

Reinforcement Learning

Exercise 8 - Solution

Jonathan Schnitzler - st166934

Eric Choquet - st160996

June 26, 2024

1 n-step TD compared to planing

a) Improve TD(0) with n-step TD The difference of the n-step temporal difference to the Dyna-Q planning, is that only the reward of the path which was taken can be accounted for. Therefore, unlike the image it is not possible after one episode to have a policy for all tiles, but instead only for the taken path. In contrast, Dyna-Q planning revisits arbitrary a virtual tile, which allows a richer interpretation.

b) Recursive lambda-return The λ -return is defined as

$$G_t^\lambda = (1 - \lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} G_{t:t+n} \quad (1)$$

with

$$G_{t:t+n} = R_{t+1} + \gamma R_{t+2} + \dots + \gamma^{n-1} R_{t+n} + \gamma^n V(S_{t+n}) \quad (2)$$

To define it recursively, we want to reframe the problem involving G_t^λ

$$G_{t+1}^\lambda = (1 - \lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} G_{t+1:t+n+1} \quad (3)$$

Here we can note that

$$G_{t+1:t+n+1} = R_{t+2} + \gamma R_{t+3} + \dots + \gamma^{n-1} R_{t+n+1} + \gamma^n V(S_{t+n+1}) \quad (4)$$

We can rewrite $G_{t+1:t+n+1}$ as

$$G_{t+1:t+n+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma} (G_{t:t+n} - R_{t+1} + \gamma^n R_{t+n+1} - \gamma^n V(S_{t+n})) + \gamma^n V(S_{t+n+1}) \quad (5)$$

Then we can rewrite the λ -return as

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{t+1}^\lambda &= (1-\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} (G_{t:t+n} - R_{t+1} + \gamma^n R_{t+n+1} - \gamma^n V(S_{t+n})) + \gamma^n V(S_{t+n+1}) \right] \\
G_{t+1}^\lambda &= \frac{1}{\gamma} (1-\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} G_{t:t+n} \\
&\quad + (1-\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma} (-R_{t+1} + \gamma^n R_{t+n+1} - \gamma^n V(S_{t+n})) + \gamma^n V(S_{t+n+1}) \right] \\
G_{t+1}^\lambda &= \frac{1}{\gamma} G_t^\lambda + (1-\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} \left[-\frac{R_{t+1}}{\gamma} + \gamma^{n-1} R_{t+n+1} - \gamma^{n-1} V(S_{t+n}) + \gamma^n V(S_{t+n+1}) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Could be extended further, but this should be sufficient for today.