### Politecnico di Milano Computer Science and Engineering Software Engineering II

RASD - CodeKataBlade

José Alejandro Sarmiento December 7, 2023  ${\rm v1.0}$ 

# Contents

1	INT	CRODUCTION	<b>2</b>
	1.1	Purpose	. 2
	1.2	Scope	. 5
	1.3	Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations	. 5
	1.4	Revision history	. 5
	1.5	Reference Documents	
	1.6	Document Structure	. 5
2		ERALL DESCRIPTION	5
	2.1	Product perspective	
	2.2	Product functions	
	2.3	User characteristics	
	2.4	Assumptions, dependencies and constraints	. 5
3	SPE	ECIFIC REQUIREMENTS	5
	3.1	External Interface Requirements	. 5
		3.1.1 User Interfaces	
		3.1.2 Hardware Interfaces	
		3.1.3 Software Interfaces	. 5
		3.1.4 Communication Interfaces	. 5
	3.2	Functional Requirements	. 5
	3.3	Performance Requirements	. 5
	3.4	Design Constraints	
		3.4.1 Standards compliance	. 5
		3.4.2 Hardware limitations	. 5
		3.4.3 Any other constraint	. 5
	3.5	Software System Attributes	. 5
		3.5.1 Reliability	. 5
		3.5.2 Availability	. 5
		3.5.3 Security	. 5
		3.5.4 Maintainability	. 5
		3.5.5 Portability	. 5
4	FOI	RMAL ANALYSIS USING ALLOY	5
5	EFI	FORT SPENT	5
6	RE	FERENCES	5

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Purpose

The primary purpose of the CodeKataBattle (CKB) platform is to provide an environment for students to enhance their software development skills through collaborative learning and friendly competition and for educators to set up these scenarios. The platform facilitates this by allowing educators to setup tournaments where code kata battles are organized. Here students form teams and showcase their coding abilities in a test-driven development (TDD) framework.

#### A) Skill Enhancement through Practice:

CKB serves as a virtual arena where students can test and improve their programming abilities by actively participating in code kata battles.

#### B) Educator-Guided Learning:

The platform must allow for educators to create tournaments, compose battles and perform manual evaluations on top of automated ones. This ensures that the learning experience aligns with the curriculum and instructional goals.

#### C) Automated Evaluation:

CKB should have an automated evaluation system that provides feedback to students based on objective criteria. Specifically, the criteria the evaluation is based upon is the number of passed test cases set up by the battle organizer and the timeliness.

### D) Competition and Recognition:

The platform should possess a leaderboard functionality that allows participants to gauge their performance and see how their skills compare to other participants.

In summary, CodeKataBattle aims to create a learning platform, combining hands-on coding practice, collaborative teamwork and automated feedback, all under the guidance of educators.

Knowing this, the S2B will have a certain set of goals to achieve:

- G1: Every educator should be able to create a tournament.
- **G2:** When crearing a tournament, the educator doing so should be able to set a registration deadline.
- **G3:** Every educator that owns a tournament should be able to invite other educators to it.

- **G4:** Every educator that belongs to a tournament should be able to create battles.
- **G5:** When creating a battle, the educator doing so should be able to set the programming language, a decription of the problem, the test cases which will evaluate the students code, the registration deadline, the final submission deadline, the minimum and maximum number of participants per group.
- **G6:** Every student should be able to see the list of tournaments and register to them before the registration deadline.
- **G7:** Every student should be able to see the description of the battles of a tournament they belong to and register to them before the registration deadline by themselves or with other students.
- **G8:** Every time a student makes a submission to a battle, the platform should evaluate it and update the leaderboard of the battle accordingly.
- **G9:** The evaluation carried on by the platform should be based on the test cases set by the educator and the timeliness of the submission.
- **G10:** Every student and educator should be able to see the leaderboard of a battle they belong to.
- **G11:** Once the battle ends, the educator that created it should be able to manually evaluate the code of the students if they so desire to and set a grade for each student.
- **G12:** Once the educator consolides the results of a battle, the students that participated in it should be notified, the final results of the battle should be displayed to everyone and the leaderboard of the tournament the battle belongs to should be updated by adding for each student the battle score to the sum of all the other battles they have participated on.
- **G13:** Every student and educator should be able to see the leaderboard of every tournament.
- G14: An instructor that owns a tournament should be able to close it.
- **G15:** Once the owner of a tournament closes it, the platform should notify all the students once the leaderboard of the tournament is available.
- G16: When a tournament is created, all students should be notified.
- **G17**: When a battle is created, all students participating in the tournament the battle belongs to should be notified.



- 1.2 Scope
- 1.3 Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations
- 1.4 Revision history
- 1.5 Reference Documents
- 1.6 Document Structure

### 2 OVERALL DESCRIPTION

- 2.1 Product perspective
- 2.2 Product functions
- 2.3 User characteristics
- 2.4 Assumptions, dependencies and constraints

## 3 SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

- 3.1 External Interface Requirements
- 3.1.1 User Interfaces
- 3.1.2 Hardware Interfaces
- 3.1.3 Software Interfaces
- 3.1.4 Communication Interfaces
- 3.2 Functional Requirements
- 3.3 Performance Requirements
- 3.4 Design Constraints
- 3.4.1 Standards compliance
- 3.4.2 Hardware limitations
- 3.4.3 Any other constraint
- 3.5 Software System Attributes
- 3.5.1 Reliability
- 3.5.2 Availability
- 3.5.3 Security
- 3.5.4 Maintainability
- 3.5.5 Portability

# 4 FORMAL ANALYSIS USING ALLOY

- 5 EFFORT SPENT
- 6 REFERENCES