

# Econometrics

## Introduction to Time Series

Jose Angel Garcia Sanchez

Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne  
[jagarsanc@gmail.com](mailto:jagarsanc@gmail.com)

November 2025



# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Stationarity
- 3 Autocorrelation Functions
- 4 Types of Processes Considered
- 5 Testing for Stationarity
- 6 Transforming Non-Stationary Series
- 7 Cointegration

# Presentation

- Time series: observations over time of a variable (unemployment rate, growth, ...) labeled  $Y_t$
- Index: date (day, hour, year, quarter, ...)
- $Y_t$  is called a *stochastic process*
- Data should be *stationary* (see below)
- Stationarity is needed because we will work with long series
- In past chapters, we worked with either cross sections or short periods (in the panel chapter) so it was not an issue
- Time series methods are very specific and few things refer to past chapters

Main source: course by A-C Disdier (University Paris 1)

Secondary source: *Basic Econometrics* by Gujarati

Source: Gujarati

- US time series 1947-2007, by quarter (1947-I to 2007-IV), seasonally adjusted, in billion year 2000 USD
- Data were collected on the website of the *Federal Reserve Bank of St Louis*
- Variables: year, quarter, gdp, dpi (*real disposable personal income*), pce (*real personal consumption expenditures*)

## Stationarity: Definition

- A process is **second order stationary** if its expected value and variance are constant over time
- And if the covariance between 2 periods depends only on the gap between these 2 periods and not on the date of observation

Formally:

- $\forall t, E(Y_t) = m$
- $\forall t, V(Y_t) = \sigma^2 < \infty$
- $\forall t, t + h, \text{cov}(Y_t, Y_{t+h}) = \gamma_h$

- A series is stationary if it results from a stationary process
- It should have no trend, no seasonality, or any element that changes with time
- If upward trend: not stationary because the expected value increases with time
- If seasonality: not stationary because strong correlation between  $Y_t$  and  $Y_{t-12}$
- For instance, a white noise  $\varepsilon_t$  is stationary because by definition  $E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$ ,  $V(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma^2$  and  $cov(u_t, u_{t+h}) = 0$

# Spurious Regressions

- Assume we have a *random walk*:  $y_t = y_{t-1} + u_t$  with  $u_t$  a white noise
- Regressing a random walk on another can give significant results even if they have nothing to do with each other
- Why: they are not *stationary* and so the usual OLS methods we are used to do not work
- Indeed,  $E(y_t) = 0$  but  $V(y_t) = \infty$
- However, their *first differences* are stationary and regressing one on another gives a non-significant result, as expected
- Indeed,  $y_t - y_{t-1} = u_t$  is a white noise, which is stationary

# How to Check Stationarity

- Through the *autocorrelation functions* and *correlograms*
- If no autocorrelation: no memory, so stationary
- If autocorrelation of order 1: short memory, so can be fixed easily
- We will focus on easy examples in this introductory chapter

# Simple Autocorrelation Function

Correlation between  $Y_t$  and  $Y_{t+h}$  (2 observations  $h$  periods apart):

$$\rho_h = \frac{\text{cov}(Y_t, Y_{t+h})}{\sigma_{Y_t} \sigma_{Y_{t+h}}} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T-h} (Y_t - \bar{Y})(Y_{t+h} - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T-h} (Y_t - \bar{Y})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T-h} (Y_{t+h} - \bar{Y})^2}}$$

Where:

- $T$ : number of observations
- $\bar{Y}$ : mean of  $Y$  on the  $T - h$  periods
- Caveat: mean and variance have to be recomputed for each  $h$
- This function usually decreases quickly with  $h$

# Sample Autocorrelation Function

If  $T$  is sufficiently large, then the following function is a good approximation of the simple autocorrelation function:

$$\hat{\rho}_h = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T-h} (Y_t - \bar{Y})(Y_{t+h} - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{t=1}^T (Y_t - \bar{Y})^2}$$

Where  $T$  is the number of observations and  $\bar{Y}$  is the mean of  $Y$  on the  $T$  periods.

