# Personal notes on gravitational waves

Jose Perdiguero Garate April 7, 2025

## Contents

1	Line	ear general relativity	4
	1.1	General overview	4
	1.2	Geometrical objects	4
	1.3	Gauge transformation	6
	1.4	Degrees of freedom	8

## Notation and conventions

I am working with the following conventions, for the metric tensor

$$(-1, +1, +1, +1)$$
 (1)

### 1 Linear general relativity

In this section I am presenting a general overview on how to build-up the Einstein's field equation, given a background metric tensor and a perturbation tensor.

#### 1.1 General overview

Decomposed the metric tensor as the sum of a background metric, in this case the flat spacetime Minkowski metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ , plus a perturbation  $h_{\mu\nu}$  as follows

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}.\tag{2}$$

Using the definition of the Kronecker delta object it is straightforward to obtain the inverse tensor of the perturbation

$$\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} = g^{\mu\sigma} g_{\sigma\nu}. \tag{3}$$

Replacing Eq.(2) in to Eq.(3), and neglecting second order terms in the perturbation field, leads to

$$h^{\mu\nu} = -\eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\nu\beta}h_{\alpha\beta},\tag{4}$$

notice that, in order to upper/lower the indices of the perturbation I am only using the background metric.<sup>1</sup> Schematically, second order terms are neglected

$$h_{\mu\nu}h_{\alpha\beta} \sim 0,$$
  $h_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\beta} \sim 0,$   $\partial_{\delta}h_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\beta} \sim 0.$  (5)

This is the general overview of the fundamental field of general relativity, which at its core is the metric tensor. In the following subsection, I will be computing the Einstein's field equations for the metric tensor written in Eq.(2).

#### 1.2 Geometrical objects

The first object that is required to compute the Einstein's field equations is the connection. Working on a torsion-free manifold, the Levi-Civita connection is written as

$$\Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\rho} \left( \partial_{\alpha} g_{\rho\beta} + \partial_{\beta} g_{\rho\alpha} - \partial_{\rho} g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \tag{6}$$

Replacing Eq.(2) in to Eq.(6) leads to

$$\Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta^{\mu\rho} - h^{\mu\rho} \right) \left( \partial_{\alpha} \eta_{\rho\beta} + \partial_{\beta} \eta_{\rho\alpha} - \partial_{\rho} \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_{\alpha} h_{\rho\beta} + \partial_{\beta} h_{\rho\alpha} - \partial_{\rho} h_{\alpha\beta} \right). \tag{7}$$

Notice that, the only non-trivial contributions are the ones that are linear in the perturbation, additionally, the partial derivatives of the Minkowski's metric tensor vanishes, therefore, the connection coefficients are reduced to

$$\Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\rho} \left( \partial_{\alpha} h_{\rho\beta} + \partial_{\beta} h_{\rho\alpha} - \partial_{\rho} h_{\alpha\beta} \right). \tag{8}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Including the perturbation tensor leads to second order terms, which I am ignoring.

Next, compute the Riemann curvature tensor

$$\mathcal{R}^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu} + \Gamma^{\gamma}{}_{\nu\sigma}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\gamma} + \Gamma^{\gamma}{}_{\mu\sigma}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\nu\gamma}, \tag{9}$$

however, instead of computing directly from the above equation, it is convenient to notice the structure of the curvature tensor. The last two terms are quadratic in the Levi-Civita connection, and, since the connection is written with perturbation, then, square terms in the connection vanishes, reducing the Riemann curvature tensor to

$$\mathcal{R}^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu}. \tag{10}$$

Replacing Eq.(8) in to Eq.(10) leads to

$$\mathcal{R}^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\rho\alpha} \partial_{\mu} \left( \partial_{\sigma} h_{\alpha\nu} + \partial_{\nu} h_{\sigma\alpha} - \partial_{\alpha} h_{\sigma\nu} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\rho\alpha} \partial_{\nu} \left( \partial_{\sigma} h_{\alpha\mu} + \partial_{\mu} h_{\sigma\alpha} - \partial_{\alpha} h_{\sigma\mu} \right), \tag{11}$$

the above expression, can be simplified to

$$\mathcal{R}^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\rho\alpha} \left( \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\sigma} h_{\alpha\nu} - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\sigma} h_{\mu\alpha} + \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\mu\sigma} \right). \tag{12}$$

