

## Note: Probability Theory

Nov 2024

Lecturer:

Typed by: Zhuohua Shen

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Measure Theory</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Expectation . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Law of Large Numbers</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Almost Surely Convergence . . . . .	1
<b>3</b>	<b>Central Limit Theorem</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Random Walks</b>	<b>1</b>
4.1	Stopping Times (A.1.1) . . . . .	2
4.2	Recurrence vs. Transience (A.1.2) . . . . .	2
4.3	Reflection Principle and Arcsine Distribution (A.1.3) . . . . .	3
<b>5</b>	<b>Martingales</b>	<b>3</b>
5.1	Conditional expectation A.2.1 . . . . .	3
5.2	Almost surely convergence . . . . .	3
<b>6</b>	<b>Techniques</b>	<b>4</b>
6.1	Convergence . . . . .	4
6.1.1	Convergence of random series . . . . .	4
<b>A</b>	<b>Proofs</b>	<b>4</b>
A.1	Proofs - 4 . . . . .	4
A.1.1	Proofs - 4.1 . . . . .	4
A.1.2	Proofs - 4.2 . . . . .	5
A.1.3	Proofs - 4.3 . . . . .	5
A.2	Proofs - 5 . . . . .	6
A.2.1	Proofs - 5.1 . . . . .	6

References: STAT5005 and *Probability: Theory and Examples*, 4th edition, by Richard Durrett, published by Cambridge University Press.

## 1 Measure Theory

## 1.1 Expectation

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $X \geq 0$ ,  $p > 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}X^p = \int_0^\infty px^{p-1}\mathbb{P}(X > x)dx$ .

## 2 Law of Large Numbers

## 2.1 Almost Surely Convergence

This lemma gives an equivalent relation between expectation and sum of tail probability.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $X_i$  iid and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}(|X_n| > n\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{E}|X_1| \leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathbb{P}(|X_n| > n\varepsilon)$ .

## 3 Central Limit Theorem

## 4 Random Walks

**Random walk (RW):** Let  $\mathbf{X}_i$  be iid rvs in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{S}_n : n \geq 1\}$  is called a RW. Take  $\mathbf{S}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Simple random walk (SRW):** If  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X_i = -1) = 1/2$ , then  $\{S_n\}$  is called a SRW in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . If  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}_i = (1, 1)) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}_i = (1, -1)) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}_i = (-1, 1)) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}_i = (-1, -1)) = 1/4$ , then called a SRW in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 4.1 Stopping Times (A.1.1)

### Long-term behavior of RW

**Permutable (or exchangeable):** An event that does not change under finite permutation of  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots\}$ .

- All events in the tail  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{T}$  are permutable.
- $\{\omega : S_n(\omega) \in B \text{ i.o.}\}$  is permutable but not tail event.
- $\{\omega : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(\omega)/c_n \geq 1\}$ .

**Theorem 4.1** (Hewitt-Savage 0-1 law). *If  $X_i$  iid and event  $A$  is permutable, then  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  or  $1$ .*

**Theorem 4.2** (Long-term behavior of RW). *For a RW in  $\mathbb{R}$ , one of the following has probability 1:*

- (i)  $S_n = 0$  for all  $n$  ;
- (ii)  $S_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $S_n \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (iv)  $-\infty = \liminf_n S_n < \limsup_n S_n = \infty$ .

**For two levels  $a < b$ , find the probability that RW reaches  $b$  before  $a$**

**Filtration:** Let  $X_i$  be a sequence of rvs,  $\{\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  as an increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -fields, is called a filtration. We usually take  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\phi, \Omega\}$ .

**Stopping time/optional random variable/optimal time/Markov time:**  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{\infty\}$  is a stopping time w.r.t.  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$  if  $\{\tau = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . (Equivalent def:  $\{\tau \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  or  $\{\tau \geq n+1\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ )

- Constant  $\tau = n$  is a stopping time.
- If  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  are stopping time, then  $\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2, \tau_1 \vee \tau_2, \tau_1 + \tau_2$  are stopping times.
- **Hitting time of  $A$ :** let  $A$  measurable, then  $\tau = \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n \in A\}$  is a stopping time.
- $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_N$  = the information known at time  $N$ . Def:  $\mathcal{F}_N$  is the collection of sets  $A$  that have  $A \cup \{N = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \forall n < \infty$ . Example:  $\{N \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_N$ , i.e.,  $N$  is  $\mathcal{F}_N$ -measurable.

