1. (20 pts) Given F = {  $a \rightarrow b$ ,  $b \rightarrow c$ ,  $c \rightarrow \{d, e\}$  }. What is  $\{b\}$ + (i.e. the closure of b)? Show your steps to achieve the answer.

$$\{b\}+ = \{b\}$$
  
=  $\{b,c\} = \{b,c,d,e\}$ 

2. (20 pts) Given F = {  $a \rightarrow b$ ,  $c \rightarrow d$ ,  $b \rightarrow \{d, e\}$ ,  $\{a, b\} \rightarrow c$  }. What is  $\{a\}$ + (i.e. the closure of a)? Show your steps to achieve the answer.

$${a}+ = {a}$$
  
=  ${a,b}$   
=  ${a,b,d,e}$   
=  ${a,b,d,e,c}$ 

- 3. (30 pts) Given R(a, b, c, d, e) with two keys, (a,b) and c, and given the following set of functional dependencies  $F = \{ \{a, b\} \rightarrow \{c, d, e\}, c \rightarrow \{a, b, d\} \}$ .
  - 1. Is R in 1NF? Justify your answer.
    - There is not enough information to determine it. There is no semantics given for the the relation. I have no idea if an attribute is a multi-value attribute or not. I assume it is in 1NF.
  - 2. Is R in 2NF? Justify your answer.
    - 1. Non-primary key attribute 'd' is fully functional dependent on {a,b} or {c}. Non-primary key attribute 'e' is fully functional dependent on {a,b} so R is in 2NF. Assuming R is already in 1NF.
  - 3. Is R in 3NF? Justify your answer.
    - Every FD's left side is a superkey so this passes the test for 3NF, so R is in 3NF. Also, no non-prime attribute functionally assuming R is already in 1NF determines a non-prime attribute.

- 4. (30 pts) Given R(a, b, c, d, e) with a key (a,b) and given the following set of functional dependencies  $F = \{ a \rightarrow b, \{a, b\} \rightarrow c, b \rightarrow \{d, e\} \}$ .
  - 1. Is R in 1NF? Justify your answer.
    - Given no information about the semantics of the columns. I
      will not be able to determine R is in 1NF or not. I will assume
      R is in 1NF.
  - 2. Is R in 2NF? Justify your answer.
    - 1. Non prime attribute d is have a partial functional depedency. It is being determined by part of a prime attribute. This violates the rule for 2NF. Attribute d also have this same problem. So R is not in 2NF.
  - 3. Is R in 3NF? Justify your answer.
    - 1. For the FD a->b a is not a superkey so violates the rule to be 3NF. Also in order to be in 3NF, R must be in 2NF first and R is not in 2NF so is not in 3NF as well.