# INTEGRATED OPTIMIZATION OF AIR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (AIRCRAFT AND NETWORK)

### José Alexandre Tavares Guerreiro Fregnani

### Thesis Committee Composition:

| Prof. Dr.   | Bento Silva de Mattos       | Advisor    | - | ITA      |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|----------|
| Prof. Dr.   | José Antônio Hernandes      | Co-advisor | - | ITA      |
| Profa. Dra. | Cláudia Regina de Andrade   |            | - | ITA      |
| Prof. Dr.   | Ney Rafael Secco            |            | - | ITA      |
| Prof. Dr.   | Jorge Eduardo Leal Medeiros |            | - | Poli/USP |
| Prof. Dr.   | Fernando Martini Catalano   |            | - | EESC/USP |

À minha amada família

Luciana (in memoriam) Anna Carolina Enzo

## **Agradecimentos**

Este trabalho foi desenvolvido em meio aos anos mais difíceis de minha vida, tanto do ponto de vista pessoal e quanto profissional. Muitas foram as turbulências e desafios passados ao longo deste tempo em meio aos quais tive encorajamento irrestrito e contínuo para concluir o doutorado.

Em primeiro lugar agradeço a Deus, nosso Pai Maior, que permitiu e me proveu as forças necessárias para seguir em frente nos momentos mais difíceis desta caminhada.

Em segundo lugar à minha amada família - a meus filhos Anna Carolina e Enzo, que tanta paciência tiveram com seu pai, renunciando a seu tempo precioso com eles para poder realizar seu sonho. Em especial à minha esposa Luciana, companheira de vinte anos de jornada, pelo apoio incondicional desde o primeiro dia de estudos e mesmo com sua saúde comprometida, sempre esteve me incentivando a não desistir. Agradeço imensamente a eles toda a compreensão e compaixão despendidas ao longo destes anos todos.

Em terceiro lugar agradeço a meu querido orientador Prof. Dr. Bento Mattos, que tanto me ensinou na verdadeira arte de "Projeto de Otimização Multidisciplinar de Aeronaves" e o rigor acadêmico necessário para se desenvolver este trabalho. Obrigado pela paciência e benevolência em meio a tantos desafios que tive em minha vida pessoal em paralelo aos estudos. Ao Prof. José Antônio Hernandez, meu querido co-orientador, pelas valorosas lições na confecção de artigos e escrita acadêmica, além da paz e tranquilidade transmitidas ao longo destes anos. E aos professores da Divisão de Projetos e Estruturas do Departamento de Engenharia Aeronáutica do ITA, cujos cursos de altíssima qualidade me ajudaram a trilhar o bom caminho em minha pesquisa.

Agradecimento especial aos amigos da Boeing Pesquisa e Tecnologia do Brasil, que me encorajaram na ideia inicial deste projeto e nunca deixaram de torcer pelo meu sucesso, apesar de nossa atual distância.

Finalmente meus sinceros agradecimentos à Airbus, a empresa onde trabalho na presente data, que nunca deixou de acreditar no potencial que esta pesquisa pode proporcionar à indústria aeronáutica, na figura do Sr. Bertrand Masson, chefe do Departamento de Ciências de Linhas Aéreas do Escritório de Transformação Digital da Airbus em Toulouse, França.

| <b>X71</b> |  |
|------------|--|
| V I        |  |

"Jamais considere seus estudos como uma obrigação, mas como uma oportunidade invejável para aprender a conhecer a influência libertadora da beleza do reino do espírito, para seu próprio prazer pessoal e para proveito da comunidade à qual seu futuro trabalho pertencer."

Albert Einstein

#### **Abstract**

The determination of optimal aerial transport networks and their associated flight frequencies is crucial for the strategic planning of airlines, as well as for carrying out market research, and for aircraft and crew rostering. In addition, optimum airplane types for the selected networks are crucial to improve revenue and to provide reduced operating costs. The present research proposes an innovative Multidisciplinary Design Optimization (MDO) framework with the objective to optimize a highly detailed airplane design simultaneously with the associated airline network, for a given area of operations and associated demand, in a multiobjectivemultivariable problem. In this framework, the aircraft design and network computation modules are executed independently in sequenced blocks and wrapped into a genetic algorithm in the optimization process. Two sets of objective functions were studied, according to the optimization scope: airline operations optimization (considering Network Profit and Network Direct Operational Cost as objective functions) and airline/aircraft manufacturer optimization (considering Network Profit and manufacturer's Cash Flow Net Present Value as objective functions). In the aircraft design module, several design parameters are used to represent the airplane in finest detail with accurate aerodynamic, stability and control, and propulsion characteristics, necessary for the mission analysis of each route segment considered in the analysis network. The accurate calculation of a realistic mission operational profile was performed thanks to the application of an Artificial Neural Network for aerodynamic coefficient estimation and a robust generic turbofan propulsion model. In the network computation module, disciplines related to network optimization, mission performance and airline economics are integrated. The network optimization module is performed in a sub-optimization framework using an elaborated gravitational demand model to predict passenger flows between city-pairs.

