# Analysis notebook

A notebook with some exercises

Joseph Mehdiyev

## Preface

About the Book

Book's source

References

How to use the Book

# Contents

| 1 | $\mathbf{Rea}$       | d Numbers  | 3  |
|---|----------------------|--|----|
|   | 1.1                  | Algebraic Objects: Fields and Order properties           | :  |
|   | 1.2                  | Absolute value, epsilon-neighborhood                     | :  |
|   | 1.3                  | Axiom of Completeness, Infimum and Supremum              | 4  |
|   | 1.4                  | Applications of Completeness, Archimedean Property (A.P) | Ę  |
|   | 1.5                  | Intervals  | 6  |
|   | 1.6                  | Cardinality  | 7  |
|   | 1.7                  | Exercises  | 7  |
|   | 1.8                  | Notes and Mistakes on Exercises                          | Ć  |
|   | 1.9                  | References   | ć  |
| 2 | Sequences and Series |  |    |
|   | 2.1                  | Sequences and limits                                     | 10 |
|   | 2.2                  | Limit Theorems   | 10 |
|   | 2.3                  | Monotone Sequences                                       | 11 |
|   | 2.4                  | Subsequences   | 12 |
|   | 2.5                  | The Cauchy Criterion                                     | 12 |
|   | 2.6                  | Exercises  | 19 |

### Chapter 1

## Real Numbers

#### 1.1 Algebraic Objects: Fields and Order properties

I already studied the algebraic topics before (Linear Algebra notes). So I will skip this section.

#### 1.2 Absolute value, epsilon-neighborhood

Absolute value is a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_0$  such that,

$$f(x) = x \qquad \text{if } x \ge 0$$

$$f(x) = -x \qquad \text{if } x < 0$$

Absolute value describes **Distance** between two values. It is important to think this function as distance more than some function that "makes negative values positive"

Proposition 1.2.1.  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

- 1.  $|x| \ge 0$
- 2. |-x| = |x|
- 3. |xy| = |x||y|
- 4.  $|x|^2 = x^2$
- 5.  $|x| \le y \iff -y \le x \le y$
- 6.  $-|x| \le x \le |x|$

*Proof.* Proofs are rather simple, so I will not bother writing here.

Theorem 1.2.1 (Triangle Inequality).  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|x+y| \le |x| + |y|$$

*Proof.* From the proposition we have,

$$-\left|x\right|\leq x\leq\left|x\right|$$

$$-|y| \le y \le |y|$$

Adding these equations we get

$$-|x|-|y| \leq x+y \leq |x|+|y| \Rightarrow |x+y| \leq |x|+|y|$$

Corollary 1.2.1.  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

- 1.  $||x| |y|| \le |x y|$
- 2.  $|x y| \le |x| + |y|$
- 3.  $\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_i|$

*Proof.* These Corollaries are direct consequence of triangle inequality, with third inequality using the proof with induction. I will not provide proofs since they are kind of boring and time comsuming.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 1.2.1** (epsilon neighborhood). The  $\epsilon$  – neighborhood of a is defined as a set

$$V_{\epsilon}(a) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |x - a| < \epsilon \}$$

Which is equivalent to open interval

$$(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$$

Analysis heavily uses epsilon definitions and epsilon neighborhood for rigirous proofs. Therefore this definition is an useful tool.

#### 1.3 Axiom of Completeness, Infimum and Supremum

**Definition 1.3.1.** A set  $A \subseteq R$  is **bounded above** if  $\exists b \in R$  s.t  $a \leq b \ \forall a \in A$ . The number b is the **upper bound of A**. We denote set of upper bounds of A as  $A^u$ . Similarly, we define lower bounds and the set as  $A^{\ell}$ .

**Definition 1.3.2** (supremum). A upper bound a of a set S is called supremum if,

$$a = \min A^u$$

Mathematically we show the notation as  $a = \sup S$ .

In Similar fashion, we define  $b = \inf S$  for lower bounds.

**Axiom of Completeness (AoC).** Every non-empty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above have supremum. The Axiom also deduces the existence of infimum in a similar fashion.

**Lemma 1.3.1** (Epsilon Definition of supremum).  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is a supremum of a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  iff

$$\forall \ \epsilon > 0 \exists a \in A | s - \epsilon < a$$

*Proof sketch.* The both ways of the lemma can be proven by definition of the supremum.  $\Box$ 

We use similar lemma for infimum.

**Proposition 1.3.1** (Maximum and Supremum). If maximum of  $A \neq \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  exists, then

$$\max A = \sup A$$

*Proof.* Denote  $s = \sup A$  and  $m = \max A$ . By definition,  $s = \min A^u$  and  $m = A^u \cap A$ . The result is an immediate consequence of the definitions of maximum and supremum.

m is a proper supremum, since  $\forall x \in A$  we have  $x \leq m$ , and since also  $m \in A$ ,  $t = \sup A < m$  is impossible.