**Theorem 4.3** (Wald's equation). *Let  $X_i$  iid and  $\tau$  be a stopping time.*

1. (Wald's first equation) *If  $\mathbb{E}|X_1| < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}\tau < \infty$ , then  $\mathbb{E}S_\tau = \mathbb{E}X_1\mathbb{E}\tau$ .*
2. (Wald's second equation) *If  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0, \mathbb{E}X_1^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty, \mathbb{E}\tau < \infty$ , then  $\mathbb{E}S_\tau^2 = \sigma^2\mathbb{E}\tau$ .*

**Example 4.4** (Results for 1-d SRW). *For 1-d SRW, let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a < 0 < b$ . Let  $N = \inf\{n : S_n \notin (a, b)\} = \inf\{n : S_n = a \text{ or } b\}$ . Then*

1.  $\mathbb{E}N < \infty$ ,
2.  $S_N = a \text{ or } b$ ,
3.  $\mathbb{P}(S_N = a) = b/(b-a), \mathbb{P}(S_N = b) = -a/(b-a)$ ,
4.  $\mathbb{E}N = \mathbb{E}S_N^2 = (-a)b$ .

## 4.2 Recurrence vs. Transience (A.1.2)

**When RW return to 0?** We consider SRW on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and define its first, second, ...,  $n$ th returning time to the origin to be

$$\tau_1 = \inf\{m \geq 1 : S_m = \mathbf{0}\},$$

$$\tau_n = \inf\{m > \tau_{n-1} : S_m = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

**Theorem 4.5.** *For any RW, the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty) = 1$
- (ii)  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_n < \infty) = 1, \forall n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- (iii)  $\mathbb{P}(S_m = \mathbf{0} \text{ i.o.}) = 1$
- (iv)  $\sum_{m=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}(S_m = \mathbf{0}) = \infty$ .

- $\mathbb{P}(\tau_n < \infty) = (\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty))^n$ .

**Recurrent:** If  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty) = 1$ , then the RW is called recurrent.

**Transient:** If  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty) < 1$ , then the RW is called Transient.

**Theorem 4.6** (Recurrence of SRW). *SRW is recurrent in  $d \leq 2$  and transient in  $d \geq 3$ .*

- We define the first time a random walk starting from  $a$  reaches  $b$ :  $\tau_{a \rightarrow b} := \inf\{m \geq 1 : a + S_m = b\}$ . It can be proved that  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty) = 1$  iff  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_{a \rightarrow b} < \infty) = 1, \forall a, b$ .

### 4.3 Reflection Principle and Arcsine Distribution (A.1.3)

**What is the distribution of the time spent above 0?** We consider the SRW,  $d = 1$ , and think of the sequence  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  as being represented by a polygonal line with segments  $(k-1, S_{k-1}) \rightarrow (k, S_k)$ .

**Theorem 4.7** (Reflection Principle).

- (Reflection principle for numbers) If  $x, y > 0$ , then the number of paths from  $(0, x)$  to  $(n, y)$  that are 0 at some time is equal to the number of paths from  $(0, -x)$  to  $(n, y)$ .
- (Reflection principle for SRW) Let  $X_i$  be SRW with  $d = 1$ . Then  $\forall b \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \geq b) = 2\mathbb{P}(S_n > b) + \mathbb{P}(S_n = b).$$

**Theorem 4.8** (Hit 0 time).  $\mathbb{P}(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n} > 0) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0)$ , and  $\mathbb{P}(S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \neq 0) = \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0)$ .

**Arcsine distribution:** a continuous distribution with density  $\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$ ,  $x \in (0, 1)$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} L_{2n} &:= \sup\{m \leq 2n : S_m = 0\}, & (\text{last time at } 0) \\ F_n &:= \inf\{0 \leq m \leq n : S_m = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_k\}, & (\text{first time at maximum}) \\ \pi_{2n} &:= \text{number of } k : 1 \leq k \leq 2n \text{ such that the line } (k-1, S_{k-1}) \rightarrow (k, S_k) \text{ is above the } x\text{-axis.} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.9** (Arcsine law).  $\frac{L_{2n}}{2n}, \frac{F_n}{n}, \frac{\pi_{2n}}{2n}$  all converge in distribution to the arcsine distribution.