Under this scope, four types of simulation scenarios, considering major Brazilian airports, were evaluated in order to apply the above described methodology: determination of the optimum aircraft design in a given five airports network, determination of the optimum five airports network for a given aircraft design, simultaneous optimization of aircraft design and network (five and ten airports) and simultaneous optimization of a fleet of three aircraft and a network of twenty airports. Results demonstrated significant financial advantages for airlines on using the mentioned objective functions instead of the conventional minimization of Direct Operational Costs approach.

# **List of Figures**

| Figure 1.1: Global airlines profit margin since year 2000                                       |
|---|
| Figure 1.2: Aviation industry emissions reduction roadmap                                       |
| Figure 1.3: Fuel efficiency improvement since the first commercial jet                          |
| Figure 1.4: Typical network planning process adopted by airlines                                |
| Figure 1.5: Conceptual design configurations study  |
| Figure 1.6: Aircraft layout after the conceptual design is finished                             |
| Figure 2.1: Analysis block for range computation  |
| Figure 2.2: Generic MDO framework   |
| Figure 2.3: Latin hypercube sampling  |
| Figure 2.4: Example of Multidisciplinary Feasible framework (MDF)56                             |
| Figure 2.5: Example of Individual Discipline Feasible framework (IDF)56                         |
| Figure 2.6: Example of Collaborative Optimization framework (CO)57                              |
| Figure 2.7: Types of Optimization Algorithms  |
| Figure 2.8: GA standard flowchart   |
| Figure 3.1: Proposed "Hybrid MDF-CO" MDO framework  |
| Figure 3.2: Baseline Aircraft (78 passengers, single class)                                     |
| Figure 3.3: Proposed MDO workflow elaborated with modeFrontier®80                               |
| Figure 3.4: Flowchart of Aircraft Framework calculations  |
| Figure 3.5: Single wheel nose and main landing gear configuration85                             |
| Figure 3.6: Main landing gear design clearances considering inner flaps and fuselage geometries |
| 86  |

| Figure 3.7: Typical fuselage cross section computation                                    | .87    |
|---|--------|
| Figure 3.8: Example of wing and tail placements considering the allowable CG variation    | (blue) |
| for a certain design  | .88    |
| Figure 3.9: Scholz method to determine the minimum horizontal tail area                   | .89    |
| Figure 3.10: AVL model for the calculation of stability derivatives                       | .90    |
| Figure 3.11: Flight quality requirements for Dutch Roll and short period                  | .90    |
| Figure 3.12: Wing geometric parameters  | .92    |
| Figure 3.13: Airfoil geometric parameters.  | .92    |
| Figure 3.14a: Airfoil coordinates and polynomial fittings                                 | .93    |
| Figure 3.14b: ML/D and L/D curves for the optimized airfoils (basic airplane)             | .94    |
| Figure 3.14c: Pressure distributions for the reference airplane at design point           | .95    |
| Figure 3.15: Comparison between ANN overall drag predictions and CFD results              | .96    |
| Figure 3.16: Generic compressor map   | .99    |
| Figure 3.17: Noise measuring points for airplane certification                            | 00     |
| Figure 3.18: Design Diagram check   | 02     |
| Figure 3.19: Two stop demand model and adopted shares                                     | 11     |
| Figure 3.20: Mission Profile  | 19     |
| Figure 3.21: Flight profile workflow for calculation of trip fuel and time                | 20     |
| Figure 3.22: Complete mission calculation algorithm                                       | 21     |
| Figure 3.23: Cashflow NPV analysis of a 120 seats aircraft design                         | 128    |
| Figure 4.1: Five cities air transport network   | 133    |
| Figure 4.2: Optimization results – Fixed Network/Optimum Aircraft (5 airports)            | 137    |
| Figure 4.3: Pareto Front – Fixed Network/Optimum Aircraft (5 airports)                    | 38     |
| Figure 4.4: Baseline and extreme designs – Fixed Network/Optimum Aircraft (5 airports) .1 | 39     |

