# Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Víctor Escala García Josep Fanals Batllori Pol Heredia Julbe Roger Izquierdo Toro Palina Nicolas

### **Smart Grids**

January 13, 2021



Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

1. Introduction

2. Phase 1

2.1 Results

2.2 Problems identification

3. Phase 2

3.1 New lines

3.2 Results

4. Phase 3

4.1 Placement of renewables

4.2 Results

5. Phase 4

5.1 Storage + power plant

5.2 Results

6. SGAM

6.1 Description

7. Conclusions

8. Extra





# Introduction

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

### Introduction

▶ Smart grids are becoming a necessity in order to integrate renewables, accommodate new actors, improve the observability and efficiency.

▶ The transition from conventional systems towards smart grids is challenging:

Incorporate distributed sources of energy.

Integrate storage systems.

Rely less on large traditional centralized power plants.

Thus, we have divided the progressive adaptations:

Chapter	Activities	
Phase 1	Initial solution of the system	
Phase 2	Addition of lines	
Phase 3	Integration of wind and solar	
Phase 4	Rehabilitated power plant and storage	
SGAM	HLUC related to contingencies	

Table 1: Phases of the project to move towards smart grids



modernized resilient systems

# Plan

- 2. Phase 1
  - 2.1 Results 2.2 Problems identification
- 3.1 New lines Phase 1
- 4.1 Placement of renewables
  - 4.2 Results











# System overview

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Group

Introductio

Phase 1

Problems identificatio

Phase 2

New line Results

Phase 3

Placement of renewables


Phase 4
Storage + power plant
Results


SGAM

Conclusion

Conclusions

Extra







### Initial bus voltages

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems




Figure 2: Voltage profile during 24 hours for the initial grid. The low-voltage buses are plotted in solid lines; the high-voltage ones are in dashed lines.





### Initial lines loading

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Results






Figure 3: Representation of the percentual loading of the lines during 24 hours





### Technical issues

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Problems identification

The main observed issues are:

- ▶ Some load buses are below 0.9 p.u. during peak hours.
- ▶ While no lines surpass 100% of load, the interconnection line is close to 90%.
- ▶ In addition, the N-1 criteria is not met:

Element	Disconnection time (h)	Consequences
Line 8-10	12.50	No load served - divergence
Line 9-10	6.25	No load served - divergence
Line 9-11	8.85	Loads at buses 2, 3 and 5 unserved
Line 9-12	13.98	Load at bus 5 unserved
Line 11-6	13.98	No load served
Trafo 1-8	1.20	No load served - divergence
Trafo 2-9	1.20	Load at bus 2 unserved
Trafo 3-10	1.20	Load at bus 3 unserved
Trafo 4-11	1.20	Load at bus 4 unserved
Trafo 5-12	1.20	Load at bus 5 unserved

Table 2: Disconnection time and consequences of losing each element



resilient systems

# Plan

- 3. Phase 2
- - 3.1 New lines 3.2 Results
- Phase 2
  - - 4.1 Placement of renewables
    - 4.2 Results



### Potential addition of lines

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Стопр

Introduction

Phase :

Results

Phase

New lines

Phase 3

Placement of renev

Phase 4
Storage + power plant
Results

SGAM

Conclusion

Extra




Figure 4: Overview of the new network. Double line indicates double circuit.





# Algorithm to compute contingencies

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

end

```
Input: net initialized class, i, n
Output: stored results
Generate permutations \forall \sigma_g where g = [1, 2, ..., 2^{(n-j)}]
for i = [1, 2, ..., j] do
      \sigma: \leftarrow false
      \sigma_r \leftarrow \text{true}, where r \neq i and r \leq j
      \mathcal{A} \leftarrow \{\mathbf{1}_{\sigma_1}, \mathbf{1}_{\sigma_2}, ..., \mathbf{1}_{\sigma_i}\}
      for g = [1, 2, ..., 2^{(n-j)}] do
             [\sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i+2}, ..., \sigma_n] \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}
             \mathcal{B} \leftarrow \{\mathbf{1}_{\sigma_{i+1}}, \mathbf{1}_{\sigma_{i+2}}, ..., \mathbf{1}_{\sigma_n}\}
             \mathcal{N} \leftarrow \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}
              pandapower.timeseries.run_timeseries(\mathcal{N}.net)
              Store results
      end
```

**Algorithm 1:** Pseudocode to solve the contingencies





### Contingency analysis for additional lines

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Results

Top 10 optimal configurations. Requirements are met and cost is minimized.