## 5 Martingales

### 5.1 Conditional expectation A.2.1

**Definition 5.1** (Conditional expectation).  $X$  is a random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with  $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . We define the **conditional expectation** of  $X$  given  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{A})$ , to be any random variable  $Y$  satisfying

- (i)  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable, and
- (ii)  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{E}[X\mathbf{1}_A] = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_A]$ .
  - For rvs  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X|Y) := \mathbb{E}(X|\sigma(Y))$ .
  - For set  $A$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(A|\mathcal{A}) := \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_A|\mathcal{A})$ .
  - For set  $A, B$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(A|B) := \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)/\mathbb{P}(B)$  given  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$ .

- If  $Y$  satisfies (i) and (ii), then  $\mathbb{E}|Y| \leq \mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$ .
- $\mathbb{E}Y = \mathbb{E}X$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{A})] = \mathbb{E}X$ .
- **Uniqueness:** If  $Y'$  also satisfies (i) and (ii), then  $Y = Y'$  a.s. Any such  $Y$  is said to be a **version** of  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{A})$ .
- **Existence:** By Radon-Nikodym theorem.

**Example 5.2.**

- If  $X$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable, then  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{A}) = X$ . So a constant  $c = \mathbb{E}(c|\mathcal{A})$ . (Know  $X$ , the “best guess” is  $X$ )
- If  $\sigma(X) \perp \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{E}X$ . (Don’t know anything about  $X$ , the best guess is its mean)
- Suppose  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots$  is a finite or infinite partition of  $\Omega$  into disjoint sets with positive probability, and let  $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\Omega_1, \dots)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{E}(X\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i})/\mathbb{P}(\Omega_i)$  on  $\Omega_i$ .
  - Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(X|\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{E}X$ .
- (Bayes’s formula) Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(G|A) = \int_G \mathbb{P}(A|\mathcal{G})d\mathbb{P} / \int_\Omega \mathbb{P}(A|\mathcal{G})d\mathbb{P}$ . When  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field generated by a partition, this reduces to the usual Bayes’ formula  $\mathbb{P}(G_i|A) = \mathbb{P}(A|G_i)P(G_i) / \sum_j \mathbb{P}(A|G_j)P(G_j)$ .

### 5.2 Almost surely convergence

**Theorem 5.3** (Martingale convergence theorem). Suppose  $S_n$  is a submartingale and  $\liminf \mathbb{E}S_n^+ < \infty$  (or  $\sup \mathbb{E}S_n^+ < \infty$ ), then  $S_n \rightarrow S$  a.s. with  $\mathbb{E}|S| < \infty$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** Suppose  $S_n$  is a supermartingale. If  $S_n \geq 0$ , then  $S_n \rightarrow S$  a.s. and  $\mathbb{E}S \leq \mathbb{E}S_1 < \infty$ .

## 6 Techniques

### 6.1 Convergence

#### 6.1.1 Convergence of random series

Let  $X_i$  be a sequence of rvs.  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . By 0-1 law,  $\mathbb{P}(\lim_n S_n \text{ exists}) = 0 \text{ or } 1$ .

To show the convergence of random series:

1.

To show the divergence of random series:

1. If SLLN holds,  $S_n/n \rightarrow \mu$  a.s., if  $\mu > 0$ , then  $S_n \rightarrow \infty$  a.s.
  2. Use  $S'_n$ ,  $S'_n = S_n$  e.v. a.s., that is,  $\mathbb{P}(S_n \neq S'_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ . And show  $S'_n \rightarrow \infty$  a.s.
- Next, we consider  $S_n/f(n)$ .

## A Proofs

### A.1 Proofs - 4

#### A.1.1 Proofs - 4.1

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** By the 0-1 law 4.1,  $\{\limsup_n S_n \geq c\}$  has probability 0 or 1, meaning that  $\limsup_n S_n = c \in [-\infty, \infty]$  w.p.1. Since  $S_n \stackrel{d}{=} S_{n+1} - X_1$ , we have  $c = c - X_1$ .

(i) If  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $X_1 \equiv 0$  a.s., so  $S_n = 0$  for all  $n$  a.s.