| Figure 4.5: Pearson correlation matrix – Fixed Network/Optimum Aircraft (5 airports)142           |
|---|
| Figure 4.6: Results from the optimum network simulation   |
| Figure 4.7a: Optimum network results for baseline and min NDOC aircraft designs147                |
| Figure 4.7b: Optimum network results for max NP and max NPV aircraft designs147                   |
| Figure 4.8: Optimization results – Integrated Aircraft and Network (5 airports)151                |
| Figure 4.9: Integrated aircraft and network optimization Pareto front (5 airports)152             |
| Figure 4.10: Extreme designs – Fixed Network x Optimum Network (5 airports)153                    |
| Figure 4.11a: Optimum Network type #1 - 70 to 109 seats aircraft (5 airports)154                  |
| Figure 4.11b: Optimum Network type #2 – 113 and 114 seats aircraft (5 airports)154                |
| Figure 4.11c: Optimum Network type #3 – 130 seats aircraft (5 airports)155                        |
| Figure 4.12: Integrated aircraft and network optimization Pareto front (10 airports)              |
| Figure 4.13a: Baseline - optimized network and aircraft characteristics (10 airports)160          |
| Figure 4.13b: Min NDOC – integrated network and aircraft optimization (10 airports)161            |
| Figure 4.13c: Max NP – integrated network and aircraft optimization (10 airports)162              |
| Figure 4.14: Max NP – Airplane/Network integrated optimization framework (20 airports) 167        |
| Figure 4.15: ModeFrontier ® optimization framework (20 airports)168                               |
| Figure 4.16a: US\$/nm and US\$/(nm x Pax) as function of wing reference area (databases aircraft) |
| 169   |
| Figure 4.16b: Some airplanes that compose the database (not in same scale)                        |
| Figure 4.17: Pareto front and dominated individuals (20 airports)                                 |
| Figure 4.18: Aircraft Design #2 correlation Matrix (20 airports)                                  |
| Figure 4.19 Effect of fuel price and market share on Annual Profit and Network Density178         |
| Figure 4.20a: Minimum Annual Profit scenario (15% Market Share /150% reference fuel price)        |
| impact on max NP 3-fleet network topology178  |

| Figure 4.20b: Maximum Annual Profit Scenario (25% Market Share /150% reference fuel price)        |
|---|
| impact on max NP 3-fleet network topology   |
| Figure 4.21: Pareto front of the baseline optimization task. (Fuel price = US\$ 1.431/kg; Average |
| price = US\$ 110)   |
| Figure 4.22: Network P1 to fulfill Brazilian passenger demands. From top to bottom, routes        |
| performed by Airplanes 14, 37, and 25, respectively   |
| Figure 4.23: Pareto front of the optimization task with increased average ticket price. Some      |
| dominated individuals are shown in empty blue circle markers only for clarity183                  |
| Figure 4.24: The individual P7 from the Pareto front of the second simulation (increased ticket   |
| price)  |
| Figure 4.25: Pareto front of the simulation with increased fuel price                             |
| Figure 4.26: Connections displayed are performed by Airplanes No. 14, 38, and 25 from the         |
| simulation that considered increased fuel price   |

## **List of Tables**

| Table 1.1: Global strategies for reducing aviation fuel uses and emissions                      |
|---|
| Table 1.2: Airframe technologies development impact on fuel efficiency35                        |
| Table 1.3: Engine technologies development impact on fuel efficiency                            |
| Table 1.4: Technical characteristics of reference airliners                                     |
| Table 3.1: Baseline aircraft design requirements  |
| Table 3.2: Aircraft and Engines design parameters   |
| Table 3.3: Aircraft fixed parameters  |
| Table 3.4: Aircraft operational and certification fixed parameters                              |
| Table 3.5: Network fixed parameters   |
| Table 3.6: Airport and econometrics database parameters   |
| Table 3.7: Aircraft definition parameters   |
| Table 3.8: Engine weight equation exponents and coefficients                                    |
| Table 3.9: Engine weight equation normalization parameters                                      |
| Table 3.10: Weight error estimation for typical turbofan/jet engines                            |
| Table 3.11: Inputs for the ANN computation92  |
| Table 3.12: Airfoil geometric parameters  |
| Table 3.13 Predicted and calculated coefficient values by ANN and full potential code at design |
| point of the basic aircraft96   |
| Table 3.14: Hourly crew salaries for narrow body aircraft operating in North America123         |
| Table 3.15: Inflight delay costs as function of MTOW  |
| Table 3.16: MOGA-II Optimization parameters setting   |
| Table 4.1: Five airports network indicators   |

