

## ¡Felicitaciones! ¡Aprobaste!

Calificación recibida 100 % Para Aprobar 80 % o más

Ir al siguiente elemento

## Problem Set #1

Calificación de la entrega más reciente: 100 %



1/1 punto

Which of the following greedy rules is guaranteed to always compute an optimal solution?

solution-so-far and deleting from future consideration all requests that conflict with i.

- At each iteration, pick the remaining request with the earliest start time.
- At each iteration, pick the remaining request with the fewest number of conflicts with other remaining requests (breaking ties arbitrarily).
- $\bigcirc$  At each iteration, pick the remaining request which requires the least time (i.e., has the smallest value of  $t_i-s_i$ ) (breaking ties arbitrarily).
- At each iteration, pick the remaining request with the earliest finish time.

## 

Let  $R_j$  denote the requests with the j earliest finish times. Prove by induction on j that this greedy algorithm selects the maximum-number of non-conflicting requests from  $S_j$ .

2. We are given as input a set of n jobs, where job j has a processing time  $p_j$  and a deadline  $d_j$ . Recall the definition of  $completion\ times\ C_j$  from the video lectures. Given a schedule (i.e., an ordering of the jobs), we define the  $lateness\ l_j$  of job j as the amount of time  $C_j-d_j$  after its deadline that the job completes, or as 0 if  $C_j \le d_j$ . Our goal is to minimize the maximum lateness,  $\max_j l_j$ .

1 / 1 punto

Which of the following greedy rules produces an ordering that minimizes the maximum lateness? You can assume that all processing times and deadlines are distinct.

- $\bigcirc$  Schedule the requests in increasing order of processing time  $p_i$
- igcirc Schedule the requests in increasing order of the product  $d_i \cdot p_i$
- O None of the other answers are correct.
- lacktriangle Schedule the requests in increasing order of deadline  $d_j$



## ✓ Correcto

Proof by an exchange argument, analogous to minimizing the weighted sum of completion times.

3. In this problem you are given as input a graph T=(V,E) that is a tree (that is, T is undirected, connected, and acyclic). A perfect matching of T is a subset  $F\subset E$  of edges such that every vertex  $v\in V$  is the endpoint of exactly one edge of F. Equivalently, F matches each vertex of T with exactly one other vertex of T. For example, a path graph has a perfect matching if and only if it has an even number of vertices.

 $1\,/\,1\,\text{punto}$ 

Consider the following two algorithms that attempt to decide whether or not a given tree has a perfect matching. The *degree* of a vertex in a graph is the number of edges incident to it. (The two algorithms differ only in the choice of v in line 5.)

Algorithm A:

```
While T has at least one vertex:

If T has no edges:
halt and output "T has no perfect matching."

Else:
Let v be a vertex of T with maximum degree.
Choose an arbitrary edge e incident to v.
Delete e and its two endpoints from T.

[end of while loop]
Halt and output "T has a perfect matching."
```

Algorithm B:

```
While T has at least one vertex:

If T has no edges:
halt and output "T has no perfect matching."

Else:
Let v be a vertex of T with minimum non-zero degree.
Choose an arbitrary edge e incident to v.
Delete e and its two endpoints from T.

[end of while loop]
Halt and output "T has a perfect matching."
```

	8 [end of while loop] 9 Halt and output "T has a perfect matching."		
	Is either algorithm correct?		
	Both algorithms always correctly determine whether or not a given tree graph has a perfect matching.	<b>G</b> X	
	Neither algorithm always correctly determines whether or not a given tree graph has a perfect matching.		
	Algorithm A always correctly determines whether or not a given tree graph has a perfect matching; algorithm B does not.		
	Algorithm B always correctly determines whether or not a given tree graph has a perfect matching; algorithm A does not.		
	$\odot$ <b>Correcto</b> Algorithm A can fail, for example, on a three-hop path. Correctness of algorithm B can be proved by induction on the number of vertices in $T$ . Note that the tree property is used to argue that there must be a vertex with degree 1; if there is a perfect matching, it must include the edge incident to this vertex.		
ı.	Consider an undirected graph $G=(V,E)$ where every edge $e\in E$ has a given cost $c_e$ . Assume that all edge costs are positive and distinct. Let $T$ be a minimum spanning tree of $G$ and $P$ a shortest path from the vertex $s$ to the vertex $t$ . Now suppose that the cost of every edge $e$ of $G$ is increased by $1$ and becomes $c_e+1$ . Call this new graph $G'$ . Which of the following is true about $G'$ ?	ng	1/1 pur
	$lacklacklack$ $T$ must be a minimum spanning tree but $P$ may not be a shortest $s ext{-}t$ path.	GX	
	$igcup T$ may not be a minimum spanning tree and $P$ may not be a shortest $s ext{-}t$ path.		
	$igcap T$ is always a minimum spanning tree and $P$ is always a shortest $s ext{-}t$ path.		
	$igcup T$ may not be a minimum spanning tree but $P$ is always a shortest $s ext{-}t$ path.		
	<ul> <li>Correcto         The positive statement has many proofs (e.g., via the Cut Property). For the negative statement,     </li> </ul>		
	think about two different paths from $s$ to $t$ that contain a different number of edges.		
	Suppose $T$ is a minimum spanning tree of the connected graph $G$ . Let $H$ be a connected induced subgraph of $G$ . (i.e., $H$ is obtained from $G$ by taking some subset $S \subseteq V$ of vertices, and taking all edges of $E$ that have both endpoints in $S$ . Also, assume $H$ is connected.) Which of the following is true about the edges of $T$ that lie in $H$ ? You can assume that edge costs are distinct, if you wish. [Choose the strongest true statement.]	G <sub>K</sub>	1 / 1 pun
	lacklacklacklacklacklacklacklack		
	igcup For every $G$ and $H$ , these edges form a minimum spanning tree of $H$		
	$igcup$ For every $G$ and $H$ and spanning tree $T_H$ of $H$ , at least one of these edges is missing from $T_H$		
	$\bigcap$ For every $G$ and $H$ , these edges form a spanning tree (but not necessary minimum-cost) of $H$		

**⊘** Correcto

Proof via the Cut Property (cuts in  ${\cal G}$  correspond to cuts in  ${\cal H}$  with only fewer crossing edges).