Data Science and Artificial Intelliegence Practicum

5-modul. Machine Learning

Original Notebook -> https://jovian.ai/anvarnarz/05-ml-04-machinelearning

5.4 - Machine Learning

Importing libraries for data analysis

```
In [1]: # importing libraries
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
```

Loading dataset

```
In [2]: # Loading dataset
URL = "https://github.com/ageron/handson-ml2/blob/master/datasets/housing.csv?raw=true"
df = pd.read_csv(URL)

df.head()
```

Out[2]:		longitude	latitude	housing_median_age	total_rooms	total_bedrooms	population	households	median_income	median_house_value	ocean_proximity
	0	-122.23	37.88	41.0	880.0	129.0	322.0	126.0	8.3252	452600.0	NEAR BAY
	1	-122.22	37.86	21.0	7099.0	1106.0	2401.0	1138.0	8.3014	358500.0	NEAR BAY
	2	-122.24	37.85	52.0	1467.0	190.0	496.0	177.0	7.2574	352100.0	NEAR BAY
	3	-122.25	37.85	52.0	1274.0	235.0	558.0	219.0	5.6431	341300.0	NEAR BAY
	4	-122.25	37.85	52.0	1627.0	280.0	565.0	259.0	3.8462	342200.0	NEAR BAY

Splitting data into test_set and train_set

Building Pipeline

```
In [5]: from sklearn.base import BaseEstimator, TransformerMixin

# indices of columns that we need
rooms_idx, bedrooms_idx, population_idx, households_idx = 3, 4, 5, 6

class CombinedAttributesAdder(BaseEstimator, TransformerMixin):

def __init__(self, add_bedrooms_per_room=True):
    self.add_bedrooms_per_room = add_bedrooms_per_room
```

```
def fit(self, X, y=None):
    return self # our function is only a transformer (not an estimator)

def transform(self, X):
    rooms_per_household = X[:, rooms_idx] / X[:, households_idx]
    population_per_household = X[:, population_idx] / X[:, households_idx]
    if self.add_bedrooms_per_room: # add_bedrooms_per_room column is optional
        bedrooms_per_room = X[:, bedrooms_idx] / X[:, rooms_idx]
        return np.c_[X, rooms_per_household, population_per_household]
    else:
        return np.c_[X, rooms_per_household, population_per_household]
```

Pipeline for *numerical features*

Pipeline for categorical features

The final and complete pipeline (full_pipeline) is ready.

Using pipeline: call .fit_transform() method.

Dataset is read for Machine Learning.

Machine Learning

Our goal is *prediction*, for this there are several Machine Learning algorithms.

Linear Regression

sklearn.linear_model.LinearRegression - Ordinary least squares Linear Regression.

```
In [9]: from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression

LR_model = LinearRegression()
```

LinearRegression is an estimator. Estimator receives data and learns to predict by fit method.

```
In [10]: LR_model.fit(X_prepared, y)
```

```
Out[10]: v LinearRegression
LinearRegression()
```

Linear regression model is ready!

How can we test the model? Let's feed a row from the housing dataset to the model and compare the result with the existing result (label).

```
In [11]: # choosing 5 random sample rows
    test_data = X_train.sample(5)
    test_data
```

Out[11]:		longitude	latitude	housing_median_age	total_rooms	total_bedrooms	population	households	median_income	ocean_proximity
	10960	-117.89	33.76	36.0	2656.0	572.0	2370.0	571.0	3.8056	<1H OCEAN
	14665	-117.12	32.80	31.0	1727.0	342.0	879.0	345.0	3.8125	NEAR OCEAN
	3759	-118.38	34.18	32.0	3553.0	1060.0	3129.0	1010.0	2.5603	<1H OCEAN
	7663	-118.22	33.83	43.0	1426.0	272.0	871.0	276.0	3.7083	<1H OCEAN
	70	-122.29	37.81	26.0	768.0	152.0	392.0	127.0	1.7719	NEAR BAY

```
In [12]: # extract the labels corresponding to the test_data
    test_label = y.loc[test_data.index]
    test_label
```

```
Out[12]: 10960 177200.0
14665 166300.0
3759 174200.0
7663 175200.0
70 82500.0
```

Name: median_house_value, dtype: float64

We pass the test_data through the pipeline.