- $\hat{\rho}_h \in [-1; 1]$
- The graph  $\hat{\rho}_h$  as a function of  $h$  is a summary of the characteristics of the autocorrelation of the series and is called a *correlogram*
- For a white noise, this function should always be around zero
- Stata: ac and corrgram

# Partial Autocorrelation Function

- Partial correlation: correlation between 2 variables once correlation with other variables has been removed
- Example: partial correlation between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , removing the effect of  $x_3$ :

$$r_{12.3} = \frac{r_{12} - r_{13}r_{23}}{\sqrt{(1 - r_{13}^2)(1 - r_{23}^2)}}$$

- Partial autocorrelation of lag  $h$ : correlation between  $Y_t$  and  $Y_{t-h}$ , while the influence of other intermediary lags  $h+i$  ( $i < h$ ) has been removed
- Lags  $h+i$  are of the type  $Y_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, \dots, Y_{t-h+1}$ ; they are all the observations that took place between  $Y_t$  and  $Y_{t-h}$

# Interpretation of Stata Output

- Graph of the autocorrelation function (ACF) of Lgdp up to *lag* 36

Interpretation of columns:

- AC: *autocorrelation function*
- PAC: *partial autocorrelation* (correlation between  $y_t$  and  $y_{t-h}$  after having removed intermediary  $y$ 's)
- Q: statistic Q, also called the *Portmanteau statistic*, is used to test autocorrelation
- Prob>Q: the p-value of statistic Q
- Plots: graphs of AC and PAC

## Statistic Q

Statistic Q (here, Ljung-Box version): under the null hypothesis  $H_0$  (all the  $\rho_h = 0$ ), with  $m$  lags tested, we get:

$$Q = N(N + 2) \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{\rho_h^2}{N - h} \rightarrow \chi_m^2$$

- If  $Q$  is large (p-value small), we reject the null of no autocorrelation
- This indicates the series is not stationary

# TS Process (Trend Stationary)

- *Trend stationary*: deterministic non-stationary process
- General form:  $Y_t = f_t + u_t$  where  $f_t$  is a polynomial function of time and  $u_t$  a stationary process
- Polynomial of degree 1:  $Y_t = b_0 + b_1 t + u_t$
- Property: the effect of a shock at time  $t$  is **transitory**
- The model is deterministic because the series always gets back to its long-term aspect, which is its trend line

# DS Process (Difference Stationary) - Part 1

- *Difference stationary*: random non-stationary process (stochastic)
- Of order 1:  $Y_t$  function of  $Y_{t-1}$
- Can be made stationary by using a *difference filter* (differentiate the series):  $\Delta = (1 - L)^d$
- With  $L$  the lag operator and  $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$  the differentiating parameter (also called the integration parameter)
- $(1 - L)^d Y_t = b + u_t$
- Example: with  $d = 1$  if  $Y_t = Y_{t-1} + b + u_t$  then  $\Delta Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1} = b + u_t$
- That makes  $\Delta Y_t$  stationary

## DS Process (Difference Stationary) - Part 2

- Property: the effect of a shock at time  $t$  lasts **forever** on future values
- It is thus a permanent effect, however decreasing

Derivation:

- $Y_1 = Y_0 + b + u_1$
- $Y_2 = Y_1 + b + u_2 = Y_0 + b + u_1 + b + u_2 = Y_0 + 2b + u_1 + u_2$
- $Y_3 = Y_2 + b + u_3 = Y_0 + 2b + u_1 + u_2 + b + u_3 = Y_0 + 3b + u_1 + u_2 + u_3$
- And so on, so that for any  $t$ :

$$Y_t = Y_0 + t \cdot b + \sum_{i=1}^t u_i$$

# Unit Root Test (1)

- A variable has a *unit root* if its first difference is stationary
- For example, a random walk where  $y_t = y_{t-1} + u_t$  has a unit root
- We need to test if a variable has a unit root: if yes, then we will use its first difference instead, and if not we will leave it as is
- Since variables are not *a priori* stationary, we cannot estimate the following equation:  
 $y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + u_t$  and use a Student test to test if  $\rho = 1$ , because unfortunately all usual tests are wrong in case of non-stationarity
- The *Dickey-Fuller* (DF) test was specifically designed to test stationarity while avoiding this issue

