Specific opportunities for improvements

Add a Subtitle if Needed

Maja Martos

Introduction

Kan i princip skita i att läsa bakgrund, allt blev muppigt när jag insåg att hela bakgrunden hör hemma under setting. Läsa mycket gärna metod och kom med feedback! tänkte skriva lite om audit filters osv i bakgrunden

Trauma is the most common cause of death among Swedes during the first four decades of life.[1]Yet a systematic review covering trauma-related studies in Nordic countries the years 1995–2018, show that they fall behind when it comes to number of publications on the subject compared with other economically similar countries. In the same time, Nordic countries differ from other countries with colder climate, fewer cases of serious trauma annually and long distances to trauma centres as few hospitals are equipped to treat trauma-1 patients [2]

OFI The term opportunities for improvement (OFI), include all aspects of the healthcare chain where there is room for improvement.[3] *Both unanticipated (preventable) and anticipated (non-preventable) mortality can be presented with-or without OFI. Unanticipated deaths can further be categorised as preventable or potentially preventable. [4]

Prediction models

Several prediction models (e.g., NEWS) are applied in the clinic to help health care workers distinguish between seriously injured trauma patients and stabile ones. For the models to be clinically useful, they should be user-friendly and guide important decisions in stressful and time scarce situations, why they often include few parameters and have binary outcomes. While user-friendly, these generic models lack precision and are static throughout different settings, making them difficult to evaluate with respect to patient outcome. (8)

Methods

Study design

A registry-based cohort study linking data from the Swedish trauma registry SweTrau and trauma care quality database at the KUH. The combined data will further be assessed through multinominal logistic regression to identify specific opportunities for improvements (OFI), identified by the multidisciplinary review board at the KUH All data will be managed and analysed in R software using

Settings

From 2010, The Swedish Trauma society holds a national registry over patients suffering serious trauma in Sweden, where serious trauma is defined as traumatic events leading to either a trauma alarm or a new injury severity score (NISS) over 15. In 2021, a total of 10,528 patients were registered (an increase of 17% from 2020). Of these, 90% were assigned to blunt traumas such as falls, traffic accidents and blunt force traumas with objects and the rest to penetrating trauma such as gun shots and stabbing [SweTrau2021?]

In Sweden, the Karolinska University hospital (KUH) covers the regions of Stockholm, Gotland, Södermanland and Västmanland, equivalent to 3 million residents. This is just on pair with the minimum number of patients

needed to be recognized as a quality trauma centre internationally. The hospital is also the only facility in Sweden to qualify as a trauma-1 hospital by American standards.[5]

To detect non-optimal treatment, treating hospitals evaluate trauma patients at a mortality/morbidity conference held by a multidisciplinary board appointed by the hospital. The board consists of a surgeon, an anaesthetist, a trauma nurse and in presence of specific injuries (e.g., intracranial, orthopaedical or thoracic/vascular), specialists from appropriate specialties. Competences involved in the direct care of the patient are free to attend the conference but should not take part in the review.[6]

Patient selection is based on audit filters such as blood pressure <90 mmHg, splenic injuries etc.[7][6] Selected patients are then manually assessed by a nurse who goes through the patient journal and decides whether the patient should be brought to conference. At conference, the multidisciplinary team determines the cause of death and whether the outcome was anticipated or could have been prevented if the patients would have been treated differently. In cases with non-fatal outcome, the team reviews the case for suboptimal handling and treatment. Thus, in both cases, the aim of the conference is to address deviations and potential areas of improvement.[SewTrau2021?]

At the Karolinska University Hospital, results from the conferences are stored in a local trauma care quality database where all areas of improvement are registered and collectively stored in a binary variable (yes/no) named opportunities for improvement (OFI), with outcome = yes if any suboptimal treatment applies for the patient in question.

Objectives

Hitherto, student studies of the trauma registry held by the KUH have used the OFI as a composite measure for all potential deviations leading to unanticipated death. Although this approach offers insight to whether opportunities for improvement exist, it is insufficient in providing health care workers with guidance to actions that improve care of trauma patients. Hence, in this study, all specific parameters included in OFI will be analysed individually to analyse their explanatory value to OFI for each category of trauma, with the aim to identify which areas of improvement that are most relevant to improve care and outcomes for trauma-1 patients.

Participants

The Swedish trauma registry SweTrau includes all trauma patients with a NISS >15 or who have triggered an alarm with trauma team activation in Sweden from 2010, adding up to ... to date. The trauma care quality database is a subset of the Swedish trauma registry and includes those patients treated at the KUH and reviewed by the multidisciplinary team. In our study, data from these two registries will be merged. Those patients from the Swedish trauma registry who have not been treated and reviewed at the KUH and thus have missing covariate data for OFI, will be excluded from the merged dataset.

Variables

The primary outcome will be opportunities for improvements (OFI). detected by the mortality/morbidity team at KUH. The explanatory variables will be all factors going into OFI listed below:

- A
- B
- C

Data soures/measurement

The data will be divided into four categories of trauma: (1) blunt multisystem trauma with traumatic brain injury, (2) blunt multisystem trauma without traumatic brain injury, (3) penetrating trauma, and (4) isolated severe traumatic brain injury. A regression model will be calculated for each category.

Bias

To prevent bias, the multivariable regression model will be developed using a simulated dataset with random data. The algorithm for the model will be developed step-by-step and then evaluated by a trained programmer

and statistician before being applied on the real data

Study size

Quantitative variable

- 1. Riddez L. Olycksfall vanligaste dödsorsak hos yngre. Läkartidningen. 2013. https://lakartidningen.se/tema-trauma-1/2013/02/olycksfall-vanligaste-dodsorsak-hos-yngre/. Accessed 18 Jan 2023.
- 2. Jeppesen E, Iversen VV, Hansen IS, Reierth E, Wisborg T. Trauma research in the nordic countries, 1995–2018 a systematic review. Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine. 2020;28:20.
- 3. Bixby S, Torres D. TRAUMA PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2016.
- 4. Matsumoto S, Jung K, Smith A, Coimbra R. Trauma center maturity measured by an analysis of preventable and potentially preventable deaths: There is always something to be learned.... Surg Today. 2018:48:1004–10.
- 5. Välkommen till traumacentrum karolinska. https://www.karolinska.se/for-vardgivare/tema-akut-och-reparativ-medicin/trauma-akutkirurgi-och-ortopedi2/traumacentrum-karolinska-tck/. Accessed 18 Jan 2023. 6. Årsrapporter | SweTrau.
- 7. Evans C, Howes D, Pickett W, Dagnone L. Audit filters for improving processes of care and clinical outcomes in trauma systems. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2009. https://doi.org/10.1002/1465 1858.CD007590.pub2.