = 1 \end{cases}$$
 (1)

- (a) What is I(q) (namely the set of all correct conditional independence statements) in this case?
- (b) Is there a DAG G where $I_{LM}(G) = I(q)$?
- (c) Is there an undirected graph G such that $I_{sep}(G) = I(q)$
- 2. Consider four random variables W, X, Y, Z where the distribution p(w, x, y, z) is positive (i.e., not zero for any assignment). Assume that the two following properties are known:

$$(X \perp Y|Z,W)$$
 , $(X \perp W|Z,Y)$ (2)

Show that $X \perp Y, W|Z$.

- 3. Consider random variables X_1,\ldots,X_n . The Markov Blanket of X_i is the minimal subset $S\subset\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that $X_i\perp X_{\bar{S}\setminus i}|X_S$ (here \bar{S} is the complement of S). In other words, conditioned the Markov blanket, variables X_S the variable X_i is independent of all the other variables. Given a DAG G and variable X_i , find a subset S that is the Markov blanket of X_i for any Bayesian network on G. The blanket should be described in terms of graph properties such as children, parents, non-descendents etc.
- 4. Given a distribution p(x), we say that an undirected graph G is a *minimal I-map* for p if it satisfies $I_{sep}(G) \subseteq I(p)$, and any edge removed from G will make this false. Given a *positive* distribution p, construct a graph as follows: if $(X_i \perp X_j | X_{\{1,...,n\} \setminus \{i,j\}}) \notin I(p)$ add the edge (i,j) to G.
 - (a) Show that the G constructed above satisfies $I_{sep}(G) \subseteq I(p)$. You may use results mentioned in the slides and scribe.
 - (b) Show that this G is a minimal I-map for p.
- 5. Here you will show that there exist distributions that satisfy $I_{sep}(G)$ but are not Markov networks with respect to G. Consider the distribution $p(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ which has probability 1/8 for each of the assignments (0,0,0,0), (1,0,0,0), (1,1,0,0), (1,1,1,0), (0,0,0,1), (0,0,1,1), (0,1,1,1), (1,1,1,1), and probability zero for all others. Show that $I(p) \supseteq I_{sep}(G)$ where G is a square graph. But that p is not a Markov network with respect to this graph.
- 6. Consider a tree graph G with edges E, and a Markov network p(x) on this graph. Show that p satisfies that for any assignment x_1, \ldots, x_n it holds that:

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i) \prod_{ij \in E} \frac{p(x_i, x_j)}{p(x_i)p(x_j)}$$
(3)