## Analysis of local-search heuristics for Mastermind with *n* colors

Here we prove tight lower bounds and upper bounds for RLS, and almost tight lower bounds and upper bounds for (1+1)-EA.

## Notation.

- log denotes the logarithm in base *e*.
- $[n] \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \{1, \dots, n\}$
- $H_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$

**Definition** (MM<sub>n</sub>). As all our algorithms will be unbiased, we consider the objective function  $f : [n]^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by:

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{x_i = 0}$$

*Remark.* For RLS, we initialize  $x \in_R [n]^n$  and at each step we choose an index  $i \in_R [n]$  and a shift  $s \in_R [n-1]$ .

## Theorem.

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{T}(RLS, MM_n)] \underset{n \to \infty}{\sim} n^2 \log n$$

*Proof.* Let  $x^0, \ldots x^t$  be the successive queries of RLS. Let  $T_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{t \mid f(x^t) \geq i\}$ . Note that for all  $i \geq i_0$ , the variable  $T_{i+1} - T_i$  conditioned on  $f(x^0) = i_0$  follows a geometric distribution with parameter  $\frac{n-i}{n}\frac{1}{n-1}$ . So:

$$\mathbf{E}[T_{i+1} - T_i \mid f(x^0) = i_0] = \frac{n(n-1)}{n-i}$$

And it follows that:

$$\mathbf{E}[T_n \mid f(x^0) = i_0] = n(n-1) \sum_{i=i_0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n-i} = n(n-1) H_{n-i_0}$$

If  $c_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{n \log n}$ , by additive Chernoff bounds:

$$\mathbf{P}[f(x^0) > 1 + c_n] \le \exp(-2\log n) = \frac{1}{n^2}$$

So:

$$\mathbf{E}[T_n] = n(n-1) \sum_{i_0 \le 1 + c_n} H_{n-i_0} \mathbf{P}[f(x^0) = i_0] + o(1)$$

Finally:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \log(n - 1 - c_n) \le \sum_{i_0 \le 1 + c_n} H_{n - i_0} \mathbf{P}[f(x^0) = i_0] \le 1 + \log n$$

Hence  $\mathbf{E}[T_n] \underset{n \to \infty}{\sim} n^2 \log n$ .

*Remark.* For (1+1)-EA, we consider  $p=\frac{1}{n}$ .

Theorem.

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{T}((1+1)\text{-}EA, MM_n)] \le en^2(\log n + 1)$$

*Proof.* We apply the fitness local method. Let *x* be the current state of the variable and *y* the transformed one.

$$\mathbf{P}[f(y) > i \mid f(x) = i] \ge (n - i) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n - 1} \frac{1}{n^2} \ge \frac{n - i}{en^2}$$

So:

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{T}((1+1)\text{-EA}, \mathbf{MM}_n)] \le en^2 H_n \le en^2 (\log n + 1)$$

Theorem.

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{T}((1+1)\text{-}EA, MM_n)] \ge n^2 \log n + o(n^2 \log n)$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_{j,t}$  be the indicator of the event "the j-th position is incorrect in  $x^0$  and zero has never been drawn out for this position in the first t iterations". Let:

$$t_n \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right) (n^2 - 1) \log n$$

Then at this time:

$$\mathbf{P}[X_{j,t_n} = 1] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)^{\left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)(n^2 - 1)\log n} \ge \frac{\log n}{2n}$$

We deduce:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j,t_n}\right] \ge \frac{\log n}{2}$$

And by multiplicative Chernoff bounds:

$$\mathbf{P}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j,t_n} \le \frac{\log n}{4}\right] \le \exp\left(-\frac{\log n}{16}\right) = o(1)$$

If *T* denotes the time at which we find the optimal solution:

$$\mathbf{P}[T \le t_n] \le \mathbf{P}\left[\sum_{j=1}^n X_{j,t_n} \le \frac{\log n}{4}\right] = o(1)$$

In the end:

$$\mathbf{E}[T] \ge \mathbf{P}[T > t_n]t_n = (1 - o(1)) \left(1 - \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right) (n^2 - 1) \log n \sim n^2 \log n \quad \Box$$

*Remark.* We are in a very different regime from what happens for  $f: \{0,1\}^{n \log_2 n} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . Getting yes/no answers from groups of  $\log_2 n$  pieces together somehow results in an additional n factor in the efficiency of (1+1)-EA.

We now give an upper bound for Erdos-Renyi method:

**Theorem.** *For all*  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{T}(ER, MM_n)] \le (3 + \epsilon)en \log n + o_{\epsilon}(1)$$

*Proof.* The probability that the color c > 0 at position  $1 \le p \le n$  was not chosen in any sample  $x^i$  such that  $f(x^i) = 0$  and  $1 \le i \le t$  is upper bounded by:

$$\left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n}\right)^t \le \exp\left(-t\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n}\right) \le \exp\left(-\frac{t}{en}\right)$$

Let *T* be the first point in time when all nonzero colors at every position have been chosen in a sample that evaluated to zero. Then by a union bound:

$$\mathbf{P}[T \ge t] \le n^2 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{en}\right)$$

Let  $t_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (3 + \epsilon) e n \log n$ . Then:

$$\mathbf{E}[T] \le \sum_{t=1}^{t_n} \mathbf{P}[T \ge t] + \sum_{t > t_n} \mathbf{P}[T \ge t] \le t_n + \sum_{t > t_n} \frac{1}{n^{1+\epsilon}} \le t_n + o_{\epsilon}(1)$$