Note that this time we call the .transform() method because we called the .fit() method before.

```
In [13]: test_data_prepared = full_pipeline.transform(test_data)
    test_data_prepared
```

```
Out[13]: array([[ 8.43785663e-01, -8.81376346e-01, 5.86545474e-01,
                  6.43582202e-03, 7.99608506e-02, 8.29840618e-01,
                  1.86407359e-01, -3.94668767e-02, -3.28297892e-01,
                  9.10015508e-02, 4.31680370e-02, 1.00000000e+00,
                  0.00000000e+00, 0.00000000e+00, 0.00000000e+00,
                  0.00000000e+001,
                [ 1.22771205e+00, -1.33068821e+00, 1.89786762e-01,
                 -4.20772935e-01, -4.68972490e-01, -4.81479715e-01,
                 -4.06836340e-01, -3.58433767e-02, -1.79884160e-01,
                 -4.74275734e-02, -2.55660923e-01, 0.00000000e+00,
                  0.00000000e+00, 0.00000000e+00, 0.00000000e+00,
                  1.00000000e+001,
                [ 5.99468871e-01, -6.84802404e-01, 2.69138504e-01,
                  4.18929100e-01, 1.24465420e+00, 1.49737391e+00,
                  1.33877012e+00, -6.93429847e-01, -8.03171435e-01,
                  9.14295503e-05, 1.47397998e+00, 1.00000000e+00,
                  0.00000000e+00, 0.00000000e+00, 0.00000000e+00,
                  0.00000000e+001,
                [ 6.79245783e-01, -8.48614022e-01, 1.14200767e+00,
                 -5.59190412e-01, -6.36039159e-01, -4.88515639e-01,
                 -5.87959416e-01, -9.05634775e-02, -1.12498665e-01,
                  5.08152592e-03, -3.81328680e-01, 1.00000000e+00,
                  0.00000000e+00, 0.00000000e+00, 0.00000000e+00,
                  0.00000000e+001,
                [-1.35007941e+00, 1.01415809e+00, -2.06971950e-01,
                 -8.61777454e-01, -9.22439163e-01, -9.09791588e-01,
                 -9.79080261e-01, -1.10745410e+00, 2.56360077e-01,
                 -8.93649143e-04, -2.57637026e-01, 0.00000000e+00,
                  0.00000000e+00, 0.00000000e+00, 1.00000000e+00,
                  0.00000000e+00]])
In [14]: # predicting
         predicted data = LR model.predict(test data prepared)
         predicted data
```

What you see these are the predicted values. Let's compare how they differ from actual values:

Out[14]: array([193853.07920142, 222840.04513555, 184762.98229178, 222315.6310429,

131675.72884619])

Out[15]:

	Prediction	Real price
10960	193853.079201	177200.0
14665	222840.045136	166300.0
3759	184762.982292	174200.0
7663	222315.631043	175200.0
70	131675.728846	82500.0

Evaluating the Model

```
In [16]: test_set
```

Out[16]:		longitude	latitude	housing_median_age	total_rooms	total_bedrooms	population	households	median_income	median_house_value	ocean_prox
	20046	-119.01	36.06	25.0	1505.0	NaN	1392.0	359.0	1.6812	47700.0	IN
	3024	-119.46	35.14	30.0	2943.0	NaN	1565.0	584.0	2.5313	45800.0	IN
	15663	-122.44	37.80	52.0	3830.0	NaN	1310.0	963.0	3.4801	500001.0	NEA
	20484	-118.72	34.28	17.0	3051.0	NaN	1705.0	495.0	5.7376	218600.0	<1H O
	9814	-121.93	36.62	34.0	2351.0	NaN	1063.0	428.0	3.7250	278000.0	NEAR O
	•••										
	15362	-117.22	33.36	16.0	3165.0	482.0	1351.0	452.0	4.6050	263300.0	<1H O
	16623	-120.83	35.36	28.0	4323.0	886.0	1650.0	705.0	2.7266	266800.0	NEAR O
	18086	-122.05	37.31	25.0	4111.0	538.0	1585.0	568.0	9.2298	500001.0	<1H O
	2144	-119.76	36.77	36.0	2507.0	466.0	1227.0	474.0	2.7850	72300.0	IN
	3665	-118.37	34.22	17.0	1787.0	463.0	1671.0	448.0	3.5521	151500.0	<1H O

