Solving MHD PDEs with Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs)

Jorge Enciso

November 6, 2024

Abstract

This paper explores the use of Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs) for solving Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) partial differential equations (PDEs). We design a custom neural network kernel that incorporates the essential physical operators, such as advection, induction, and Lorentz forces, to efficiently solve the governing equations of MHD in a variety of conditions.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Related Work	4
3	Parker Neural Operator3.1 Parker's Solar Wind model	4
4	Hermite Splines 4.1 Related Work	4 5
5	Coronagraph Analysis 5.1 Data	

6	Pipeline	5
7	Results	5
8	Discussion	5
9	Conclusion9.1 Momentum Equation (Navier-Stokes)	5 5 6
10	Physics-Informed Neural Network Kernel 10.1 Advection Term	6 6 6 7
11	Numerical Implementation and Results 11.1 Neural Network Architecture	7 7 7
12	Conclusion	7

1 Introduction

The solar wind phenomena is a vastly known event that arises from ionized solar outbursts into the stellar medium, commonly stimulating planets' magnetosphere. [1] The first record of a related event dates from 1859, popularly named "The Carrington event" after the English astronomer Richard Carrington (1826, 1875), who settled the intuition upon solar flares and geomagnetic fluctuations. Individuals from all around the glove sighted the Northern Lights, subtle variations in atmosphere's hues' tones, caused by ionized particles flowing through the ionosphere.

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is the study of the behavior of electrically conducting fluids in the presence of magnetic fields. The governing equations of MHD consist of the magnetohydrodynamic induction equation and the momentum equation, which couple the dynamics of the fluid and magnetic fields. These systems of partial differential equations are complex and non-linear, making them challenging to solve with traditional numerical methods.

Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs) offer a powerful framework for solving PDEs by embedding the physical laws directly into the loss function during the network's training. This approach has shown promising results for solving a variety of PDEs in physics and engineering. In this work, we investigate the use of PINNs to solve MHD PDEs and propose a custom kernel that incorporates the physical terms of MHD, such as advection, induction, and Lorentz forces, into the neural network's architecture.

Traditional models and methodologies fail to account for the anysotropic nature of the Solar Wind. The Magnetohydrodynamics Model assumes a Boltzmann-Maxwellian distribution, doted with standard thermodynamical behavior. On the other hand, the Solar Wind is a collisionles plasma, precluding its inclusion solely within those boundaries. Thus, the present work emphasizes the usage of learnable neural operators engineered to empirically fit kinetic and dynamical behaviors.

Moreover, kinetic frames based on Maxwellian electromagnetism tend to be computationally expensive for the microscopic and macroscopic frame:

$$n_{\alpha}(r,t) \equiv \tag{1}$$

Yet modern physics informed machine learning research schemes enable faster inference time and less computational load: embedding systems' equations within the loss function and constraining network's backbone based on empirical approaches.

2 Related Work

3 Parker Neural Operator

3.1 Parker's Solar Wind model

Parker's model of Solar wind establishes a radial outward propagation of the plasma through time:

3.2 Neural Operators

3.3 Parker Kernel

4 Hermite Splines

Implicit PINN research usually enriches datasets with high resolution simulation data. High resolution data plays an important role within the machine learning PDE solvers. Numerical integration methods' accuracy decays as the data resolution does so. Given the unfulfilled knowledge about solar wind dynamics and low resolution nature of the data, this work utilizes polynomial interpolation methods with empirical backup [2] to convert the discrete problem into continuous.

- 4.1 Related Work
- 5 Coronagraph Analysis
- 5.1 Data
- 5.2 Architecture
- 6 Pipeline
- 7 Results
- 8 Discussion
- 9 Conclusion

9.1 Momentum Equation (Navier-Stokes)

The momentum equation for an incompressible, electrically conducting fluid is given by:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}, \tag{2}$$

where **u** is the velocity field, p is the pressure, ν is the kinematic viscosity, **J** is the current density, and **B** is the magnetic field.

9.2 Induction Equation

The induction equation describes the evolution of the magnetic field ${\bf B}$ in the presence of the velocity field ${\bf u}$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}) + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B},\tag{3}$$

where η is the magnetic diffusivity.

9.3 Constraints

To ensure the magnetic field remains divergence-free, we impose the constraint:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0. \tag{4}$$

10 Physics-Informed Neural Network Kernel

The goal of the Physics-Informed Neural Network is to minimize the residuals of the PDEs by incorporating the physical operators (advection, induction, Lorentz force) into the loss function.

10.1 Advection Term

The advection term for the velocity field \mathbf{u} is given by:

$$(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u},$$
 (5)

which represents the non-linear convective term in the momentum equation.

10.2 Induction Term

The induction term for the magnetic field **B** is:

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B}),\tag{6}$$

which represents the evolution of the magnetic field due to the fluid flow.

10.3 Lorentz Force Term

The Lorentz force term is the cross product of the current density \mathbf{J} and the magnetic field \mathbf{B} . Using the relation $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$, the Lorentz force can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}.\tag{7}$$

10.4 Residuals and Loss Function

The residuals for the MHD equations are computed by evaluating the PDE terms at each point in space and time. The overall loss function $L_{\rm MHD}$ is defined as:

$$L_{\text{MHD}} = \sum_{i} \left(|\text{Residual at point } x_i|^2 \right),$$
 (8)

which ensures that the neural network learns to satisfy the MHD equations during training.

11 Numerical Implementation and Results

11.1 Neural Network Architecture

The neural network consists of fully connected layers with activation functions that allow for the efficient learning of the velocity and magnetic field solutions. We use a standard feed-forward neural network architecture, where the input consists of spatial and temporal coordinates and the output gives the predicted velocity and magnetic field.

11.2 Training Procedure

The network is trained using a standard optimization algorithm such as Adam. During training, we compute the residuals for the momentum and induction equations, as well as the divergence-free constraint for the magnetic field, and include them in the loss function.

11.3 Results

The neural network was able to approximate the solution to the MHD equations under various boundary conditions. The results were validated against known analytical solutions for simple test cases, and the PINN-based approach demonstrated significant accuracy and efficiency.

12 Conclusion

In this work, we have demonstrated the effectiveness of Physics-Informed Neural Networks for solving Magnetohydrodynamics PDEs. By embedding the governing physical equations directly into the loss function, we can efficiently train a neural network to approximate the solution of complex, nonlinear systems. Future work will focus on improving the kernel design and extending the approach to more complicated MHD scenarios.

References

- [1] J. T. Gosling. The Solar Wind. Elsevier, 2007.
- [2] Nils Wandel, Michael Weinmann, Michael Neidlin, and Reinhard Klein. Spline-pinn: Approaching pdes without data using fast, physics-informed hermite-spline cnns. *CoRR*, abs/2109.07143, 2021.