| Table 4.2: Passenger regression model coefficients, based on city-pair demand of the twenty     |
|---|
| busiest Brazilian domestic routes   |
| Table 4.3 Route passenger's estimated demand per day (10% market share)135                      |
| Table 4.4 Design variables set to fixed parameters  |
| Table 4.5a Pareto Front individuals – Fixed Network/Optimum Aircraft (aircraft characteristics) |
|   |
| Table 4.5a Pareto Front individuals – Fixed Network/Optimum Aircraft (network characteristics)  |
|   |
| Table 4.6 City-pair daily frequencies per aircraft type – Fixed Network/Optimum Aircraft.141    |
| Table 4.7a Economic results for the minimum NDOC design (5 airports network)143                 |
| Table 4.7b Economic results for the maximum NP design (5 airports network)143                   |
| Table 4.8 Optimum network frequencies for Baseline Aircraft, Min DOC and Max NP designs         |
| 146   |
| Table 4.9a Optimum network impact on economic parameters – basic aircraft design148             |
| Table 4.9b Optimum network impact on economic parameters – minimum NDOC149                      |
| Table 4.9c Optimum network impact on economic parameters – maximum NP149                        |
| Table 4.10a Pareto Front individuals – Optimum Aircraft characteristics (5 airports)            |
| Table 4.10b Pareto Front individuals – Optimum Network characteristics (5 airports)152          |
| Table 4.11a: Min NDOC - Integrated optimization x fixed network (5 airports)156                 |
| Table 4.11b: Min NDOC - Integrated optimization x fixed network baseline (5 airports)156        |
| Table 4.11c: Max NP - Integrated optimization x fixed network (5 airports)157                   |
| Table 4.11d : Max NP - Integrated optimization x fixed network baseline (5 airports)157         |
| Table 4.12 : Route passenger's demand per day (10% market share) - 10 airports158               |
| Table 4.13 : Computational performance of all simulations                                       |

| Table 4.14a: Min NDOC - Integrated optimization x fixed network baseline(10 airports).163          |
|--|
| Table 4.14b: Min NDOC - Integrated optimization x optimum network baseline (10 airports)           |
|  |
| Table 4.14c: Max NP - Integrated optimization x fixed network baseline (10 airports) 163           |
| Table 4.14d : Max NP - Integrated optimization x optimum network baseline (10 airports) 164        |
| Table 4.15 : Estimated Passengers Demand per day with 20% Market Share (20 airports)166            |
| Table 4.16 : Individuals selected in the Pareto front (20 airports)                                |
| Table 4.17a: Results for the Maximum NP scenario (20 airports)                                     |
| Table 4.17b: Results for the Maximum NPV scenario (20 airports)                                    |
| Table 4.17c: Difference between Max NPV and Max NP scenarios (20 airports)174                      |
| Table 4.18 : Impact of fuel price and market share on airline profitability and network parameters |
|  |
| Table 4.19 : Individuals selected in the Pareto front (baseline optimization)                      |
| Table 4.20 : Characteristics of optimal individuals from the baseline optimization                 |
| Table 4.21 : Data for the network with maximum profit (P7)   |
| Table 4.22 : Individual characteristics for the network with increased fuel price                  |

### **List of Abbreviations**

 $a_0$  Speed of sound at sea level on standard atmosphere [m/s]

ACO Ant colony optimization algorithm

 $AD_i$  Arrival delay at airport j [min]

AED Airport and econometrics database

AFA Approach and landing fuel allowance [kg]

AFP Aircraft fixed parameters

ailpos Aileron position on wing semi-span [%]

Aisle W Aisle width

AIP Aeronautical Information Publication

ALD Average landing delay [min]

ANN Artificial neural network

ANOPP Airplane Noise Operations Prediction Program

AOCFP Aircraft operational/certification fixed parameters

APTID ICAO's four-letter code airport designator

ATA Approach and landing time allowance [kg]

ATAG Air Transport Action Group

ATD Average takeoff delay [min]

ATM Air Traffic Management

AVL Aerodynamics Vortex Lattice

B City pair combined buying power index

 $B_i$  Buying power index related to the city of the i-th airport

BPR Engine by-pass ratio

b Passenger capacity

bflap Flap length on semi-span [%]

 $b_k$  Passenger capacity of k-th aircraft

BuffMGN Buffet margin (g)

CARGO Total cargo loaded onboard [kg]

C City pair airport catchment area product

 $C_i$  City pair airport catchment related to the i-th airport [km<sup>2</sup>]

CabHt Passengers cabin internal height [m]

CAS Calibrated airspeed [kt]

*CAPEX* Capital expenditure [US\$]

CAPSAL Captain's hourly salary [US\$/h]

CD Total aircraft drag coefficient

 $CD_0$  Zero lift drag coefficient

*CD*<sub>0 ubridge</sub> Zero lift drag increase due to wing-fuselage interference