4128 rows × 10 columns

```
In [17]: # separating predictors
X_test = test_set.drop('median_house_value', axis=1)
X_test
```

Out[17]:		longitude	latitude	housing_median_age	total_rooms	total_bedrooms	population	households	median_income	ocean_proximity
	20046	-119.01	36.06	25.0	1505.0	NaN	1392.0	359.0	1.6812	INLAND
	3024	-119.46	35.14	30.0	2943.0	NaN	1565.0	584.0	2.5313	INLAND
	15663	-122.44	37.80	52.0	3830.0	NaN	1310.0	963.0	3.4801	NEAR BAY
	20484	-118.72	34.28	17.0	3051.0	NaN	1705.0	495.0	5.7376	<1H OCEAN
	9814	-121.93	36.62	34.0	2351.0	NaN	1063.0	428.0	3.7250	NEAR OCEAN
	•••									
	15362	-117.22	33.36	16.0	3165.0	482.0	1351.0	452.0	4.6050	<1H OCEAN
	16623	-120.83	35.36	28.0	4323.0	886.0	1650.0	705.0	2.7266	NEAR OCEAN
	18086	-122.05	37.31	25.0	4111.0	538.0	1585.0	568.0	9.2298	<1H OCEAN
	2144	-119.76	36.77	36.0	2507.0	466.0	1227.0	474.0	2.7850	INLAND
	3665	-118.37	34.22	17.0	1787.0	463.0	1671.0	448.0	3.5521	<1H OCEAN

4128 rows × 9 columns

72300.0

151500.0

2144 3665

```
In [18]: # separating labels
         y_test = test_set['median_house_value'].copy()
         y_test
Out[18]: 20046
                   47700.0
                   45800.0
         3024
         15663
                  500001.0
         20484
                  218600.0
         9814
                  278000.0
                    . . .
         15362
                  263300.0
         16623
                  266800.0
         18086
                  500001.0
```

Name: median_house_value, Length: 4128, dtype: float64

```
In [19]: # pass test set through pipeline
       X_test_prepared = full_pipeline.transform(X_test)
       X_test_prepared
Out[19]: array([[ 0.28534728, 0.1951 , -0.28632369, ..., 0.
               0.
                    , 0. ],
              [ 0.06097472, -0.23549054, 0.11043502, ..., 0.
                  , 0. ],
             [-1.42487026, 1.00947776, 1.85617335, ..., 0.
               1.
                   , 0. ],
              [-1.23041404, 0.78014149, -0.28632369, ..., 0.
               0. , 0. ],
             [-0.08860699, 0.52740357, 0.58654547, ..., 0.
                  , 0. ],
              [ 0.60445493, -0.66608108, -0.92113763, ..., 0.
                   , 0. ]])
In [20]: # Prediction
       y predicted = LR model.predict(X_test_prepared)
```

We use **Root Mean Square Error** (RMSE) to compare prediction and real data:

```
In [21]: # Evaluation
    from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error

lin_mse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_predicted)
# calculate RMSE
lin_rmse = np.sqrt(lin_mse)
print(lin_rmse)
```

72701.32600762133

So, RMSE = \$72701 came out. Not bad, but not good either. That is, our model makes an average error of \$72,000 when evaluating houses.

There is no single, universal solution to improve model accuracy. Things we can try:

- Finding better parameters
- Choosing a better model (algorithm).
- Collecting more information, etc.

We will try another model now.

RandomForestRegressor

RandomForestRegressor()

Out[25]:

DecisionTree

```
In [22]: from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor
         Tree model = DecisionTreeRegressor()
         Tree model.fit(X prepared, y)
Out[22]: ▼ DecisionTreeRegressor
         DecisionTreeRegressor()
In [27]: # Prediction
         y_predicted = Tree_model.predict(X_test_prepared)
         # Evaluation
         lin_mse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_predicted)
         # calculate RMSE
         lin rmse = np.sqrt(lin mse)
         print(lin rmse)
         72099.3810531719
         It is not much different from the previous result.
         RandomForest
In [25]: from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
         RF model = RandomForestRegressor()
         RF model.fit(X prepared, y)
```

```
In [34]: # Prediction
    y_predicted = RF_model.predict(X_test_prepared)

# Evaluation
    lin_mse = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_predicted)
# calculate RMSE
lin_rmse = np.sqrt(lin_mse)
print(lin_rmse)
50302.97033623816
```

Better than previous result.