From the Riemann tensor, it is straightforward to compute the Ricci tensor, by contracting their respective indices

$$\mathcal{R}_{\sigma\nu} = \mathcal{R}^{\mu}_{\ \sigma\mu\nu}.\tag{13}$$

A direct computation shows the structure of the Ricci tensor

$$\mathcal{R}_{\sigma\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\sigma} h_{\alpha\nu} - \Box h_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\sigma} h + \partial_{\nu} \partial^{\alpha} h_{\alpha\sigma} \right), \tag{14}$$

where  $\square$  is the d'Alembert operator and h is the trace of the perturbation.

In the same spirit, the curvature scalar can be obtained directly through the contraction of the Ricci tensor

$$\mathcal{R} = g^{\mu\sigma} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\sigma}. \tag{15}$$

This computation is straightforward

$$\mathcal{R} = (\eta^{\mu\sigma} - h^{\mu\sigma}) \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\sigma} h_{\alpha\nu} - \Box h_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \partial_{\sigma} h + \partial_{\nu} \partial^{\alpha} h_{\alpha\sigma} \right). \tag{16}$$

Neglecting second order terms in the perturbation field, the scalar curvature is given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\sigma}h^{\mu\sigma} - \Box h \tag{17}$$

Now, we can compute the Einstein's field equations without a cosmological constant

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} \tag{18}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}$  is the energy momentum tensor. Replacing Eq.(14) and Eq.(17) in to Eq.(18) leads to

$$\partial^{\alpha}\partial_{\mu}h_{\alpha\nu} - \Box h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}h + \partial_{\nu}\partial^{\alpha}h_{\alpha\mu} - \left(\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} - \Box h\right)\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}\right) = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4}\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}.$$
(19)

Just like before, neglecting second order terms in the perturbation, the above equation can be reduced to

$$\partial^{\alpha}\partial_{\mu}h_{\alpha\nu} - \Box h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}h + \partial_{\nu}\partial^{\alpha}h_{\alpha\mu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}h^{\alpha\beta} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\Box h = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4}\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{20}$$

The above equation, can be written in a much more compact manner by using the following variable change<sup>2</sup>

$$X_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}h,\tag{21}$$

which can be inverted through standard methods

$$h_{\mu\nu} = X_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}X\tag{22}$$

where X is the trace of the tensor  $X_{\mu\nu}$ , and the tensor  $X_{\mu\nu}$ , also satisfies the relation X=-h. Replacing the variable change written in Eq.(22) in Eq.(20) and simplifying terms, leads to

$$\partial^{\alpha}\partial_{\mu}X_{\alpha\nu} + \partial_{\nu}\partial^{\alpha}X_{\alpha\mu} - \Box X_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}X^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{16\Pi G}{c^4}\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{23}$$

which, in some sense has a more simple structure that Eq.(20), and also contains the wave operator. Nonetheless, this does not look like a gravitational wave equations. In the next subsection, I will show you, how can you derived the gravitational wave equation from the above expression using a gauge transformation.

#### 1.3 Gauge transformation

Consider the infinitesimal gauge coordinate transformation

$$x^{\mu} \longrightarrow x'^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + \xi^{\mu}, \tag{24}$$

where  $\xi^{\mu}$  is a small vector. Then, it is possible to obtain the relation between and the inverse relation of the coordinate transformation of their respective derivatives

$$\frac{\partial x'^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\beta}} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} + \partial_{\beta} \xi^{\alpha} \qquad \frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial x'^{\beta}} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} \xi^{\alpha}. \tag{25}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In the standard literature  $X_{\mu\nu}$  is written as  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$ , but I strongly believed this leads to confusions.