Identifier	New lines	Infraestructure cost (M€)
19	[6-13, 6-10, 8-9, 10-12]	359.50
214	[6-13, 6-10, 11-12, 8-9]	362.99
77	[6-13, 8-9, 10-12, 9-6]	375.04
49	[6-13, 11-12, 8-9, 9-6]	378.54
80	[6-13, 6-10, 11-12, 8-9, 10-12]	420.63
189	[6-13, 6-10, 8-9, 10-12, 9-6]	420.63
45	[6-13, 6-10, 8-9, 10-12, 8-12]	423.96
70	[6-13, 8-9, 10-12, 9-6]	424.12
157	[6-13, 6-10, 11-12, 8-9, 8-12]	427.46
250	[6-13, 11-12, 8-9, 10-12, 9-6]	436.17

Table 3: Best configurations with the additional lines

Serious need to install more lines connected to the interconnection bus.





# Contingency analysis for a voltage level of 400 kV

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Results

Same for a rise in the voltage.

Identifier	New lines	Lines (M€)	Transformers (M€)	Total (M€)
163	[6-13, 8-9, 10-12]	313.92	64.79	378.71
133	[6-13, 11-12, 8-9]	317.41	64.79	382.20
235	[6-13, 8-9, 8-12]	320.75	64.79	385.54
30	[6-13, 8-12, 6-8]	346.07	64.79	410.86
19	[6-13, 6-10, 8-9, 10-12]	359.50	64.79	424.29
214	[6-13, 6-10, 11-12, 8-9]	362.99	64.79	427.78
58	[6-13, 6-10, 8-9, 8-12]	366.33	64.79	431.12
77	[6-13, 8-9, 10-12, 9-6]	375.04	64.79	439.83
169	[6-13, 8-9, 10-12, 8-12]	378.38	64.79	443.17
49	[6-13, 11-12, 8-9, 9-6]	378.54	64.79	443.33

Table 4: Economic results of replacing the substations

Three additional lines instead of four are required. However, the cost becomes a bit larger. It becomes a sub-optimal choice.



Phase 3

- - 3.1 New lines

  - 4. Phase 3
  - 4.1 Placement of renewables
  - 4.2 Results

4日 → 4周 → 4 差 → 4 差 → 1 回 の 9 ○ ○





### Connection of renewables to the system

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Placement of renewables




Figure 5: Overview of the network with renewables and the potential addition of lines



### Solar resources

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Placement of renewables

Profile extracted from PVGIS:




Figure 6: Irradiance and power from the PV plant along a representative day



### Wind resources

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Placement of renewables

Profile extracted from NASA database:




Figure 7: Wind speed and output power from the wind farm



### Improvement due to renewables

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Results

It is worth it to compare the variation of the magnitudes due to renewables in normal operation.

Attributes	Without renewables	With renewables
$V_{min}$ (p.u.)	0.962	0.968
$V_{max}$ (p.u.)	1.050	1.050
Max. load (%)	41.65	40.76
Max. losses (MW)	14.54	14.43
Correct operation?	Yes	Yes

Table 5: Main results to compare between the grid with and without renewables

Results improve a bit. Unfortunately, the installed renewable power is not significant to cause a large impact.





# Contingency analysis

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Still four lines have to be added (interconnection lines have been set as static). The cost increases slightly because renewable power plants require extra lines.

Identifier	New lines	Infraestructure cost (M€)
24	[8-9, 10-12]	402.71
12	[8-9, 9-6]	406.21
46	[11-12, 8-9]	406.21
8	[8-9, 8-12]	409.54
0	[11-12, 8-9, 10-12]	463.84
4	[8-9, 10-12, 9-6]	463.84
37	[11-12, 10-12, 9-6]	463.84
63	[8-9, 10-12, 8-12]	467.18
20	[11-12, 8-9, 9-6]	467.34
38	[11-12, 8-9, 8-12]	470.67

Table 6: Best configurations with the additional lines



resilient systems

- - 3.1 New lines
  - 4.1 Placement of renewables
  - 4.2 Results
  - 5. Phase 4

  - 5.1 Storage + power plant 5.2 Results

Phase 4



### Connection of a storage unit and a dismantled plant

Nuclear

I oad I

Load II

Dismantled

Intercon.

Wind

Solar

Storage

New line

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems




Figure 8: Overview of the network with storage and the dismantled plant







# Daily profile of storage

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

The battery is based on lithium-ion technology.