Cross-validation

With cross-validation, we can divide the dataset into several parts and train & test the model several times using different parts of the dataset.

4-fold validation (k=4)



© https://mathworks.com/discovery/cross-validation

For cross validation, it is not necessary to divide the data into train and test, it is done by sklearn itself.

```
In [35]: X = df.drop('median house value', axis=1)
         y = df['median house value'].copy()
         X prepared = full pipeline.transform(X)
```

We create a simple function to display the validation results:

```
In [36]: def display scores(scores):
             print("Scores:", scores)
             print("Mean:", scores.mean())
             print("Std.dev:", scores.std())
```

LogisticRegression validation

```
In [46]: # import cross validation score
         from sklearn.model selection import cross val score
         scores = cross val score(LR model, X prepared, y, scoring="neg mean squared error", cv=10)
         LR rmse scores = np.sqrt(-scores)
         display scores(LR rmse scores)
         Scores: [84188.51219065 61197.24357613 86752.24346334 62289.14292385
          80540.40041898 68919.39949642 52503.82940087 90910.07884989
          77674.67507925 53941.60539478]
         Mean: 71891.71307941682
         Std.dev: 13249.525989444988
```

DecisionTree validation

```
In [48]: scores = cross val score(Tree model, X prepared, y, scoring="neg mean squared error", cv=10)
         LR rmse scores = np.sqrt(-scores)
         display scores(LR rmse scores)
```

```
Scores: [118471.89596147 72616.0672963 82803.41491496 74062.62707203 90787.70572359 79654.15982782 68368.94540824 100515.26980479 95207.73294116 77760.11062863]

Mean: 86024.79295789878

Std.dev: 14572.863972286626
```

RandomForest validation

```
In [49]: scores = cross_val_score(RF_model, X_prepared, y, scoring="neg_mean_squared_error", cv=10)
LR_rmse_scores = np.sqrt(-scores)

display_scores(LR_rmse_scores)

Scores: [95820.18183358 46972.73919635 65442.46141267 56983.29046103
61284.90178136 60254.09207594 46836.18841014 78995.99562563
74334.86912631 49559.12380717]
Mean: 63648.38437301737
Std.dev: 14861.892467887077
```

Saving the Model

joblib is faster in saving/loading large NumPy arrays, whereas pickle is faster with large collections of Python objects. Therefore, if your model contains large NumPy arrays (as the majority of models does), joblib should be faster.

Saving with pickle

```
In [51]: import pickle

filename = 'RF_model.pkl' # we can give any name to file and extension
with open(filename, 'wb') as file:
    pickle.dump(RF_model, file)
```

Loading the model:

```
In [52]: with open('RF_model.pkl', 'rb') as file:
    model = pickle.load(file)
```

Let's test the model:

```
In [59]: scores = cross val score(model, X prepared, y, scoring="neg mean squared error", cv=5)
         LR rmse scores = np.sqrt(-scores)
         display_scores(LR_rmse_scores)
         Scores: [76771.23270137 64033.48046861 61137.85889808 82093.07560208
          62220.15694484]
         Mean: 69251.16092299437
         Std.dev: 8531.718797395
         Saving with joblib
          pip install joblib
In [61]: import joblib
         filename = 'RF model.jbl' # we can give any name to file and extension
         joblib.dump(RF model, filename)
Out[61]: ['RF model.jbl']
         Loading the model:
In [62]: model = joblib.load('RF model.jbl')
         Testing the model:
In [63]: scores = cross_val_score(model, X_prepared, y, scoring="neg_mean_squared_error", cv=5)
         LR rmse scores = np.sqrt(-scores)
         display_scores(LR_rmse_scores)
         Scores: [77606.97987952 64273.62119636 61140.26982307 81551.3988362
          62291.84698398]
         Mean: 69372.82334382611
         Std.dev: 8485.706347729905
         Saving pipeline with joblib:
```