## CS 3100, Fall 2021, Asg-9, 100 pts

Please fill the notebook u000000\_asg09.ipynb within 21\_NPC\_Lambda/ASSIGNMENT-9, finish that, and submit that. You are also required to submit a .png file called bdd1.png.

1. (25 points) The topic of this assignment problem is Running the BDD tools.

## These are now found as follows

Git pull Jove

At the top level, click into the folder pbl and select BDD.ipynb

Send BDD.ipynb to Colab

Follow instructions in BDD.ipynb as well as below

Someone wants to implement the following functions:

• The magnitude comparison function < (written lt) defined over bits a3,a2,a1,a0 and b3,b2,b1,b0. In particular, a3 a2 a1 a0 < b3 b2 b1 b0 must be true exactly when the magnitude of the unsigned binary nibble a3 a2 a1 a0 is less than that of the nibble b3 b2 b1 b0.

Examples: 0100 < 1100, 0100 < 0101, etc.

• The magnitude comparison function > (written **gt** in the BDD file) defined over bits a3,a2,a1,a0 and b3,b2,b1,b0. It is defined similar to how < was defined.

Examples: 1100 > 0100, 0101 > 0100, etc.

• The equality function = (written eq in the BDD file) defined over bits a3,a2,a1,a0 and b3,b2,b1,b0.

```
Examples: 1100 = 1100, 0101 = 0101, etc.
```

• Someone using our BDD tool has come up with the following definitions. Your task is to help the person debug his/her construction. Due to the symmetry of their construction, they trust ltl and gtl to be both right or wrong. Similarly, they trust ltl and gtl to be both right or wrong. They also trust eq's definition. They need help with which pair ltl,gtl or ltl,gtl to trust. They also need help with size-control of the BDD:

```
#1 Var_Order : a3, a2, a1, a0, b3, b2, b1, b0

#2 Var_Order : something else

lt1 = (~a3 & b3) | (~a2 & b2) | (~a1 & b1) | (~a0 & b0)

gt1 = (a3 & ~b3) | (a2 & ~b2) | (a1 & ~b1) | (a0 & ~b0)

lt2 = ~a3 & b3 | (a3<=>b3) & (~a2 & b2 | ((a2<=>b2) & (~a1 & b1 | (a1<=>b1) & ~a0 & b0)))

gt2 = a3 & ~b3 | (a3<=>b3) & (a2 & ~b2 | ((a2<=>b2) & (a1 & ~b1 | (a1<=>b1) & a0 & ~b0)))

eq = (a3 <=> b3) & (a2 <=> b2) & (a1 <=> b1) & (a0 <=> b0)
```

```
#3 Main_Exp : lt1 & gt1

#4 Main_Exp : lt2 & gt2

#5 Main_Exp : (lt1 & ~gt1) <=> lt1

#6 Main_Exp : (lt2 & ~gt2) <=> lt2
```

- (a) (5 pts) Which Var\_Order (#1 or #2) do you recommend, and why? What is a good criterion for picking a variable ordering that results in smaller BDD sizes? (about 2 bullets)
- (b) (5 pts) When you enable #3 as the Main\_Exp, does the resulting BDD suggest that 1t1 and gt1 are correct? Also, when you enable #4 as the Main\_Exp, does the resulting BDD suggest that 1t2 and gt2 are correct? Explain in clear bullets (about 2) by reading the resulting BDDs, saying which of the expressions are correct (1t1,gt1 or 1t2,gt2).
- (c) (5 pts) When you enable #5 as the Main\_Exp, does the resulting BDD suggest that lt1 and gt1 are correct? Also, when you enable #6 as the Main\_Exp, does the resulting BDD suggest that lt2 and gt2 are correct? Explain in clear bullets (about 2) by reading the resulting BDDs, saying which of the expressions are correct (lt1,gt1 or lt2,gt2).
- (d) (5 pts) What is the main flaw in lt1/gt1? (about 2 bullets)
- (e) (5 pts) How is this flaw fixed in lt2/gt2? (about 2 bullets)
- 2. (25 points) Refer to Figure 16.9 of our book. Here, a formula called  $\phi$  is given. Drop the last conjunct of  $\phi$ , calling the resulting formula  $\phi_1$ .
  - (a) (5 points) Enter this formula in the syntax of the provided BDD tool. Answer in your notebook, in the space provided, how you entered this formula.

```
Var_Order : x1 x2 ...
```

(b) (5 points) Submit the PNG file you obtain for this BDD in your ZIP as a file called bdd1.png. You can save the image by right-clicking on it.

Next, obtain the satisfying assignment for  $\phi_1$ . Write it in the syntax provided, in the notebook.

```
... variable assignment ...
```

- (c) In the NP-completess proof, there is a mapping reduction employed in Figure 16.9. Describe the **3-cliques** generated by  $\phi_1$  according to the construction rules of **this** mapping reduction. In particular,
  - (5 points) How many 3-cliques (or *triangles*) are allowed by the image of  $\phi_1$  ("image of  $\phi_1$ " means the image under the mapping-reduction function).