 $CD_{flap}$  Drag increase due to takeoff flap extended

CD ind Induced drag coefficient

*CD<sub>gear</sub>* Drag increase due to landing gear extended

*CD<sub>MMO</sub>* Drag coefficient evaluated at maximum operating Mach number

CD wave Wave drag coefficient

CD wing Total wing drag coefficient

*CD*<sub>windmill</sub> Drag increase due to wind milling of a failed engine

*CD*<sub>rudder</sub> Drag increase due to ruder deflection

*CD*<sub>0.70</sub> Drag coefficient evaluated at 0.7 Mach number

Ceiling Maximum aircraft certified altitude [ft]

Flight component of direct operational cost (crew, oil, fuel and insurance)

[US\$/nm]

 $C_{maint}$  Maintenance (labor and material) component of the direct operational cost

[US\$]

 $C_{depr}$  Depreciation (airframe, engines and avionics) component of the direct

operational

 $C_{fee}$  Fees (Navigation, Airport and Register) component of the direct operational cost

[US\$]

Financial (airframe and engine leasing) component of the direct operational cost

[US\$]

CFD Computer fluid dynamics

CG Aircraft's center of gravity

chordc Airfoil chord length at central fuselage [m]

chordk Airfoil chord length at wing kink [m]

chordr Airfoil chord length at wing root [m]

*chordt* Airfoil chord length at wing tip [m]

City City name

CL Lift coefficient

 $CL_{MAX}$  Maximum lift coefficient at undeflected flap/gear up configuration

 $CL_{MAXAPP}$  Maximum lift coefficient at approach flaps/gear up configuration

CL<sub>MAXLD</sub> Maximum lift coefficient at landing flaps/gear down configuration

 $CL_{MAXTO}$  Maximum lift coefficient at takeoff flaps/gear down configuration

CL 2nd seg Lift coefficient evaluated at the 2nd segment takeoff flight path

CMA Wing mean aerodynamic chord length [m]

CNS Communication, Navigation and Surveillance Technologies

 $C_{m\alpha}$  Pitch moment coefficient

 $C_{n\beta}$  Yawing moment coefficient

CO Collaborative optimization framework

*CO*<sub>2</sub> Carbon dioxide

CORSIA Carbon Offsetting and Reduction for International Aviation

CRAD Catchment area radius [km]

Crew Number of crew members (flight attendants + pilots)

 $c_k$  Average direct operational cost [\$/nm] of k-th aircraft at design range

D Total aircraft drag [N]

DATCOM United States Air Force Stability and Control Data Compendium

*DD<sub>i</sub>* Departure delay at i-th airport [min]

DESC Sales price discount rate

 $d_{ij}$  Distance from i-th to j-th airport [nm]

DOC Direct operational cost [US\$/nm]

DOC<sub>ijk</sub> Direct operational cost from i-th to j-th airport [US\$/nm]

DOE Design of experiments

DMG Airport magnetic declination [°]

DU Average daily aircraft utilization [h]

*eCLR* Engine minimum clearance to ground [m]

ELEV Airport's reference point elevation [ft]

*EPNdB* Effective perceived noise in decibels

 $l_e$  Engine length [m]

eDiam Engine fan diameter [m]

eM Engine Design Point Mach Number

*ePOS* Engine position flag

epydz Engine pylon height [m]

*eSwet* Engine wet area [m<sup>2</sup>]

*eTIT* Engine turbine inlet temperature [K]

F Frequency of sound source [Hz]

FASAL Flight Attendant's hourly salary [US\$/h]

Part 25 of the United States Code of Federal Regulations Title 14

(Airworthiness Standards: Transport Category Airplanes)

FCt Cashflow at period t

 $f_{ij}$  Daily demand from airport i-th to j-th airport

fp Vector of fixed parameters

FF Engines total fuel flow [kg/s]

FOB Total fuel on board [kg]

FOSAL First Officer's hourly salary [US\$/h]

FPR Engine fan pressure ratio

flapLD Landing flap deflection [°]

flapTO Takeoff flap deflection [°]

fusd Fuselage diameter [m]

fusdz Fuselage external height [m]

fush Fuselage height [m]

fush2w Fuselage height-to-width ratio

fusw Fuselage width [m]

fuswetS Fuselage wet area [m<sup>2</sup>]

g Gravity acceleration [m/s<sup>2</sup>]

g(x,fp) Inequality constraint function

G Combined city pair Gross Domestic Product [US\$]

GA Genetic algorithm

GAFA Go-around fuel allowance [kg]

GATA Go-around time allowance [min]

GDP Gross Domestic Product [US\$]

GDP<sub>i</sub> Gross Domestic Product related to the city of the i-th airport [US\$]

