⋄ ¡Felicitaciones! ¡Aprobaste!

Calificación recibida $100\,\%$ Para Aprobar $80\,\%$ o más

Ir al siguiente elemento

Module 4 Graded Quiz

1.	Which of the following best describes an example of a maneuver-based prediction assumption for motion prediction?	1/1 punto
	The kinodynamic constraints on a vehicle restricts its potential set of motions	
	The operating domain of a vehicle restricts the number of feasible or probable maneuvers it can take	
	O Certain vehicle models restrict vehicle maneuverability, reducing the prediction space	
	The behaviour of other agents on the road reduces the space of potential actions	
	 Correcto Correct, the operating domain restricts which maneuvers are feasible depending on the conditions of the scenario. 	
2.	Which of the following best describes an example of an interactions-aware prediction assumption for motion prediction?	1/1 punto
	The operating domain of a vehicle restricts the number of feasible or probable maneuvers it can take	
	The behaviour of other agents on the road reduces the space of potential actions	
	The kinodynamic constraints on a vehicle restricts its potential set of motions	
	O Engine dynamics are affected by pedestrian motion, restricing the space of potential actions	
	♥ Correcto Correct, the behaviour of other agents results in interactions with the ego vehicle that restricts the ego vehicle's behaviour.	
3.	Which of the following are aspects of pedestrian motion? ☐ They often have designated lanes on roads due to their slower speed ☑ Potential to leave designated areas unpredictably ☑ Correcto Correct, pedestrian behaviour can be unpredictable.	1/1 punto
	 ☐ High top speed, but must obey the rules of the road ✓ Low top speed, but rapid changes in direction and speed are possible 	
	Correcto Correct, pedestrians move slowly but with a high variance in direction.	
4.	Which of the following are scenarios for which constant velocity estimation provides a useful estimate? Roundabouts	1/1 punto
	✓ Straight roads	
	Correcto Correct - Straight roads are the only situation where constant velocity assumptions can be true.	
	☐ Turns and curved roads	

5.	Which of the following are issues with constant velocity prediction?	1/1 punto
	Computationally expensive	
	✓ Ignores regulatory elements	
	Correct, a constant velocity prediction is unaware of regulatory elements.	
	✓ Doesn't fully account for vehicle kinodynamics	
	 Correcto Correct, constant velocity assumptions ignore potential acceleration of the vehicle. 	
	✓ Ignores the shape of the road	
	 Correcto Correct, the road shape does not affect a constant velocity prediction. 	
6.	Which of the following are position-based assumptions for map-aware prediction algorithms?	1/1 punto
	✓ Lane changes can be predicted based on the state of the blinker light of a vehicle	
	Correct, this is possible if the other vehicle is in a position to perform a lane change in the map.	
	A high-curvature road segment necessitates a slower vehicle speed	
	✓ Vehicles driving down a lane are likely to follow that lane	
	 Correcto Correct, vehicles are likely to follow their current lane based on their position in the map. 	
	Stop signs will cause vehicles to decelerate to a complete stop	
7.	Which of the following are velocity-based assumptions for map-aware prediction algorithms?	1 / 1 punto
	Lane markings enforce constraints on the location of vehicles in the road	
	✓ A yellow light will cause vehicles to reduce their velocity as they approach an intersection	
	Correct, this is a necessity for other vehicles to drive safely.	
	A high-curvature road segment necessitates a slower vehicle speed	
	 ✓ Correcto Correct, high curvature results in high lateral forces, restricting speed. 	
	Stop signs will cause vehicles to decelerate to a complete stop	
8.	True or false, the more constraints added to our prediction model, the less generalizable it is to all possible traffic scenarios.	1/1 punto
	True	
	○ False	
	 ✓ Correcto Correct, it can become too specialized to specific scenarios. 	
9.	True or false, in the case of the multi-hypothesis prediction approach, the most likely nominal behaviour of a dynamic obstacle based on its state, appearance, and track information is taken as the object's predicted motion.	1/1 punto

☐ Harric light controlled intersections

○ True

False	
Correcto Correct, the multi-hypothesis approach instead assigns probabilities to each of the nominal maneuvers available to the dynamic obstacle.	
10. Which of the following are properties of multi-hypothesis prediction approaches?	1/1 punto
✓ Offers alternative predictions, allowing for fast replanning in case new information arises	
 ✓ Correcto Correct, there are multiple predictions available. 	
✓ Can result in ambiguous predictions	
 Correcto Correct, there is not always a clear dominant prediction. 	
Provides a maximum likelihood estimate based on the information present in the current traffic scenario	
 Provides a probability distribution over nominal predictions based on the state of the environment. Correcto Correct, each hypothesis has an associated probability. 	
correct, each hypothesis has an associated prosassing.	
11. At a high level, what best describes the two fundamental steps in computing time to collision?	1/1 punto
 Estimating the first vehicle position, then estimating the other vehicle's velocity Running trajectory rollout to generate potential paths, then checking each path for intersection points Compute the location of a collision point along the predicted paths of the dynamic objects, then compute the amount of time to reach said collision point None of the above 	
 Correcto Correct, this outlines the general process of computing time to collision. 	
12. True or false, the simulation based approach propogates the movement of every vehicle in the scene over a given time horizon into the future, where the state is computed at multiple time steps along the horizon.	1 / 1 punto
True	
○ False	
✓ Correcto Correct, with this method we are forward simulating the entire scenario.	
13. In estimation-based approaches, which of the following are some of the common simplifying assumptions used in the swath intersection computation?	1 / 1 punto
 ☐ Assuming the objects ignore regulatory elements ✓ Identifying collision points based on path intersection points 	
✓ Estimating spatial occupancy using simple geometric primitives	

Assuming a constant speed profile along an object's predicted path	
Suppose two vehicles are approximated with a single circle each. The center of one circle is at (1.0 m, 3.0 m) and the other is at (4.0 m, 2.0 m). If the radius of both collision checking circles is 1.5 m, will a collision be detected?	1/1 punto
Yes● No	
○ correcto Correct, the distance between the circle centers is greater than the sum of the collision circle radii.	
Suppose two vehicles, a leading vehicle and a following vehicle, are moving along a straight line. The center of the leading vehicle is 20 m ahead of the center of the following vehicle. The leading vehicle is moving at 15 m/s, and the following vehicle is moving at 20 m/s. The distance from the center to the front bumper of both vehicles is 2.5 m, and the distance from the center to the rear bumper of both vehicles is 2.5 m. What is the time to collision in this scenario?	1/1 punto
3	