(Observe that  $\phi_1$  generated many 3-cliques but could not extend any of them to a 4-clique in the *original* formula.) Hint on locating these cliques: See that there are three "clause islands"

 $\{x1,x1,x2\}, \{x1,x1,!x2\}, and \{!x1,!x1,x2\}$ 

that are to be connected in every possible way. The assignment we obtained for  $\phi_1$  is rather simple, and suggests the edges that are allowed to be connected. Locate all the allowed triangles. A good way to "chase down" the triangles may be this:

i. Look at the clause islands Island1: {x1,x1,x2} and Island2: {x1,x1,!x2}. How many 2-cliques (or *lines*) can bridge them?

Answer: (List these 2-cliques and their count)

ii. In doing your work, first introduce those 2-cliques, and then try to finish a triangle (3-clique) by picking the third vertex from the clause island Island3: {!x1,!x1,x2}. List the triangles as triples in this manner. The first line is an actual solution. Finish the remaining ones yourself:

List as ''(Island1: node, Island2: node, Island3: node)''

- A. One solution: (Island1: x2, Island2: x1, Island3: x2)"
- B. Another solution: (Island1: x1, Island2: x1, Island3: x2)
  - ... (add more as necessary)
- (5 points) List the remaining cliques, one per line. SOLUTION: N cliques are allowed! They are:
- (5 pts) Suppose someone comes up with a P-time solver for cliques. How does this allow you to obtain a P-time solver for 3-SAT? Describe in two clear sentences reflecting your understanding. Use any two sentence forms to express: we just want to see how you are thinking.
- 3. (25 points) SAT, while being NP-complete, is a "workhorse of a tool." This problem asks you to get a taste of running a SAT tool and seeing how things are encoded. Specifically, you will be running CryptoMinisat on a SAT formula. You don't need to install this tool: merely go to page 265 of our book, consult Figure 16.10, and presto—there is a link to this tool that you can click! When you do this, the tool comes up with a prefilled formula. There is a Play button that you can click whereupon it solves the SAT instance.

This assignment asks you to replace this SAT instance with something bigger: specifically, the Pigeonhole problem (hole6.cnf) from

https://people.sc.fsu.edu/~jburkardt/data/cnf/cnf.html. Just click the above link, and get the hole6.cnf file, and plunk the CNF into the buffer.

Hit "play" and report on the execution time (you can look at your phone's clock). If under 2 seconds, say "negligible" for your answer!

How much time would such a problem take through brute-force enumeration of  $2^n$  combinations on a computer that takes a microsecond per variable combination (the n is the number of variables used in the Pigeonhole problem)? **HINT:** Here is how you read the contents of a CNF file:

- (a) (5 points) CryptoMinisat runtime: SOLUTION: some time OR Negligible.
- (b) (5 points)  $2^n$  runtime estimation. (Your solution here.)
- (c) (15 points) List six facts that you found interesting about Boolean SAT in these articles:

 $\verb|https://cacm.acm.org/magazines/2009/8/34498-boolean-satisfiability-from-theoretical-hardness-to-practical-success/fulltext|$ 

and

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boolean\_satisfiability\_problem

Anything that interested you is fine – theoretical or practical. Please offer 1-2 sentences per point that interested you.

4. (25 points) Euclid's method to compute the greatest common divisor of two natural numbers can be specified in the Lambda syntax as:

```
gcd = lambda x: lambda y: y if (x==y) else <math>gcd(x-y)(y) if (x>y) else gcd(x)(y-x)
```

(a) (5 points) Much like we computed fact to be Ye(prefact) (see Chapter 18), compute the following: pregcd using a Ye application. Notice that pregcd is curried (Page 311 defines curried functions); but that does not matter yet (computing gcd from pregcd works the same despite having a curried function of two arguments).

Define pregcd in this manner, and then gcd

- (b) **(5 points)** Evaluate these:
  - gcd(450)(6000)
  - gcd(450)(6001)
  - gcd(450)(6002)
  - gcd(450)(6003)
  - gcd(453)(6003)

(c) (15 points) (In this problem, we use () or [] interchangeably, for visual clarity.) Show that  $Y_e$  is indeed a fixed-point combinator. That is, show that for any G, we get

$$Y_e G = G(Y_e G)$$

Here are a few steps of the derivation; finish this:

- $\bullet \ Y_eG = (\lambda f.(\lambda x.(xx)[\lambda y.f(\lambda v.((yy)v))])G$
- (Apply Beta reduction to pull in G, and get)
- =  $(\lambda x.(xx)[\lambda y.G(\lambda v.((yy)v))])$
- ... finish the remaining steps; it will involve two more Beta reductions and one Eta reduction.