## Unit Root Test (2)

- Assume that  $y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + u_t$ . We subtract  $y_{t-1}$  on both sides, and we get:
- $y_t - y_{t-1} = (\rho - 1)y_{t-1} + u_t$ . We call  $\delta = (\rho - 1)$ , so:
- $\Delta y_t = \delta y_{t-1} + u_t$
- Now, thanks to the first difference on the left handside, we can estimate this equation and test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \delta = 0$
- Dickey & Fuller showed that the Student statistic  $t$  of  $\delta$  follows a *Tau* distribution, and not the usual Student T distribution
- The quantiles of the Tau distribution were computed by the authors, who published the statistical tables
- The DF test can be run in 3 different ways: we need to try all of them because we don't know which one is the good one (the Tau quantiles are different according to the form tested)

# The 3 Forms of the Test

- (1) *Random walk*:  $\Delta y_t = \delta y_{t-1} + u_t$
- (2) *Random walk with drift*:  $\Delta y_t = \beta_1 + \delta y_{t-1} + u_t$
- (3) *Random walk with drift and deterministic trend*:  $\Delta y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_1 t + \delta y_{t-1} + u_t$

Interpretation:

- If the null ( $H_0 : \delta = 0$ ) is rejected, it means that  $y_t$  is **stationary**
- If the null is not rejected, it means that  $y_t$  is non-stationary *or* that it has a stochastic *trend*  $\Rightarrow$  we must use its first difference
- Stata: `dfuller`
- Caveat: this procedure assumes that the error term is a white noise, which is not true in case of autocorrelation  $\Rightarrow$  use instead the *Augmented Dickey Fuller* (ADF) test in that case

# The Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) Test

- The classical DF test assumes that the  $u_t$ 's are uncorrelated
- If they are correlated, we need to take this into account and “augment” the estimated model using the lagged values of  $\Delta y_t$

The three cases become:

- Case (1):  $\Delta y_t = \delta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \Delta y_{t-i} + u_t$
- Case (2):  $\Delta y_t = \beta_0 + \delta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \Delta y_{t-i} + u_t$
- Case (3):  $\Delta y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \delta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \Delta y_{t-i} + u_t$
- Where  $\Delta y_{t-1} = y_{t-1} - y_{t-2}$  etc.
- In our case, since we have quarterly values, we can choose 4 lags and so  $m = 4$

# Transforming Non-Stationary Series (1)

- We know it is impossible to use non-stationary series in regular regressions, since we are at risk of ending with a spurious regression
- If a series has a unit root, then its first difference ( $\Delta y_t = y_t - y_{t-1}$ ) is stationary and we can use it in a regression
- We need to check that  $\Delta y_t$  is really stationary
- If using the first difference solves the issue, then the variable is a *difference-stationary process* (DSP)

## Transforming Non-Stationary Series (2)

- But the variable could also be a *trend-stationary process* (TSP), i.e. a stationary process around the *trend line*
- To make the series stationary, we need to regress it on time, and the residual of this regression should be stationary
- That is: estimate  $y_t = a + b \cdot t + u_t$ , and compute  $\hat{u}_t = y_t - \hat{a} - \hat{b} \cdot t$
- Value  $\hat{u}_t$  should be a *detrended* series
- Remark: the *trend* can be non-linear: we may have  $y_t = a + b \cdot t + c \cdot t^2 + u_t$

- A non-stationary series is said to be *integrated*
- If  $y_t$  is non-stationary but if  $\Delta y_t = y_t - y_{t-1}$  is stationary,  $y_t$  is integrated of order 1 because we need to differentiate it 1 time to make it stationary
- If we need to differentiate a series  $d$  times to make it stationary, then it is integrated of order  $d$
- Notation:  $y_t \sim I(d)$ , with  $d$  the *integration parameter*
- A series  $I(0)$  is stationary

To make a series stationary, we often differentiate it or its log.