GSP Gas Turbine Simulation Program

h(x,fp) Equality constraint function

Hmaxbuffet Maximum pressure altitude limited by buffet margin [ft]

hAR Horizontal tail aspect ratio

hS Horizontal tail area [m<sup>2</sup>]

hSweep Horizontal tail sweep angle

hTR Horizontal tail aspect ratio

HOLDT Regulatory holding time (min)

*Hp* Pressure altitude [ft]

hpos Horizontal tail position flag

HT Horizontal tail

hTR Horizontal stabilizer tapper ratio

ID Average inflight delay cost [US\$/min]

*IDF* Individual Discipline Feasible framework

IATA International Air Transport Association

ICAO International Civil Aviation Organization

inc kink Airfoil incidence at wing kink [o]

inc root Airfoil incidence at wing root [o]

inc tip Airfoil incidence at wing tip [o]

J(x,fp) Objective function

 $k_1$  Total operational costs to direct operational costs ratio

 $k_2$  Total revenue to ticket revenue ratio

KinkPos Wing kink semispan position [%]

 $l_{co}$  Forward fuselage length [m]

*lf* Fuselage length [m]

*l*<sub>tail</sub> Tailcone length [m]

L Airplane lift force [N]

LAT Airport's reference point latitude [o]

 $LAT_i$  Latitude of the origin airport [ $^{\circ}$ ]

*LAtj* Latitude of the destination airport [°]

LDA Landing distance available [m]

If Fuselage length [m]

LFL Design Landing Field Length, @ sea level, ISA conditions [m]

LFref Reference Load Factor

LON Airport's reference point longitude [°]

*LON<sub>i</sub>* Longitude of the origin airport [°]

 $LON_i$  Longitude of the destination airport[ $^{\circ}$ ]

*LPM* Linear Programming Model

*LRWY* Most used landing runway

LW Landing weight [kg]

 $L/D_{best ROC}$  Best rate of climb lift over drag ratio

M Mach Number

MaxAlt Maximum Certified Cruise Altitude Ceiling [ft]

MAXFUEL Maximum Fuel Capacity @ 0.81kg/l fuel density [kg]

MaxPax Maximum Cabin Passengers Capacity

MAXRATE Maximum Takeoff Thrust @ sea level / ISA conditions [lbf]

 $\dot{m}_c$  Engine turbofan compressor actual mass flow [kg/s]

MDA Multidisciplinary design analysis

MDF Multidisciplinary Feasible

MDO Multidisciplinary design and optimization

Nc Turbofan engine compressor corrected rotor speed [%]

MAR Minimum acceptable rate of return of investment [%]

MILP Mixed Integer Linear Programing

MINCRZT Minimum cruise time [min]

MIT Massachusetts Institute of Technology

MLW Maximum landing weight [kg]

MMO Maximum certified speed (Mach number)

MOGA Multi-objective genetic algorithm

MTOW Maximum takeoff weight [kg]

MZFW Maximum zero fuel weight [kg]

Nacft<sub>k</sub> Total number of k-th aircraft

Naisles Number of aisles in the cabin

*NAND* Nested Analysis Design

NASA United States National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NDOC Average air transport network's direct operational cost [US\$/ nm]

NFP Network fixed parameters

NLR National Aerospace Laboratory of Netherlands

*NPV* Net present value [US\$]

*n<sub>e</sub>* Number of engines installed in the aircraft

Ngalleys Number of galley stations in the aircraft

NP Total network profit [US\$/(PAX.nm)]

Npax Number of Passengers (single class, pitch 32")

Nseat Number of Seat Abreast

NPV Total sum of manufacturer's net present value cashflow during the aircraft

development and production period

NSGA Non-Dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm

NSGA-II Fast Non-Dominating Sorting Genetic Algorithm

OEW Operational empty weight [kg]

*OPR* Engine overall pressure ratio

p Average ticket price [US\$]

Static air pressure at sea level on International Standard Atmosphere  $p_0$  (102225Pe)

(102325Pa)

ptin Engine turbofan compressor inlet total pressure [Pa]

Ptout Engine turbofan compressor outlet total pressure [Pa]

P City pair population product

 $P_i$  City pair population related to the city of the i-th airport

PAX Passenger or Passengers

PAXWT Total passenger's weight including baggage [kg]

PAYLOAD Total payload carried by the aircraft [kg]

*POP* City population

PR Turbofan engine compressor pressure ratio

*PSO* Particle swarm optimization algorithm

*qHTeff* Dynamic pressure efficiency on horizontal tail [%]

r Distance from the sound source to the receiver [m]

R Earth's average radius [km]