If  $X_1 \neq 0$  a.s., then  $c = -\infty$  or  $\infty$ ,

(ii) If  $c = \infty$ , and  $\liminf_n S_n = \infty$ , then case (ii);

(iii) If  $c = -\infty$ , and  $\liminf_n S_n = -\infty$ , then case (iii);

(iv) If  $c = \infty$ , and  $\liminf_n S_n = -\infty$ , then case (iv). □

**Proof of Theorem 4.3.** Prove 1: First suppose  $X_i \geq 0$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}S_\tau = \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} X_i = \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq i\}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}X_i \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq i\}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}X_i \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq i\}} = \mathbb{E}X_1 \mathbb{E}\tau,$$

where the 3rd equality uses Fubini by  $X_i \geq 0$ , and the 4th uses  $\{\tau \geq i\} \in \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ . For general case, since  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq i\}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}|X_i| \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq i\}} < \infty$ , we can still use the Fubini.

Prove 2: If  $\tau < n$ , then  $\tau \wedge n = \tau \wedge (n-1)$ , so  $S_{\tau \wedge n}^2 = S_{\tau \wedge (n-1)}^2$ ; if  $\tau \geq n$ , we have  $\tau \wedge n = n$  and  $\tau \wedge (n-1) = n-1$ , so  $S_{\tau \wedge n}^2 = S_n^2 = (S_{n-1} + X_n)^2 = (S_{\tau \wedge (n-1)} + X_n)^2$ . Hence write

$$S_{\tau \wedge n}^2 = S_{\tau \wedge (n-1)}^2 + (2X_n S_{n-1} + X_n^2) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq n\}}.$$

Note that all the following expectations exist,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}S_{\tau \wedge n}^2 &= \mathbb{E}S_{\tau \wedge (n-1)}^2 + \mathbb{E}(2X_n S_{n-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq n\}}) + \mathbb{E}[X_n^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \geq n\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}S_{\tau \wedge (n-1)}^2 + \sigma^2 \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq n) && \text{(stopping time, independence, and } \mathbb{E}X_i = 0) \\ &= \dots && \text{(reduce to } n-2, n-3, \dots) \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq i). \end{aligned}$$

In the 1st line, the expectation  $\mathbb{E}X_n S_{n-1}$  exists since both rvs are in  $\mathcal{L}^2$ . By the last line,  $\|S_{\tau \wedge n} - S_{\tau \wedge m}\|^2 = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=m+1}^n \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\{S_{\tau \wedge n}\}_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{L}^2$ , so letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  gives the result. □

**Proof of Example 4.4.** 1. For any positive integer  $k$ , by dividing the interval  $(0, k(b-a))$  into  $k$  subintervals of equal length and considering an extreme case behavior (keep going upwards) of the random walk within each subinterval, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}N &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N > i) \leq (b-a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N > k(b-a)) \\ &\leq (b-a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}((X_{(j-1)(b-a)+1}, \dots, X_{j(b-a)}) \neq (1, \dots, 1), j = 1, \dots, k) \\ &\leq (b-a) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{b-a}}\right)^k < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

2. It is obvious.

3. By Wald's first equation 4.3,  $0 = \mathbb{E}S_N = a\mathbb{P}(S_N = a) + b\mathbb{P}(S_N = b)$ , we also have  $1 = \mathbb{P}(S_N = a) + \mathbb{P}(S_N = b)$ , so solve for the result.

4. By Wald's second equation 4.3 and  $\sigma = 1$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}N = \mathbb{E}S_N^2 = a^2\mathbb{P}(S_N = a) + b^2\mathbb{P}(S_N = b)$ , and use 3.  $\square$

### A.1.2 Proofs - 4.2

**Proof of Theorem 4.5.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\tau_2 < \infty) &= \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty, \tau_2 - \tau_1 < \infty) \\
&= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 = m, \tau_2 - \tau_1 = n) \\
&= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{X}_m = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{X}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{X}_u \neq \mathbf{0}, \forall 1 \leq u < m; \\
&\quad \mathbf{X}_{m+1} + \cdots + \mathbf{X}_{m+n} = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{X}_{m+1} + \cdots + \mathbf{X}_{m+v} \neq \mathbf{0}, \forall 1 \leq v < n) \\
&= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 = m) \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 = n) \tag{iid} \\
&= (\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_n < \infty) = (\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty))^n$ . So (i) and (ii) are equivalent. They are equivalent to (iii) by examining their meanings. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S}_m = \mathbf{0}) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{S}_m = \mathbf{0}\}} = \mathbb{E} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbf{S}_m = \mathbf{0}\}} = \mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_n < \infty\}} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_n < \infty) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty)^n = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 < \infty)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So (i) and (iv) are equivalent.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.6.** In  $d = 1$ , use (iv) in Theorem 4.5 to show.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P(S_m = 0) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(S_{2n} = 0) && \text{(can only return to 0 at even steps)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n} && \text{(combinatorics)} \\
&\sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi 2n} \left(\frac{2n}{e}\right)^{2n}}{(\sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n)^2} \frac{1}{2^{2n}} && \text{(Stirling's formula)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