Similarly, we have  $\min A = \inf A$ .

Proposition 1.3.2 (Uniqueness of Supremum). Supremum and Infimum are unique.

*Proof.* For the sake of the contradiction, assume there exists two supremum  $s_1, s_2$ . Then by definition of supremum, we have

$$s_1 \ge s_2 \ \land \ s_2 \ge s_1 \Rightarrow s_1 = s_2$$

Infimum follows the similar proof.

**Proposition 1.3.3** (Existence of Infimum). AoC implies the existence of infimum for  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A^{\ell} \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$\inf A = -\sup(-A)$$

*Proof.* Since  $A^l \neq \emptyset$ , it follows that

$$\exists x \in A^{\ell} \mid x < a$$

Then,

$$-x \ge -a \Rightarrow -x \in (-A)^u \ne \emptyset$$

By AoC,  $\sup(-A)$  exists. Rest is trivial.

Proposition 1.3.4 (Operations on Supremum). The supremum holds these properties,

$$\sup(A+B) = \sup(A) + \sup(B) \tag{1.1}$$

$$\sup(A \cdot B) = \sup(A) \cdot \sup(B) \tag{1.2}$$

if 
$$c \ge 0$$
, 
$$\sup(cA) = c\sup(A) \tag{1.3}$$

if 
$$c \le 0$$
, 
$$\sup(cA) = c\inf(A) \tag{1.4}$$

*Proof.* These properties directly follow from the epsilon definition of the supremum. That is,  $\forall \epsilon_a, \epsilon_b, \exists a, b \in A, B \text{ such that,}$ 

$$\sup(A) - a < \epsilon_a \wedge \sup(B) - b < \epsilon_b$$

adding these equations to each other, we have

$$\sup(A) + \sup(B) - (a+b) < \epsilon_a + \epsilon_b \tag{1.5}$$

Note that  $(a + b) \in A + B$ , and let  $\epsilon_a + \epsilon_b = \epsilon_{a+b}$ . Also we know that,

$$\forall \epsilon_c \exists c \in A + B \mid \sup(A + B) - c < \epsilon_c \tag{1.6}$$

but 1.5 and 1.6 both are valid, hence the conclusion.

We can similarly prove other propositions, even for inf.

# 1.4 Applications of Completeness, Archimedean Property (A.P)

Theorem 1.4.1 (Archimedean Property, A.P).  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \ \exists n_x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \leq n_x$ .

*Proof.* For the sake of contradiction, assume otherwise. Then  $n \leq x \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by AoC  $\mathbb{N}$  has

supremum, s. Since  $s-1 < s, \ s-1$  is not a upper bound, therefore  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s-1 < m \Rightarrow s < m+1$ . but  $m+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore s cannot be a supremum.

Theorem 1.4.2 (Density of Rationals in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \exists r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

*Proof.* Since r must be rational, we want to find  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\frac{m}{n} = r$ . From Archimedean property,

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : n(y-x) \ge 1$$

Again from Archimedean property,

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \exists m \in \mathbb{Z} : m-1 \le t \le m$$

In other words, for any real numbers, there are two consecutive integers that lies in the each boundary of the real numbers.

Let t = nx. Combining the inequalities, we get

$$nx \le m \le 1 + nx \le ny \Rightarrow x \le \frac{m}{n} \le y$$

**Theorem 1.4.3** (Density of Irrationals in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that x < y,  $\exists z \in \mathbb{I}$  such that

*Proof.* It is direct consequence of density of Rationals. We apply density theorem on  $\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\frac{y}{\sqrt{2}}$ , which we will get  $z = r\sqrt{2}, r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , hence we are done.

#### 1.5 Intervals

Theorem 1.5.1 (Closed and Open Intervals). If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and a < b, then open interval is defined by,

$$(a,b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | a < x < b\}$$

Similarly, we define **closed interval** as,

$$[a,b] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} | a \le x \le b\}$$

**Theorem 1.5.2** (Nested Intervals). The sequence of intervals  $I_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$  is nested if

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I_n \subseteq \ldots$$

Theorem 1.5.3 (Nested Interval Property). For nested intervals  $\{I_n\} = [a_n, b_n], n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the below is true

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$$

*Proof.* Since intervals are nested intervals,  $b_1 \geq a_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence by AoC supremum s of  $\{a_n\}$  exists.