 $r_0$  Airfoil leading edge radius

RANGE Design Range, Full passengers @ 100kg, ISA conditions [nm]

RROC Residual rate of climb [ft/min]

rsparps Rear spar position on mean aerodynamic chord [%]

S Accumulated enroute distance [m]

SA Simulated annealing optimization algorithm

SAND Simultaneous analysis and design

SeatW Passenger's seat width

sflap Flap area [m<sup>2</sup>]

SlatPres Slat presence flag

SFC Engine specific fuel consumption [kg/s/N]

SPDLIM Speed Limit below 10000ft pressure altitude in terms of indicated airspeed [kt]

SP Aircraft sales price [Millions of US\$]

SPL Sound Pressure Level [dB]

T Engine net thrust [N]

Static air temperature at sea level on International Standard Atmosphere (200.15W)

(288,15K)

TAT Turnaround time [min]

tc Airfoil thickness ratio

tc<sub>max</sub> Airfoil maximum thickness chord-wise position

tckink Airfoil thickness ratio at wing kink

*tc<sub>root</sub>* Airfoil thickness ratio at wing root

tc<sub>tip</sub> Airfoil thickness ratio at wing tip

Tctc<sub>max</sub> Camber at maximum thickness chord-wise position

t Time measure [s, min, h, years or months]

Tij Trip time spent between i-th and j-th airports [min]

TBij Block time spent between i-th and j-th airports [min]

TIT Taxi-in time [min]

TODA Takeoff Distance Available [m]

TOFL Design Takeoff Field Length @ sea level, ISA conditions [m]

TOT Taxi-out time [min]

totSwet Total aircraft wet area [m<sup>2</sup>]

*ToW<sub>req</sub>* Required thrust-over-weight ratio

Tref Airport reference temperature

TOF Takeoff fuel (fuel on board at beginning of takeoff run) [kg]

TOFA Takeoff and climb-out fuel allowance [kg]

TOTA Takeoff and climb-out time allowance [min]

TOW Takeoff weight [kg]

TRWY Most used takeoff runway

*T/W* Thrust-to-weight ratio

ULH Uniform Latin Hippercube

V True airspeed [m/s]

*vAR* Vertical stabilizer aspect ratio

VMO Maximum certified speed (indicated airspeed, kt)

VT Vertical tail

*vAR* Vertical Tail aspect ratio

Vbest ROC Best rate of climb speed [m/s]

vS Vertical tail area [m<sup>2</sup>]

*vSweep* Vertical tail sweep angle

vTR Vertical stabilizer aspect ratio

W Airplane weight [kg]

Wc Turbofan engine compressor corrected mass flow [kg/s]

Wf Total fuel burned from origin to destination airport [kg]

Wf<sub>app</sub> Total fuel burned on approach phase [kg]

Wf<sub>alternate</sub> Total fuel burned from destination to alternate airport [kg]

Wfcontingency Contingency fuel [kg]

Wfholding Fuel for the holding flight phase [kg]

Wf<sub>taxi</sub> Taxi fuel [kg]

wAR Wing aspect ratio

wDih Wing Dihedral [o]

WingletPres Winglet presence flag

wb Wing semi-span [m]

WoSreq Required wing load [N/m<sup>2</sup>]

wS Wing reference area [m<sup>2</sup>]

wSweep1/4 Wing quarter-chord sweepback angle [°]

wSweepLE Wing leading edge sweepback angle [°]

wTR Wing tapper ratio

wTwist Wing Twist Angle [°]

WL AR Winglet Aspect ratio [m2]

WL\_TR Winglet tapper ratio

WL\_sweep Winglet sweep angle

WL cantl Winglet cantlever angle [deg]

WL twist Winglet twist angle [deg]

W/S Wing loading  $[N/m^2]$ 

x Vector of design parameters

 $xl_e$  Wing leading edge position

 $x_{LB}$  Design variable lower band limit

 $x_{UB}$  Design variable upper band limit

XDSM Extended Design Structure Matrix

 $Yc_{max}$  Airfoil maximum camber

Xiltj Fraction of the passenger's demand flow fij from origin i to destination j

Yijk Number of type-k airplane linking i-th to j-th city (route frequency)

