Usability review

granada.geokeda.es Score Comments Hover over a guideline for more information, examples of good practice and importance to the N/A = not applicableOptional - Provide a short rational for the score, such as a description of the overall user experience. or can't be assessed issues found; examples of good practice and the likely impact for users. **Features & functionality** Features and functionality meet common user goals and objectives. Las categorías están muy acotadas, por lo que si entras en el grupo de una actividad solo verás cosas de esa actividad. Good Mismo comentario que en la casilla anterior. Features and functionality support users desired workflows. Good Difícil acceso a los sitios que quieres ver por que hay demasiados pasos hasta Frequently-used tasks are readily available (e.g. easily accessible from llegar a tu objetivo. the homepage) and well supported (e.g. short cuts are available). Very poor Users are adequately supported according to their level of expertise (e.g. No hay ningún sistema de ayuda. short cuts for expert users, help and instructions for novice users). **Poor** Los botones y etiquetas clikables a veces pasan desapercibidas. 5 Call to actions (e.g. register, add to basket, submit) are clear, well labelled and appear clickable. **Poor** Homepage / starting page The Homepage / starting page provides a clear snapshot and overview of Con el horario de la página de inicio puedes ver rapidamente que actividades hay esa semana. the content, features and functionality available. Good

7	The home page / starting page is effective in orienting and directing users to their desired information and tasks.	Poor	
8	The homepage / starting page layout is clear and uncluttered with sufficient 'white space'.	Very poor	Muy sobrecargada y agobia un poco.
Navigation			
9	Users can easily access the site or application (e.g. the URL is predictable and is returned by search engines).	Poor	
10	The navigational scheme (e.g. menu) is easy to find, intuitive and consistent.	Good	
11	The navigation has sufficient flexibility to allow users to navigate by their desired means (e.g. searching, browse by type, browse by name, most recent etc).	Moderate	Solo se puede buscar por categorías.
12	The site or application structure is clear, easily understood and addresses common user goals.	Moderate	
13	Links are clear, descriptive and and well labelled.	Moderate	Bien etiquetados pero algunos están mal situados.
14	Browser standard functions (e.g. 'back', 'forward', 'bookmark') are supported.	Good	

15	The current location is clearly indicated (e.g. breadcrumb, highlighted menu item).	Moderate	No hay mapa del sitio.
16	Users can easily get back to the homepage or a relevant start point.	Moderate	
17	A clear and well structure site map or index is provided (where necessary).	Poor	No hay mapa de la esturctura.
Search			
18	A consitent, easy to find and easy to use search function is available throughout (where desirable).	Very poor	No tiene buscador general. Solo hay buscador en los muros y no es muy util.
19	The search interface is appropriate to meet user goals (e.g. multi-parameter, prioritised results, filtering search results).	Very poor	El buscador de los muros no permite filtrado.
20	The search facility deals well with common searchs (e.g. showing most popular results), misspellings and abbreviations.	Very poor	La búsqueda devuelve por el orden en la base de datos.
21	Search results are relevant, comprehensive, precise, and well displayed.	Good	La búsqueda del muro se realiza correctamente.
Control & feedback			
22	Prompt and appropriate feedback is given (e.g. following a successful or unsuccessful action).	Good	Aparece mensaje en ventana emergente cuando hay un error

23	Users can easily undo, go back and change or cancel actions; or are at least given the chance to confirm an action before committing (e.g. before placing an order).	Poor	Hay que temrinar los procedimientos una vez inciados
24	Users can easily give feedback (e.g. via email or an online feedback / contact us form).	Excellent	El formulario de contacto es accesible desde el footer en todas las páginas
Forms			
25	Complex forms and processes are broken up into readily understood steps and sections. Where a process is used a progress indicator is present with clear numbers or named stages.	Poor	Piden toda la información de una vez.
26	A minimal amount of information is requested and where required justification is given for asking for information (e.g. date of birth, telephone number).	Poor	
27	Required and optional form fields are clearly indicated.	Good	
28	Appropriate input fields (e.g. calendar for date selection, drop down for selection) are used and required formats are indicated.	Excellent	Tiene inputs especificos para cada campo que lo necesita.
29	Help and instructions (e.g. examples, information required) are provided where necessary.	Poor	No hay ningún tipo de ayuda o instrucciones.
Errors			
30	Errors are clear, easily identifiable and appear in appropriate location (e.g. adjacent to data entry field, adjacent to form, etc.).	Poor	Todos los mensajes de errores son un mensaje emergente en la parte superior y todos iguales.

31	Error messages are concise, written in easy to understand language and describe what's occurred and what action is necessary.	Good	
32	Common user errors (e.g. missing fields, invalid formats, invalid selections) have been taken into consideration and where possible prevented.	Moderate	
33	Users are able to easily recover (i.e. not have to start again) from errors.	Good	La mayoría de campos que estaban rellenos se quedan rellenos.
Cor	ntent & text		
34	Content available (e.g. text, images, video) is appropriate and sufficiently relevant, and detailed to meet user goals.	Poor	
35	Links to other useful and relevant content (e.g. related pages or external websites) are available and shown in context.	Very poor	La única reeferencia a una web externa es Facebook y es una imagen que pone "búscanos" y no esta enlazada ni hay enlace. Hay 3 enlaces a pie de página
36	Language, terminology and tone used is appropriate and readily understood by the target audience.	Good	
37	Terms, language and tone used are consitent (e.g. the same term is used throughout).	Good	
38	Text and content is legible and scanable, with good typography and visual contrast.	Moderate	

Help

39	Online help is provided and is suitable for the user base (e.g. is written in easy to understand languagge and only uses recognised terms). Where appropriate contextual help is provided.	Good		
40	Online help is concise, easy to read and written in easy to understand language.	Poor	Hay demasiada información, no esta bien sintetizada.	
41	Accessing online help does not impede users (i.e. they can can resume work where they left off after accessing help).	Poor	La ayuda es una pestaña más, igual a todas las del resto de la web.	
42	Users can easily get further help (e.g. telephone or email address).	Moderate	Hay un formulario de contacto por correo electronico.	
Per	Performance			
43	Site or application performance doesn't inhibit the user experience (e.g. slow page downloads, long delays).	Moderate	Algúnas partes de la web tienen cargas algo lentas.	
44	Errors and reliabilty issues don't inhibit the user experience.	Good		
45	Possible user configurations (e.g. browsers, resolutions, computer specs) are supported.	Very poor	La web no es responsive.	
С	verall usability score (out of 100) *	57 -	Moderate	

^{*} Very poor (less than 29) - Users are likely to experience very significant difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete a significant number of important tasks.

^{*} Poor (between 29 and 49) - Users are likely to experience some difficulties using this site or system and might not be able to complete some important tasks.

^{*} Moderate (between 49 and 69) - Users should be able to use this site or system and complete most important tasks, however the user experience could be significantly improved.