Contents

1	Cla	Classical multidimensional scaling 1.1 a															2										
	1.1	a																									2
	1.2	b																									2
	1.3	\mathbf{c}																									2
	1.4	d																									3
2	Loc	Locality-sensitive hashing															4										
	2.1	a																									4
	2.2																										
	2.3	c																						_			4

1 Classical multidimensional scaling

1.1 a

$$d_{ij} = ||\mathbf{p_i} - \mathbf{p_j}||^2 = ||\mathbf{p_i} - \mathbf{p_j}|| ||\mathbf{p_i} - \mathbf{p_j}|| = 2\langle \mathbf{p_i}, \mathbf{p_j} \rangle + ||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 + ||\mathbf{p_j}||^2$$
(1)

1.2 b

The explanation is that because the point set is mean-centered the scaling factor vector (\mathbf{q}) does not matter. If statement in question b can be proven in 1 direction all the other dimensions are proven too. The proof can be given mathematically by first expanding the sum sign:

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le n} \langle \mathbf{p_i}, \mathbf{q} \rangle = p_1 q + \ldots + p_n q = q(p_1 + \ldots + p_n) = 0$$
(2)

The mean-centered definition in respectively all dimensions and one dimension is given by:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{p_i} = \mathbf{0} \to \sum_{1 \le i \le n} (p_1 + \dots + p_n) = 0$$
 (3)

Therefore equation 2 is zero and equation b in the question holds.

1.3 c

Prove that:

$$||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{d_{il}}{n} - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{d_{kl}}{2n^2}$$
(4)

This equation can be expanded to:

$$||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{||\mathbf{p_i} - \mathbf{p_l}||^2}{n} - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{||\mathbf{p_k} - \mathbf{p_l}||^2}{2n^2}$$
 (5)

Which can be written as:

$$||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{2\langle \mathbf{p_i}, \mathbf{p_l} \rangle + ||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 + ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2}{n} - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{2\langle \mathbf{p_k}, \mathbf{p_l} \rangle + ||\mathbf{p_k}||^2 + ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2}{2n^2}$$
(6)

Since equation 2 holds this can be rewritten as:

$$||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 + ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2}{n} - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{||\mathbf{p_k}||^2 + ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2}{2n^2} =$$
(7)

Because $\mathbf{p_i}$ and $\mathbf{p_k}$ are added n times, this can be rewritten to:

$$\frac{1}{n} \left(n||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 + \sum_{l=1}^n ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(n||\mathbf{p_k}||^2 + \sum_{l=1}^n ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2 \right)$$
(8)

Vectors $\mathbf{p_l}$ is added n times, therefore:

$$||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 + \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2n^2} \left(n \sum_{l=1}^n ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2 + n \sum_{k=1}^n ||\mathbf{p_k}||^2 \right)$$
(9)

The sum of k and l for these vectors are the same, therefore:

$$||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 + \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2 \right) - \frac{2n}{2n^2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n ||\mathbf{p_l}||^2 \right) = ||\mathbf{p_i}||^2$$
 (10)

Now equation 4 is shown to be correct.

1.4 d

First the distance is expanded:

$$d_{ij} = ||\mathbf{p_i} - \mathbf{p_j}||^2 = 2\langle \mathbf{p_i}, \mathbf{p_j} \rangle + ||\mathbf{p_i}||^2 + ||\mathbf{p_j}||^2$$
(11)

Now equation 4 is substituted in 11 for $||\mathbf{p_i}||^2$ and $||\mathbf{p_j}||^2$:

$$d_{ij} = 2\langle \mathbf{p_i}, \mathbf{p_j} \rangle + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{d_{il}}{n} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{d_{kl}}{n^2} + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{d_{jl}}{n}$$
(12)

Therefore:

$$-2\langle \mathbf{p_i}, \mathbf{p_j} \rangle = d_{ij} - \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{d_{il}}{n} - \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{d_{jl}}{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{d_{kl}}{n^2}$$
 (13)

2 Locality-sensitive hashing

2.1 a

If the size of the intersection of the sets is zero, than there is no common row with both ones. Therefore the minhashing for each permutation is zero, because every row is different (except with two zeros). Mathematically:

$$\sin_g(S_i, S_j) = \frac{|S_i \cap S_j|}{|S_i \cup S_j| - |S_i \cap S_j|} = Pr[h(S_i) = h(S_j)]$$
 (14)

Because the intersect is zero the Probability is zero.

$$\sin_g(S_i, S_j) = \frac{0}{|S_i \cup S_j| - |S_i \cap S_j|} = 0$$
 (15)

Therefore the min hashing is always the correct estimate, namely zero.

2.2 b

In order to maximally amplify the hash family we look at the equation: $1-(1-s^k)^L$. As can been seen in this equation is that in order to maximize the probability of becoming a candidate pair we should maximize L and minimize k, because $L = \frac{m}{k}$. With m the number of hash functions. Therefore the AND constructor (L) must be equal to m and the OR constructor must be equal to 1.

2.3 c

Using the definition of false positives and the function Y(p):

$$Y(p) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } \{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P} : h(\mathbf{p}) = h(\mathbf{q}) \wedge d(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \le d_2 \} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(16)

The number of false positive is given by:

$$E(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}} Y(p) \tag{17}$$