We know that  $a_n \leq s$ . But since  $b_n$  is also a upper bound bigger than s, we have  $a_n \leq s \leq b_n$ , which means  $s \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_n$ 

**Remark:** Intervals must be closed. Consider  $A_n = (0, \frac{1}{n})$ . Any element of intersection must be bigger than 0, while smaller than  $\frac{1}{n}$ . By Archimedian property of real numbers, this

is a contradiction, hence  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$ 

#### 1.6 Cardinality

**Definition 1.6.1** (Cardinality). The sets A, B have the same cardinality if there exists a bijective function such that  $f: A \to B$ . We donate cardinal equality with  $A \sim B$ . Cardinality mathematically describes the size of the set.

The  $\sim$  operation is an equivalence relation.

**Definition 1.6.2** (Countable Sets). The set A is said to be countable if  $A \sim \mathbb{N}$ . Otherwise the set is called **uncountable sets**.

**Theorem 1.6.1** (Countability of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .). The set  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, that is,  $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* There is a proof with visual construction, which maps the rational numbers to natural numbers.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1.6.2** (Uncountability of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The set  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable.

*Proof.* Assume otherwise. Then subset  $[0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  must be also countable

**Definition 1.6.3** (Power set). The powerset  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ , is the set of all subsets of A.

**Theorem 1.6.3.** Every infinite subset of a countable set is a countable set.

**Theorem 1.6.4.** Let  $\{A_n\}, n = 1, 2, 3, ...$  be sequence of countable sets. Then,

$$S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

*Proof.* Diagonalization method (graphical)

#### 1.7 Exercises

1. \* Show that for  $A = \{1 - \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \sup A = 1.$ 

*Proof.* A is bounded above since clearly  $\forall a \in A, a < 1$ . Then by AoC, supremum exists. Let  $u = \sup A$ . We will show that u = 1.

Clearly, 1 is a upper bound, since  $1 > 1 - \frac{1}{n}$  is trivial.

if u < 1, we will show that there exists some  $a \in A$  such that u < a.

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \ \exists a \in A \mid 1 - \epsilon < a = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow \epsilon > \frac{1}{n}$$

But, by Archimedean,  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  contradicting,

$$u - \epsilon < 1 - \frac{1}{n} \in A$$

Therefore u = 1.

2. If  $S = \{1/n - 1/m : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , find inf S and sup S.

*Proof.* Clearly, S is bounded above and below, therefore supremum and infimum exists by AoC. We will show that  $\sup S = 1$ , and we can find  $\inf S = -\sup(-S) = -1$ . Clearly 1 is an upper bound. By definition of supremum

$$\exists \epsilon > 0, \ \forall s \in S \mid 1 - \epsilon < s = 1/n - 1/m \Rightarrow 1 - \epsilon < 1 - \frac{1}{m}$$

Which is equivalent to showing  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N} \mid \epsilon > \frac{1}{m}$ , which is evident from Archimedean.

3. \* Let S be a set of nonnegative real numbers that is bounded above and let  $T = \{x^2 : x \in S\}$ . Prove that if  $u = \sup S$ , then  $u^2 = \sup T$ .

*Proof.* Since S is bounded above, T is also bounded above. By AoC, supremum of T exists. Let  $t = \sup T$ . Clearly,  $u^2$  is upper bound of T, that is,

$$s \in S \mid s^2 \le u^2 \Rightarrow y = s^2 \in T \mid y \le u^2$$

Now, we will show that  $u^2$  the least upper bound, that is,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists s \in S \mid u^2 - s^2 < \epsilon \Longrightarrow (u - s)(u + s) < \epsilon$$

Since  $u = \sup S$ , we have

$$u - s < \epsilon_0 \ \epsilon_0 > 0$$

Moreover,  $u + s \le 2u$ . Combining these inequalities, we have

$$(u-s)(u+s) < 2u\epsilon_0$$

Then we just choose some  $\epsilon > 2u\epsilon_0$ .

Second proof.

$$a = \sup A \Rightarrow a^2 = \sup A \cdot \sup A = \sup A^2 = \sup T$$

4. Given any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , show that there exists a unique  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x \leq x < n+1$ .

*Proof.* By definition of floor function, we have

$$|x| \le x < |x| + 1$$

Clearly, n-|x| satisfies our property. Assume two  $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$  exists. WLOG n>m. Then,

$$m < n \Rightarrow m+1 \le n \Longrightarrow m+1 \le n \le x < m+1 < n+1$$

Clearly, m + 1 < m + 1 is a contradiction.

5. \* Show that there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y^2 = 3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S=\{s\in\mathbb{R}:0\leq s,s^2<3\}$ . Clearly, S is bounded, by AoC,  $\sup S=u$  exists. We will show that  $u^2=3$ .

Clearly  $u^2 = 3$  is an upper bound.