Using the above information, the metric tensor under a gauge coordinate transformation changes as

$$g'_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial x'^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial x'^{\beta}} g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{26}$$

Using Eq.(25) in the above equation, and neglecting second order terms in the perturbation, leads to

$$g'_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} - g_{\alpha\nu}\partial_{\beta}\xi^{\nu} - g_{\mu\beta}\partial_{\alpha}\xi^{\mu} \tag{27}$$

replacing the expressions for the metric tensor, see Eq.(2), leads to

$$h'_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha} - \partial_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta}. \tag{28}$$

Is worth mention that the Riemann tensor is invariant under a gauge transformation. Therefore, we have the freedom to choose or fix the vector  $\xi^{\mu}$  as we liked. Additionally, Eq.(28) is only valid using a Minkowski background, if we were working on a curved spacetime background, there will be additional terms. As the rule of gauge transformation is written in Eq.(28), then is trivial to compute the gauge transformation of the auxiliary variable  $X_{\mu\nu}$ 

$$X_{\mu\nu} \to X'_{\mu\nu} = h'_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta^{\alpha\beta} h'_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{29}$$

replacing the transformation rule leads to

$$X'_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\alpha\beta} \left(h_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\xi_{\alpha} - \partial_{\alpha}\xi_{\beta}\right). \tag{30}$$

THe above expression can be simplified

$$X'_{\mu\nu} = X_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\xi_{\mu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\sigma}\xi_{\sigma}, \tag{31}$$

where I used the definition of the  $X_{\mu\nu}$  tensor written in Eq.(22). Now, it is convenient to work with upper index, thus, using the inverse Minkowski metric we can upper every index

$$X^{\prime\alpha\beta} = X^{\alpha\beta} - \eta^{\mu\alpha}\partial_{\mu}\xi^{\beta} - \eta^{\nu\beta}\partial_{\nu}\xi^{\alpha} + \eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial^{\sigma}\xi_{\sigma}.$$
 (32)

At this point we take the divergence of  $X_{\mu\nu}$ 

$$\partial_{\beta} X^{\prime \alpha \beta} = \partial_{\beta} X^{\alpha \beta} - \eta^{\mu \alpha} \partial_{\beta} \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\beta} - \eta^{\nu \beta} \partial_{\beta} \partial_{\nu} \xi^{\alpha} + \eta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta} \partial^{\sigma} \xi_{\sigma}, \tag{33}$$

after simplification of terms, leads to

$$\partial_{\beta} X^{\prime \alpha \beta} = \partial_{\beta} X^{\alpha \beta} - \Box \xi^{\alpha}. \tag{34}$$

At this point, recall that we still have the freedom to choose the  $\xi^{\mu}$ . Therefore, fixing

$$\Box \xi^{\alpha} = \partial_{\beta} X^{\alpha\beta} \to \partial_{\beta} X'^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \tag{35}$$

this is known as the Lorentz gauge, which vanishes the vast majorities of terms of Eq.(23). The only, non-trivial term comes from the wave operator, leading to

$$\Box X_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{16\Pi G}{c^4} \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu},\tag{36}$$

which for the special vacuum case  $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ , reduces the above equation to

$$\Box X_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{37}$$

The above result, is known as the gravitational wave equation. In the next subsection we will be dealing with the problem of counting the degrees of freedom of a gravitational wave.

#### 1.4 Degrees of freedom

The perturbation has 16 independent components which must be determined. However, because it is defined as a symmetric tensor it only has 10 independent components. Moreover, due to the gauge condition Eq.(35) there are four additional equations/constraint that must be satisfied, leaving only 6 independent components. Nonetheless, this choice, does not completely fixes the gauge, we still have the freedom to choose to fix the four components of the displacement vector  $\xi^{\mu}$ , and hence, reduced even further the number of independent components of the perturbation to 2 independent components. This is known as the residual gauge.

Α