# LDP two-sample chi-squared test

## 1 Setting

- $Y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} multi(n_1, p_Y), Z_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} multi(n_2, p_Z)$  with k categories
- One-hot vector form i.e. random vectors with dependent Bernoulli random variable entries
- Allow for  $n_1 \neq n_2$

## 2 Generalized Randomized Response and two sample Pearson chisquare statistic

### 2.1 Privacy mechanism: Generalized Randomized Response

**Definition 2.1** (Generalized Randomized Response (Theorem 5.4. of Gaboardi and Rogers [1])). For a multinomial random vector  $\mathbf{Y}_i^{iid}$  multi $(n_1, \mathbf{p_Y})$ , we define

$$\mathbb{P}ig(\mathcal{M}_{ extit{GenRR}}( extbf{\emph{Y}}_i) = extbf{\emph{y}}' | extbf{\emph{Y}}_i = extbf{\emph{y}}ig) := egin{dcases} rac{\exp(lpha)}{\exp(lpha) + k - 1} & if \ extbf{\emph{y}}' = extbf{\emph{y}} \ rac{1}{\exp(lpha) + k - 1} & if \ extbf{\emph{y}}' 
eq extbf{\emph{y}}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $ilde{Y}_i := \mathcal{M}_{ extit{GenRR}}(Y_i)$  is a multinomial random vector with probability vector

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\boldsymbol{Y}} := \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{Y}} \frac{\exp(\alpha)}{\exp(\alpha) + k - 1} + (1 - \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}) \frac{1}{\exp(\alpha) + k - 1}.$$

Since  $e^{\alpha} > 1$  for  $\alpha > 0$ , the probability of sending the original category is a little bit higher than sending the other category. Gaboardi and Rogers [1] constructs a private goodness-of-fit test based on a chi-square statistic evaluated on  $\tilde{Y}_i$ 's. They demonstrate that the limiting distribution is chi-square distribution both under the null and alternative.

### 2.2 Two sample chi-square statistic

We extend the goodness-of-fit test by Gaboardi and Rogers [1] into two-sample testing by privatizing the raw samples  $Z_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} multi(n_2, p_Z)$  into  $\tilde{Z}_j := \mathcal{M}_{\text{GenRR}}(Z_j)$ . Under the null,  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GenRR}}(Y_i)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{GenRR}}(Z_j)$  follow multinomial distributions with the same probability vector. Therefore, the usual two-sample chi-square test statistic

$$T_{\chi} := \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \frac{\left(n_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \tilde{Y}_i(\ell) - n_1 \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \tilde{Z}_j(\ell)\right)^2}{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2) \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \left(\tilde{Y}_j(\ell) + \tilde{Z}_j(\ell)\right)}$$

converges to a chi-square distribution with degree of freedom k-1 and yields a valid test with size  $\gamma$ . This test statistic is from Van der Vaart's book Asymptotic Statistics, pp. 253.

#### 3 Bit flip privatization and related test statisite

#### Bit flip privatization 3.1

#### test statistic

**Lemma 3.1** (Lemma 5.7 of [1]). When  $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} multinomial(\boldsymbol{p},1)$ , denote the histogram of flipped observations as  $\tilde{H} := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{bit}(X_i)$ . The mean vector and covariance matrices are computed as follows:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}} := \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{M}_{bit}(X_1)) = \frac{(\exp(\alpha/2) - 1)\boldsymbol{p} + 1}{\exp(\alpha/2) + 1}, \text{ and}$$
(1)

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{p}} := Var(\mathcal{M}_{bit}(X_1)) = \left(\frac{\exp(\alpha/2) - 1}{\exp(\alpha/2) + 1}\right)^2 \left(diag(\boldsymbol{p}) - \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{p}^{\top}\right) + \frac{\exp(\alpha/2)}{(\exp(\alpha/2) + 1)^2} I_d, \tag{2}$$

For any  $\alpha > 0$  and p > 0,  $\Sigma_p$  is full-rank and one of its eigenvector is one-vector. By the CLT for i.i.d random vectors, we get the following asymptotic distribution:

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}/n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{p}})$$
(3)

We can extend this lemma to two-sample setting. Suppose  $Y_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} multinomial(\mathbf{p}_1, 1)$  and  $Z_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  $multinomial(\mathbf{p}_2, 1)$ . We follow Lemma 3.1 to denote  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_Y = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{M}_{bit}(Y_1)), \Sigma_{\mathbf{p}_Y} := Var(\mathcal{M}_{bit}(Y_1))$  and  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_Z = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{M}_{bit}(Z_1)), \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{p}_Z} := Var(\mathcal{M}_{bit}(Z_1)).$  Denote  $\tilde{Y}_i := \mathcal{M}_{bit}(Y_i) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_Y$  and  $\tilde{Z}_j := \mathcal{M}_{bit}(Z_j) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_Z.$  Then denote  $T_n := \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{Y}_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{Z}_j$  and  $\Sigma_n := Var(T_n) = n(\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{p}_Y} + \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{p}_Z}).$  Under the null hypothesis of  $\boldsymbol{p}_Y = \boldsymbol{p}_Z = \boldsymbol{p}$ , we have  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{bit}(Y_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{bit}(Z_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_{bit}(Y_i)$ 

 $\tilde{H}_Y - \tilde{H}_Z$  and  $\Sigma_n = 2n\Sigma_p$ . So we have

$$\sqrt{n/2}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_Y/n - \tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_Z/n) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{p}})$$
(4)

Since  $\Sigma_p$  is symmetric and one of its eigenvector is one-vector, we can diagonalize it as  $\Sigma_p = BDB^{\top}$ , where D is a diagonal matrix and B has orthogonal columns with one of them being  $k^{-1}\mathbf{1}$ .

We introduce  $\Pi := I_d - \frac{1}{k} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T$ . First, this is an orthogornal projection matrix, since it is symmetric and idempotent:

$$\Pi^{2} = \left(I_{d} - \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{T}\right) \left(I_{d} - \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{T}\right) = I_{d} - \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{T} - \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{T} + \frac{1}{k^{2}}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{T}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{T} 
= I_{d} - 2\frac{1}{k}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{T} + \frac{1}{k^{2}}\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{1}^{T}\mathbf{1})\mathbf{1}^{T} 
= I_{d} - 2\frac{1}{k}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{T} + \frac{1}{k^{2}}\mathbf{1}(k\mathbf{1}^{T}) 
= I_{d} - \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{T} 
= \Pi.$$

Second, its null space is  $span\{1\}$ :

$$\Pi x = 0 \iff x = (1/k)\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{\top}x$$
$$\iff x = (1/k)\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{1}^{\top}x) = ((\mathbf{1}^{\top}x)/k)\mathbf{1} = c\mathbf{1}$$

 $\mathbf{11}^T$  Since  $\Pi$  is symmetric, its column space is the orthogonal complement of  $span\{\mathbf{1}\}$ . under the null, it suffices to use the CLT for i.i.d. random vectors, but under the alternative, we would need to use Lindeburg or Lyapunov.

$$\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_1}{n_1} - \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_2}{n_2}$$

## References

[1] Gaboardi, M. and Rogers, R. (2018). Local private hypothesis testing: Chi-square tests. *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*, 80:1626–1635.