- If 2 series are non-stationary but a linear combination of them is stationary, then they are said to be *cointegrated*
- Assume the following model:  $Lpce_t = b_1 + b_2 Ldpi_t + u_t \quad (1)$
- $u_t$  is a linear combination of  $Lpce_t$  and  $Ldpi_t$ :  $u_t = Lpce_t - b_1 - b_2 Ldpi_t$
- If  $u_t$  is stationary, then these 2 variables are cointegrated and even if they are individually non-stationary, they can still be used in a regression as is, without having to differentiate them
- This can be generalized to any number of regressors
- Remark: an economic interpretation would be that 2 variables are cointegrated if they have a **long-term equilibrium relationship**

# Testing for Cointegration: The Engel-Granger Test

- Assume the following model:  $Lpce_t = b_1 + b_2 Ldpi_t + u_t \quad (1)$
- To test if  $Lpce$  and  $Ldpi$  are cointegrated, we just need to test the residuals of regression (1)
- We could use the Dickey-Fuller test
- But since the residuals ( $\hat{u}$ ) are computed with the estimated parameter ( $\hat{b}_2$ ) and not the true one, the critical values are a bit different
- So we can use the `dfuller` command and use the critical values from MacKinnon
- Cointegrated variables can be used in so-called *Error-correction models* (ECM)

# Error-Correction Models (ECM) - Part 1

- Now we know that  $Lpce$  and  $Ldpi$  are cointegrated: we could use them “as is” in a regression:  
$$Lpce_t = b_1 + b_2 Ldpi_t + b_3 t + u_t$$
 (we add the time trend since we just showed that this made the residual stationary)
- What's more interesting is that the fact that they are cointegrated indicates that there is a **long-term relationship** between the two
- $u_t$  can be thought of as the equilibrium error
- The *Granger representation theorem* states that if 2 variables are cointegrated, the relationship between the two can be expressed as an Error Correction Mechanism
- Such an ECM is:  $\Delta Lpce_t = a_0 + a_1 \Delta Ldpi_t + a_2 u_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$

## Error-Correction Models (ECM) - Part 2

- The original model is:  $Lpce_t = b_1 + b_2 Ldpi_t + b_3 t + u_t \quad (1)$
- Consider the following corresponding ECM:  
$$\Delta Lpce_t = a_0 + a_1 \Delta Ldpi_t + a_2 u_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$
- Also written:  $\Delta Lpce_t = a_0 + a_1 \Delta Ldpi_t + a_2 [Lpce_{t-1} - b_1 - b_2 Ldpi_{t-1} - b_3(t-1)] + \varepsilon_t$
- $a_2$  is expected to be **negative**: if  $u_{t-1} > 0$ , i.e. if  $Lpce_{t-1} > b_1 - b_2 Ldpi_{t-1} - b_3(t-1)$ , then  $Lpce_{t-1}$  is too high at period  $t-1$ , so that in the next period  $Lpce_t$  should be lower to restore the equilibrium
- If  $a_2$  is significant, it means that *pce* adjusts to *dpi* with a lag
- $|\hat{a}_2|$  gives the proportion of the discrepancy between long-term and short-term *pce* that is corrected within a quarter (since the time unit is a quarter)
- $\hat{a}_1$  from (2) is the *short-term* consumption elasticity
- $\hat{b}_2$  from (1) is the *long-term* consumption elasticity