

How Phylogeny and traits relate to heterospecific pollen effect

Jose B. Lanuza ^{1,2} true

¹US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Atlantic Ecology Division, 27 Tarzwell Drive Narragansett, RI, 02882, USA

²Big Name University, Department of R, City, BN, 01020, USA

³Estacion Biologica de Donana (EBD-CSIC), E-41092 Sevilla, Spain

* corresponding author: f.rodriquez.sanc@gmail.com

Write your abstract here.

Keywords: rmarkdown, reproducible science

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

The study was conducted in a glasshouse at University of New England (Armidale, Australia) from November 2017 to March 2018. Rooms were temperature controlled depending on the requirements of the species with day and night temperature differences. The species selected (Table 1) belonged to three different families, Solanaceae, Brassicaceae and Convolvulaceae. The criteria of species/family selection was based on close/distant related species (see phylogenetic tree for relatedness fig 1), heterogeneous traits, low structural flower complexity and fast life cycle. For the purpose of the experiment all the species were considered as pollen recipient and as pollen donor (see interaction matrix, fig 2). Species were watered once or twice per day and fertilized weekly (NPK 23: 3.95: 14).

Hand-pollination

Foreign pollen effect was studied through two different treatments, one with 50% conspecific pollen and 50% heterospecific pollen and a second one with 100% foreign pollen (N=10). Moreover, hand cross

28 pollination, hand self pollination, apomixis (bagged emasculated flowers) and natural selfing were
29 tested (N=10). Flowers were emasculated the day prior anthesis and hand pollinated next day with a
30 toothpick. Had-pollination was realized with 3-4 gentle touches on the surface of the stigma. The mixes
31 of pollen were performed based on the pollen counts (Neubauer chamber) on an eppendorf.

32 We used the statistical language **R** (R Core Team 2018) for all our analyses. These were implemented in
33 dynamic markdown documents using **knitr** (Xie 2014, 2015, 2018) and **rmarkdown** (Allaire et al.
34 2018) packages. All the multilevel models were fitted with **lme4** (Bates et al. 2015).

35 RESULTS

36 Trees in forest A grew taller than those in forest B (mean height: 25 versus 13 m). And many more
37 cool results that get updated dynamically.

38 DISCUSSION

39 Discuss.

40 CONCLUSIONS

41 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

42 REFERENCES

- 43 Allaire, J., Y. Xie, J. McPherson, J. Luraschi, K. Ushey, A. Atkins, H. Wickham, J. Cheng, and W.
44 Chang. 2018. Rmarkdown: Dynamic documents for r.
- 45 Bates, D., M. Mächler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4.

46 Journal of Statistical Software 67:1–48.

47 R Core Team. 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
48 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

49 Xie, Y. 2014. Knitr: A comprehensive tool for reproducible research in R. *in* V. Stodden, F. Leisch,
50 and R. D. Peng, editors. Implementing reproducible computational research. Chapman; Hall/CRC.

51 Xie, Y. 2015. Dynamic documents with R and knitr. 2nd editions. Chapman; Hall/CRC, Boca Raton,
52 Florida.

53 Xie, Y. 2018. Knitr: A general-purpose package for dynamic report generation in r.

