

Analysis of local-search heuristics for Mastermind with n colors

Here we prove tight lower bounds and upper bounds for RLS, and almost tight lower bounds and upper bounds for $(1 + 1)$ -EA.

Notation.

- \log denotes the logarithm in base e .
- $[n] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1, \dots, n\}$
- $H_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$

Definition (MM_n). As all our algorithms will be unbiased, we consider the objective function $f : [n]^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ defined by:

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{x_i=0}$$

Remark. For RLS, we initialize $x \in_R [n]^n$ and at each step we choose an index $i \in_R [n]$ and a shift $s \in_R [n-1]$.

Theorem.

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{T}(\text{RLS}, \text{MM}_n)] \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} n^2 \log n$$

Proof. Let x^0, \dots, x^t be the successive queries of RLS. Let $T_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{t \mid f(x^t) \geq i\}$. Note that for all $i \geq i_0$, the variable $T_{i+1} - T_i$ conditioned on $f(x^0) = i_0$ follows a geometric distribution with parameter $\frac{n-i}{n} \frac{1}{n-1}$. So:

$$\mathbf{E}[T_{i+1} - T_i \mid f(x^0) = i_0] = \frac{n(n-1)}{n-i}$$

And it follows that:

$$\mathbf{E}[T_n \mid f(x^0) = i_0] = n(n-1) \sum_{i=i_0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n-i} = n(n-1)H_{n-i_0}$$

If $c_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{n \log n}$, by additive Chernoff bounds:

$$\mathbf{P}[f(x^0) > 1 + c_n] \leq \exp(-2 \log n) = \frac{1}{n^2}$$

So:

$$\mathbf{E}[T_n] = n(n-1) \sum_{i_0 \leq 1+c_n} H_{n-i_0} \mathbf{P}[f(x^0) = i_0] + o(1)$$

Finally:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \log(n-1-c_n) \leq \sum_{i_0 \leq 1+c_n} H_{n-i_0} \mathbf{P}[f(x^0) = i_0] \leq 1 + \log n$$

Hence $\mathbf{E}[T_n] \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} n^2 \log n$. □

Remark. For $(1+1)$ -EA, we consider $p = \frac{1}{n}$.

Theorem.

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{T}((1+1)\text{-EA}, MM_n)] \leq en^2(\log n + 1)$$

Proof. We apply the fitness local method. Let x be the current state of the variable and y the transformed one.

$$\mathbf{P}[f(y) > i \mid f(x) = i] \geq (n-i) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n^2} \geq \frac{n-i}{en^2}$$

So:

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{T}((1+1)\text{-EA}, MM_n)] \leq en^2 H_n \leq en^2(\log n + 1)$$

□

Theorem.

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{T}((1+1)\text{-EA}, MM_n)] \geq n^2 \log n + o(n^2 \log n)$$

Proof. Let $X_{j,t}$ be the indicator of the event “the j -th position is incorrect in x^0 and zero has never been drawn out for this position in the first t iterations”. Let:

$$t_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right) (n^2 - 1) \log n$$

Then at this time:

$$\mathbf{P}[X_{j,t_n} = 1] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)^{\left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)(n^2-1) \log n} \geq \frac{\log n}{2n}$$

We deduce:

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j,t_n} \right] \geq \frac{\log n}{2}$$

And by multiplicative Chernoff bounds:

$$\mathbf{P} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j,t_n} \leq \frac{\log n}{4} \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\log n}{16} \right) = o(1)$$

If T denotes the time at which we find the optimal solution:

$$\mathbf{P}[T \leq t_n] \leq \mathbf{P} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j,t_n} \leq \frac{\log n}{4} \right] = o(1)$$

In the end:

$$\mathbf{E}[T] \geq \mathbf{P}[T > t_n] t_n = (1 - o(1)) \left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right) (n^2 - 1) \log n \sim n^2 \log n$$

□

Remark. We are in a very different regime from what happens for $f : \{0, 1\}^{n \log_2 n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Getting yes/no answers from groups of $\log_2 n$ pieces together somehow results in an additional n factor in the efficiency of $(1 + 1)$ -EA.