

On a T_1 Transport inequality for the adapted Wasserstein distance

Jonghwa Park

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University

The weak topology

How can we define a topology on the space of probability measures ?

- **The weak topology** is the smallest topology for which

$$\mathbb{P} \mapsto \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[\varphi(X)]$$

is continuous for all continuous and bounded φ .

- **The Wasserstein distance** metrizes the weak topology:

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \inf\{\mathbb{E}^{\pi}[|X - Y|] : \pi \text{ has marginals } \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

A topology for stochastic processes

\mathbb{P} is a law of a stochastic process $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)$. Ideally, we seek a topology for which the map

$$\mathbb{P} \mapsto \nu(\mathbb{P})$$

is continuous for time-dependent ν such as

- (optimal stopping problem) $\nu(\mathbb{P}) = \inf\{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[\varphi(X_{\tau})] : \text{stopping time } \tau\}$,
- (superhedging error) $\nu(\mathbb{P}) = \text{superhedging error under } \mathbb{P}$,
- (utility maximization) $\nu(\mathbb{P}) = \text{maximum utility under } \mathbb{P}$.

These maps are NOT continuous with respect to the weak topology.

The adapted weak topology

How can we define a topology on the space of laws of processes ?

- **The adapted weak topology** is the smallest topology for which

$$\mathbb{P} \rightarrow \inf\{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[\varphi(X_{\tau})] : \text{stopping time } \tau\}$$

is continuous for all continuous, bounded and adapted φ .

- **The adapted Wasserstein distance** metrizes the adapted weak topology:

$$\mathcal{AW}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) = \inf\{\mathbb{E}^{\pi}[|X - Y|] : \pi \text{ has marginals } \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} \text{ and is bicausal}\}$$

where **bicausal** means that the transport at each time is independent of future information given the current information.

The adapted weak topology is finer than the weak topology. In particular,

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \leq \mathcal{AW}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

For the aforementioned operators ν , we have

$$|\nu(\mathbb{P}) - \nu(\mathbb{Q})| \lesssim \mathcal{AW}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Research question

In many applications, understanding the quantitative behavior of \mathcal{AW}_1 is crucial. One natural direction is to establish inequalities of the form

$$\mathcal{AW}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \lesssim \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P})$$

for certain well-understood functionals \mathcal{J} .

- $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}) = \text{TV}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})$ (TV is the total variation distance): Eckstein–Pammer (2024).
- $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}) = \sqrt{\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q})}$: Blanchet–Larsson–Park–Wiesel (2024), Acciaio–Hou–Pammer (2025).
- $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}) = \sqrt{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P})}$ (\mathcal{H} is the relative entropy): Backhoff–Beiglböck–Lin–Zalashko (2017), Beiglböck–Zona (2025).

Question: The inequality $\mathcal{W}_1 \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{H}}$ is well-known to characterize the Gaussian concentration. Can we connect the inequality $\mathcal{AW}_1 \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{H}}$ with the Gaussian concentration ?

Main result

Theorem (Park, '25). The following are equivalent.

1. \mathbb{P} satisfies the adapted T_1 inequality: for some $C > 0$,

$$\mathcal{AW}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P})} \text{ for all } \mathbb{Q}.$$

2. \mathbb{P} satisfies the T_1 inequality: for some $C > 0$,

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P})} \text{ for all } \mathbb{Q}.$$

3. \mathbb{P} exhibits the Gaussian concentration: for some $C > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\varphi(X) > \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[\varphi(X)] + \varepsilon) \leq e^{-C\varepsilon^2} \text{ for all bdd, 1-Lipschitz } \varphi$$

4. \mathbb{P} satisfies the following moment condition: for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[\exp(\alpha |X|^2)] < \infty.$$

Theorem (Park, '25). If \mathbb{P} satisfies the above statements,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{AW}_1(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \leq (2\sqrt{N} + 1)\alpha^{-1/2} \left(1 + \log \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[\exp(\alpha |X|^2)]\right)^{1/2} \sqrt{2\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P})} \end{aligned}$$

for all \mathbb{Q} .

Comparison with existing results

Regularity of kernels

Previous results typically impose certain regularity assumptions on the conditional distributions of \mathbb{P} . However, it turns out that such assumptions are not necessary.

Dependence on the temporal dimension N

Our results show that the constant C in $\mathcal{AW}_1 \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{H}}$ grows sublinearly with N , specifically, as \sqrt{N} up to a logarithmic factor. This rate is consistent with the independent case and with the sharp adapted Pinsker inequality, while constants in previous results exhibit exponential growth in N .

Generalization

The theorem extends to any Polish space equipped with an arbitrary metric.

References

- [1] Beatrice Acciaio, Songyan Hou, and Gudmund Pammer. Estimating causal distances with non-causal ones. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.22421*, 2025.
- [2] Julio Backhoff, Mathias Beiglböck, Yiqing Lin, and Anastasiia Zalashko. Causal transport in discrete time and applications. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 27(4):2528–2562, 2017.
- [3] Mathias Beiglböck and Markus Zona. Pinsker's inequality for adapted total variation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.22106*, 2025.
- [4] Jose Blanchet, Martin Larsson, Jonghwa Park, and Johannes Wiesel. Bounding adapted wasserstein metrics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21492*, 2024.
- [5] Stephan Eckstein and Gudmund Pammer. Computational methods for adapted optimal transport. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 34(1A):675–713, 2024.
- [6] Jonghwa Park. On a T_1 transport inequality for the adapted wasserstein distance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.19215*, 2025.

