

High Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models (LDM)

Rombach et al. 2022

Reviewed & Presented by Joon Hyeok Kim

Contents

1. Recap & Weaknesses of DDPM
2. Suggestion : Latent Diffusion Model (LDM) = Diffusion × VAE
3. Upgrade : Conditional LDM = Diffusion × VAE × Cross-Attention
4. Pros, Cons, and Updates of LDM : Stable Diffusion v1 → v2 → v3

Recap : Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM)

Forward Process $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_t) :$ $\underbrace{\mathbf{x}_0}_{\text{original image}} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{T-1} \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbf{x}_T}_{\text{pure noise!}}$



- What we choose by scheduling β_t

Reverse Process $p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) :$ $\underbrace{\mathbf{x}_T}_{\text{pure noise}} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{T-1} \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbf{x}'_0}_{\text{synthetic image!}}$



- A neural network with parameters θ that we want to learn!

Optimizing DDPM

1. Use Bayes Rule to get **posterior**

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1})q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \mid \mathbf{x}_0)}{q(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_0)}$$

2. Maximize **ELBO** : $\log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_0) \geq \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_0)} \left[\log \frac{p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T} \mid \mathbf{x}_0)} \right]$


- Equivalent to minimizing KL-Divergence (L_{vlb})

3. Authors found that this was equivalent to estimating the **noise** that we added

- $L_{\text{simple}}(\theta) := \mathbb{E}_{t, \mathbf{x}_0, \epsilon} \left[\left\| \underbrace{\epsilon}_{\text{noise!}} - \epsilon_\theta(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, t) \right\|^2 \right]$

cf.) Later improved to $L_{\text{hybrid}} = L_{\text{simple}} + \lambda L_{\text{vlb}}$ to achieve competitive log-likelihood!

Implementing DDPM : UNet



Weakness 1 : Computationally costly

Pixel Space Dimension $D = H \times W \times \underbrace{3}_{\text{RGB}}$




$D = 512^2 \times 3 = 786,432$ for a single image!

Weakness 2 : Waste of resources on learning imperceptible details

What model cares



What we care



Weakness 3 : Slow Sampling due to Markov Chain Structure

Reverse Process




- $p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) : \underbrace{\mathbf{x}_T}_{\text{pure noise}} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{T-1} \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbf{x}'_0}_{\text{synthetic image!}}$
 $\qquad\qquad\qquad \overbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}^{T \text{ steps!}}$
- At each step, we sample $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; \mu_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t), \Sigma_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t))$

Idea 1: What if we lower the dimension and perform Diffusion?

1. Encode image to the Latent Space (VAE)
2. Perform diffusion in the Latent Space (Diffusion Model)
3. Decode image back to the Pixel Space (VAE)

Idea 2: Skip some sampling steps using DDIM




Use the directional info to the final answer!

Figure 2: Graphical model for accelerated generation, where $\tau = [1, 3]$.

Model Structure

VAE : Perceptual Compression Model

Diffusion : Latent Diffusion Model



Result on Unconditional Image Generation


DDPM (2020)





LDM (2022)



Advantage 1 : Improved Image Quality & Faster Sampling



How? Teamwork maybe?



Is that it? Not even close.

Conditional generation in previous diffusion models...

"Cat" in DDPM	"Cat" in CFG	
		Pre-defined labels on the dataset

Phenomenal Shift : Expressive Conditional Image Generation

Text-to-Image Synthesis on LAION. 1.45B Model.

'A street sign that reads
“Latent Diffusion”'





'A zombie in the
style of Picasso'



'An image of an animal
half mouse half octopus'



'An illustration of a slightly
conscious neural network'



'A painting of a
squirrel eating a burger'




'A watercolor painting of a
chair that looks like an octopus'



'A shirt with the inscription:
“I love generative models!”'




Upgrade : Conditional LDM = DDPM \times VAE \times (Cross) Attention



Cross Attention

$$\text{Attention}^{(i)}(Q^{(i)}, K^{(i)}, V^{(i)}) = \text{Softmax}\left(\frac{Q^{(i)}K^{(i)\top}}{\sqrt{d}}\right) \cdot V^{(i)}$$



Other capabilities...

Semantic Synthesis

Semantic Synthesis on Flickr-Landscapes [23]



Upscaling

bicubic



LDM-SR



SR3




In-painting

input



result



Pros & Cons of LDM

Strengths

Improvement in image quality compared to previous Diffusion Models.

Faster sampling speed compared to previous Diffusion Models.

- Key bottleneck of the Diffusion Models

Expressive conditional image generation

- Thanks to the Cross-Attention Mechanism

Versatile capabilities

- Expressive T2I, Semantic Synthesis, Upscaling, In-painting

Pros & Cons of LDM

Weaknesses

Still, **slow** sampling speed compared to **other models**

- GANs are way faster. (But Diffusion Models are more stable!)

Questionable when high precision is required



- Why?
 - Some information compressed by the VAE may not be recovered.
 - Stochastic nature of the Diffusion Models (Then, deterministic Flow?)

High training cost

- Inference is relatively cheaper though...

Updates: LDM → Stable Diffusion (SD) → SD2 → SD3

Spoiler : LDM + DiT + Rectified Flow = Stable Diffusion 3



Dystopia of thousand of workers picking cherries and feeding them into a machine that runs on steam and is as large as a skyscraper. Written on the side of the machine: "SD3 Paper"

Questions

Thank you