XYc<sub>max</sub> Camber at maximum thickness chord-wise position

# **List of Symbols**

| α              | Angle of attack [°]   |
|----------------|---|
| β              | Sideslip angle [°]  |
| δ              | Atmospheric pressure ratio (static air pressure/p0) at a given pressure altitude  |
| $\delta_{I}$   | Inner wing panel dihedral [°]   |
| $\delta_2$     | Outer wing panel dihedral [°]   |
| $\delta_{max}$ | Atmospheric pressure ratio at altitude where buffet margin is achieved  |
| ε              | Airfoil camber line angle at trailing edge [°]  |
| $\varphi$      | Airfoil thickness line angle at trailing edge [°]   |
| $\phi$         | Acceleration factor function  |
| γ              | Flight path angle [rad]   |
| П              | Engines throttle position [%]   |
| η              | Turbofan engine compressor efficiency   |
| ho             | Air density at a given pressure altitude [kg/m³]  |
| $ ho_0$        | Air density at sea level on International Standard Atmosphere (1,225kg/m³)  |
| Чij            | Average true heading at the great circle path from origin airport $i$ to destination airport $j$                                      |
| σ              | Atmospheric density ratio (air density/ $\rho_0$ ) at a given pressure altitude   |
| $\theta$       | Atmospheric temperature ratio ( $static\ air\ temperature/T_0$ ) at a given pressure altitude   |
| $\theta c$     | Airfoil camber line angle at leading edge [°]   |
| $\Theta$       | Directivity angle of the sound source [o]   |
| ΔISA           | Temperature deviation from the temperature predicted by ICAO International Standard Atmosphere at a given pressure altitude (Hp) [°C] |
| ∆Ddiv          | Airplane total drag percentual increase due to compressibility effects near MMO [%]   |

# Sumary

| 1. Introd | uction   | 31  |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 1.1       | Commercial transport aircraft ("Airliners")                | 39  |
| 1.2       | Aircraft conceptual design                                 | 42  |
| 1.3       | Objective  | 46  |
| 1.4       | Research contribution                                      | 47  |
| 1.5       | Chapters structure   | 49  |
| 2. Lite   | erature Review   | 50  |
| 2.1       | Multidisciplinary design optimization                      | 50  |
| 2.2       | Design optimization techniques                             | 57  |
| 2.3       | Air transport network optimization                         | 64  |
| 2.4       | Integrated aircraft and network optimization               | 68  |
| 3. Met    | thodology  | 71  |
| 3.1       | The aircraft framework                                     | 80  |
| 3.1.1     | MTOW and OEW estimation                                    | 82  |
| 3.1.2     | Aerodynamics   | 91  |
| 3.1.3     | Propulsion   | 98  |
| 3.1.4     | Noise  | 99  |
| 3.1.5     | Airplane design performance check                          | 101 |
| 3.2       | Network framework  | 108 |
| 3.2.1     | Network optimization                                       | 109 |
| 3.2.2     | Mission performance  | 113 |
| 3.2.3     | Network economics  | 124 |
| 3.3       | The optimization cycle                                     | 129 |
| 4. Sim    | ulations and Analysis                                      | 131 |
| 4.1       | Optimized aircraft design for a given network              | 132 |
| 4.2       | Optimized network for a given aircraft design              | 144 |
| 4.3       | Integrated network and aircraft design optimization        | 149 |
| 4.4       | Integrated complex network and aircraft fleet optimization | 164 |

| 5. | Conclusions   | 179 |
|----|---|-----|
| 6. | Areas of improvements and future work   | 187 |
| Re | ferences  | 189 |
| Аp | pendix A - Passenger demand model analysis  | 199 |
|    | Table A.1 - Log-linear regression (twenty busiest Brazilian routes in year 2014)      | 199 |
|    | Table A.2 - Log-linear regression (twenty busiest Brazilian routes in year 2015)      | 200 |
|    | Table A.3 - Log-linear regression (twenty busiest Brazilian routes in year 2016)      | 201 |
| Аp | pendix B – Network data   | 202 |
|    | Table B.1: Airport data   | 202 |
|    | Table B.2: Econometric data   | 202 |
|    | Table B.3: Network route distances -D <sub>ij</sub> [nm]                              | 203 |
|    | Table B.4: Magnetic headings -Ψ <sub>ij</sub> [°]                                     | 203 |
| Аp | ppendix C - Optimization results: Fixed network/optimum aircraft case (5 airports)    | 204 |
| Аp | ppendix D - Optimization results: Optimum network/Optimum aircraft case ( 5 airports) | 209 |
| Аp | pendix E - Optimization results: Fixed network/Optimum aircraft case (10 airports)    | 215 |
| Аp | pendix F - Optimization results: Optimum network/Optimum aircraft (10 airports)       | 220 |
| Аp | pendix G - Aircraft Database  | 224 |
| Аp | pendix H - Optimization Results : Optimum Network and 3-Aircraft fleet (20 airports)  | 225 |
| Аp | pendix I - Optimum network and 3-aircraft fleet characteristics (20 airports)         | 229 |