In  $d = 2$ , note that in order for  $S_{2n} = 0$ , we must for some  $0 \leq m \leq n$  have  $m$  up steps,  $m$  down steps,  $n - m$  to the left, and  $n - m$  to the right, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S}_{2n} = \mathbf{0}) &= \frac{1}{4^{2n}} \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{2n}{m} \binom{2n-m}{m} \binom{2n-2m}{n-m} = \frac{1}{4^{2n}} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(2n)!}{m!m!(n-m)!(n-m)!} \\
&= \frac{1}{4^{2n}} \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \binom{n}{n-m} = 4^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n}^2 \asymp n^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

by Stirling's formula. So its sum is  $\infty$ , still recurrent.

For  $d = 3$ , more complicated combinatorics give  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S}_{2n} = \mathbf{0}) \asymp \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$ , summing up to a finite number; hence transient. In even higher dimensions, the probabilities become even smaller; hence all transient.  $\square$

### A.1.3 Proofs - 4.3

**Proof of Theorem 4.7.** To show the first result, suppose  $(0, s_0), (1, s_1), \dots, (n, s_n)$  is a path from  $(0, x)$  to  $(0, y)$ . Let  $K = \inf\{k : s_k = 0\}$ . Let  $s'_k = -s_k$  for  $k \leq K$  and  $s'_k = s_k$  for  $K \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $(k, s'_k)$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , is a path from  $(0, -x)$  to  $(n, y)$ . Conversely, given a path from  $(0, -x)$  to  $(n, y)$ , we can also construct a reflected path. We have a one-to-one correspondence between the two classes of paths, so their numbers must be equal.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \geq b) &= P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \geq b, S_n > b) + P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \geq b, S_n < b) + P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \geq b, S_n = b) \\
&= \mathbb{P}(S_n > b) + \mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \geq b, S_n > b) + \mathbb{P}(S_n = b) \\
&= 2\mathbb{P}(S_n > b) + \mathbb{P}(S_n = b),
\end{aligned}$$

which prove the second result.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.8.** To count the number of paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, x)$ , denote  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  be the number of positive steps and  $b$  negative steps, respectively.  $n = a + b$ , and  $x = a - b$ , where  $x \in [-n, n]$ , and  $n - x$  is even. The number of paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, x)$  is  $N_{n,x} = \binom{n}{a}$ .

Since

$$\mathbb{P}(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n} > 0) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} > 0, S_{2n} = 2r).$$

Now we count the number of paths of  $(1, 1) \rightarrow (2n, 2r)$ , that are never 0. Since the total number of paths of  $(1, 1) \rightarrow (2n, 2r)$  is  $N_{2n-1, 2r-1}$ , the number of these paths touching 0 is the number of paths of  $(1, -1) \rightarrow (2n, 2r)$ , i.e.,  $N_{2n-1, 2r+1}$ , by reflection principle, we have the number of paths of  $(1, 1) \rightarrow (2n, 2r)$  never touching 0 is  $N_{2n-1, 2r-1} - N_{2n-1, 2r+1}$ . Hence

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} > 0, S_{2n} = 2r) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2^{2n-1}} (N_{2n-1, 2r-1} - N_{2n-1, 2r+1}) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} N_{2n-1, 1},$$

where the  $1/2$  in the 2nd term guarantees  $S_1 > 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} N_{2n-1, -1} + \frac{1}{2^{2n}} N_{2n-1, 1} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2n}} N_{2n-1, 1}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n} > 0) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} N_{2n-1, 1} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0).$$

Symmetry implies  $\mathbb{P}(S_1 < 0, \dots, S_{2n} < 0) = (1/2) \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0)$ . Then the proof is completed.  $\square$

## A.2 Proofs - 5

### A.2.1 Proofs - 5.1

## References