Figure 9: Daily charge and discharge profile for the battery system





### Daily profile of the dismantled plant

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Four fuels were considered: coal, diesel, natural gas, and biomass.




Figure 10: Daily generation profile of the rehabilitated plant

### Base case results

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Results

Improvement of all grid attributes.

Attributes	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4
$V_{min}$ (p.u.)	0.962	0.968	0.971
$V_{max}$ (p.u.)	1.050	1.050	1.050
Max. load (%)	41.65	40.76	39.63
Max. losses (MW)	14.54	14.43	13.89
Correct operation?	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table 7: Main results to compare between phases

Whole emission factor of 63 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/MWh. Biomass is the cheapest option.

Fuel	Dismantled	Interconnection	Total
	$(tCO_2-eq)$	$(tCO_2-eq)$	$(tCO_2-eq)$
Coal	108.56	890.34	998.90
Diesel	89.64	890.34	979.98
Gas	59.76	890.34	950.10
Biomass	0.00	890.34	890.34

Table 8: Total daily emissions depending on the scenario





# Contingency analysis

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Results

The combination of storage and the dismantled plant help at having to install one less additional line.

Identifier	New lines	Infraestructure cost (M€)
33	[8-9]	419.49
24	[8-9, 10-12]	477.12
12	[8-9, 9-6]	480.62
46	[11-12, 8-9]	480.62
8	[8-9, 8-12]	483.96
57	[8-9, 6-8]	505.94
0	[8-9, 10-12]	538.25
4	[8-9, 10-12, 9-6]	538.25
37	[11-12, 10-12, 8-12]	538.25
63	[8-9, 10-12, 8-12]	541.59

Table 9: Best configurations with storage and a rehabilitated plant

The total cost rises a bit due to the connections of storage.



resilient systems

# Plan

- - 3.1 New lines

  - 4.1 Placement of renewables
  - 4.2 Results
- 6. SGAM
  - 6.1 Description

SGAM





# HLUC: contigency analysis

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

SGAM

### **HLUC lavers:**

- Component
- Business
- Function

### Involved PUC lavers:

- ▶ Information
- Communication

- **Scope:** evaluate the effects of a fault and calculate any overloads based on a computer application that simulates the power system to be prepared for any possible fault.
- ▶ **Objective:** protection of the impact of faults.
- **Grid issues:** short-circuits, overloads, undervoltages.
- Relation to other use cases: PUC 01: demand and generation forecasting; PUC 02: grid operation scheduling; PUC 03: grid observability and monitoring; PUC 04: fault detection and localization. Extracted from RESOLVD.
- Viewpoint: Technical.
- Type: HLUC.

Table 10: General description of the HLUC



### HLUC actors

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Description



- Geographical Information System (GIS)
- Grid Operation Scheduler (GOS)
- Weather Forecast (WF)
- Reserve Aggregator (RA)
- Energy Forecaster (EF)
- Transmission System Operator (TSO)
- Distribution System Operator (DSO)
- Transmission Management System (TMS)
- Distribution Management System (DMS)





### Business and function layer

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Description

Reserve Market Enterprise Operation HLUC: Contingency **Analysis** Station Field Process






Figure 11: Business and function layer mapping



Conclusions

7. Conclusions






### **Conclusions**

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Conclusions

▶ The initial grid needs improvements to reduce voltage drops and lines loadings.

- ▶ A methodology to include new lines is critical. An algorithm to compute the contingencies has been presented.
- ▶ More lines connecting with the bus of interconnection are required.
- ▶ Installing renewables has a minor impact on the final results (although they improve).
- ▶ The storage unit and the dismantled power plant reduce the needs of additional lines.
- ▶ The high level use case regarding contingencies has been described following the SGAM methodology.









Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Extra

► Full writing in LATEX.

- Learning of Python and packages such as pandapower and pandas.
- Collaborative work with Git:



Figure 12: GitHub commits history





### Workload distribution

Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Extra

Víctor: Phase 1 results identification. SGAM

▶ Josep: full Python code, results extraction for all phases, report writing, presentation

Pol: initial Python code, renewables sizing, SGAM

Roger: Phase 1 results identification, Phase 4, SGAM, writing corrections

▶ Palina: Phase 1 results identification, introduction, writing corrections, supervision

# Smart grids: from traditional to modernized resilient systems

Víctor Escala García Josep Fanals Batllori Pol Heredia Julbe Roger Izquierdo Toro Palina Nicolas

### **Smart Grids**

January 13, 2021