

Norwegian University of Science and Technology Department for Computer Science Methods in Artificial

Intelligence TDT4171 Spring 2021

Jørgen Rosager Exercise 1

1 a)

$$P(Siblings \le 2) = P(Siblings = 0) + P(Siblings = 1) + P(Siblings = 2)$$
$$= 0.15 + 0.49 + 0.27$$
$$= 0.91$$

b)

$$\begin{split} P(Siblings > 2 | Siblings \geq 1) &= \frac{P(Siblings > 2 \land Siblings \geq 1)}{P(Siblings \geq 1)} \\ &= \frac{P(Siblings > 2)}{P(Siblings \geq 1)} \\ &= \frac{1 - P(Siblings \leq 2)}{1 - P(Siblings = 0)} \\ &= \frac{0.09}{0.85} = \frac{9}{85} \approx 0.1059 \end{split}$$

c) Let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  denote the siblings for each of the friends.

There are 3 permutations for who can have 3 siblings and the other 0 siblings, only 1 permutation where each have 1 sibling and  $3 \cdot 2 = 6$  permutations for who can have 2 siblings, 1 sibling and 0 sibling (we first have 3 choices for the friend with 2 siblings, then 2 choices for the one with 1 sibling). This gives us:

$$\begin{split} P(S_1 + S_2 + S_3 = 3) &= 3P(S_1 = 3)P(S_2 = 0)P(S_3 = 0) \\ &+ P(S_1 = 1)P(S_2 = 1)P(S_3 = 1) \\ &+ 6P(S_1 = 2)P(S_2 = 1)P(S_3 = 0) \\ &= 3 \cdot 0.06 \cdot 0.15^2 + 0.49^3 + 6 \cdot 0.27 \cdot 0.49 \cdot 0.15 \\ &= 0.240769 \end{split}$$

d) Let  $S_E$  and  $S_J$  denote siblings for Emma and Jacob respectfully. We have:

$$P(S_E = 0 | S_E + S_J = 3) = \frac{P(S_E = 0 \land S_E + S_J = 3)}{P(S_E + S_J = 3)}$$

$$P(S_E = 0 \land S_E + S_J = 3) = P(S_E = 0)P(S_J = 3)$$

$$P(S_E + S_J = 3) = 2P(S_E = 0)P(S_J = 3) + 2P(S_E = 1)P(S_J = 2)$$

$$\implies P(S_E = 0 | S_E + S_J = 3) = \frac{P(S_E = 0)P(S_J = 3)}{2P(S_E = 0)P(S_J = 3) + 2P(S_E = 1)P(S_J = 2)}$$

$$= \frac{0.15 \cdot 0.06}{2 \cdot 0.15 \cdot 0.06 + 2 \cdot 0.49 \cdot 0.27}$$

$$= \frac{5}{157} \approx 0.031847$$

a) Every node can be represented by  $2^k$  numbers where k is the number of parent nodes when each variable has a Boolean state. This gives us the following:

Variable	Numbers needed
A	1
В	2
С	2
D	2
E	4
F	4
G	2
Н	1
Sum	18

As we can see the sum is 18 numbers needed and the statement is thus **true**.

- b) False, since both G and A have E as an descendant and we are not given any evidence they are not independent.
- c) False, since E is not given both its parents it can't be conditionally independent from H
- d) True, since E is given both its parents it is conditionally independent from H.
- 3 a)
  - **b**)
  - **c**)
  - $\mathbf{d})$
- 4 a)
  - b)
  - **c**)