If  $u^2 < 3$ , we will show that  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : u + \frac{1}{n} \in S$ 

$$\left(u + \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 < 3 \Rightarrow u^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{2u}{n} \le u^2 + \frac{1}{n}(2u + \frac{1}{n}) \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{n} < \frac{3 - u^2}{2u + 1}$$

By Archimedean, such n exists satisfying our last inequality, hence contradiction.

6. Let  $I_n = [0, 1/n]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , clearly  $0 \in I_n$ . For any x > 0, by Archimedean there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n_0} < x$ , hence conclusion.

#### 1.8 Notes and Mistakes on Exercises

- 1. Avoid "intuitive" proofs, prove every part of the proof rigorously. For example, the last exercise section, question 1, I also should prove  $1 > 1 \frac{1}{n}$  regardless of trivality.
- 2. The "steps" in the proofs usually should be **reversed**. In a scratch paper, for example, find and construct an epsion/ natural number(?) and write it formally in the proof.
- 3. Using floor function is wrong in the last exercise. A.P should be used.

#### 1.9 References

1. https://math.colorado.edu/~nita/12\_Axiom\_of\_Completeness.pdf

## Chapter 2

## Sequences and Series

#### 2.1 Sequences and limits

**Definition 2.1.1** (Sequences). A sequence is a function with its domain as  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 2.1.2** (Converge). A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to conerge to  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , or x is said to be limit of  $(x_n)$  if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x| < \epsilon, \ \forall n \ge N$$

If limit exists, sequence is **convergent**, otherwise it is **divergent**.

**Definition 2.1.3 (Epsilon Neighborhood definition of convergence).** Below definition with neighborhood is equivalent to the definition above

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \in V_{\epsilon}(x), \forall n > N$$

Theorem 2.1.1 (Uniqueness of Limits). The limit of a sequence is unique.

*Proof.* For the sake of the contradiction, let  $x = x^{'} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (x_n)$ . with the definiton of the limit,  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N, N^{'}$ ,

$$|x - x_n| < \epsilon/2 \ \forall n \ge N$$

$$|x^{'} - x_n| < \epsilon/2 \ \forall n \ge N^{'}$$

However, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$|x - x'| \le |x - x_n| + |x' - x_n| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon, \ \forall n \ge K = \max(N, N'')$$

Since this is  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , we conclude that x = x''.

#### 2.2 Limit Theorems

**Definition 2.2.1.** A sequence  $(x_n)$  is **bounded** if there exists U > 0 such that

$$|x_n| \leq U \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

A sequence is bounded **iff** the set  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded.

**Theorem 2.2.1.** A convergent sequence is bounded.

*Proof.* If a sequence converges, then all but finite number of terms of the sequence belongs to  $V_{\epsilon}(x)$ . Since  $V_{\epsilon/2}(x)$  is bounded, the sequence itself is bounded.

Theorem 2.2.2 (Algebra of limits). let  $X = (x_n), Y = (y_n)$  converge to x, y respectively. Then sequences  $X + Y, X - Y, X \cdot Y, cX$  converge to x + y, x - y, xy, cx respectively. If  $y \neq 0, X/Y$  converges to x/y.

*Proof.* We will show that X+Y property only, others are similar. By definition of convergence,  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N, N' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|x - x_n| < \epsilon/2, \forall n \ge N$$

$$|y - y_n| < \epsilon/2, \forall n \ge N'$$

However, notice that  $\forall n \geq \max N, N^{'}$ 

$$|(x+y)-(x_n+y_n)| = |(x-x_n)+(y-y_n)| \le |x-x_n|+|y-y_n| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$$

Which proves our theorem

**Theorem 2.2.3.** If  $(x_n)$  is convergent sequence and  $x_n \ge 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} (x_n) \ge 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.4.** if  $(x_n), (y_n)$  are convergent sequences and  $x_n \leq y_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x \leq y$ .

**Theorem 2.2.5.** If  $(x_n)$  is a convergent sequence and  $a \leq x_n \leq b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a \leq x \leq b$ .

**Theorem 2.2.6** (Squeeze theorem). Let  $(x_n), (y_n), (z_n)$  be sequences such that

$$x_n \le y_n \le z_n$$

And x = z. Then  $(y_n)$  converges and

$$x = y = z$$

All above theorems are proven similarly, the idea is the same.

#### 2.3 Monotone Sequences

**Definition 2.3.1.**  $(x_n)$  is **monotone** if it is either increasing or decreasing.

Theorem 2.3.1 (Monotone Convergence Theorem). A monotone sequence is convergent iff it is bunded. Furthermore, if  $x_n$  is a bounded increasing sequence, then

$$\lim(x_n) = \sup\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$$

Similarly, if  $y_n$  is a bounded decreasing sequence, then

$$\lim(y_n) = \inf\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$$

#### 2.4 Subsequences

**Definition 2.4.1** (Subsequences). Let  $\{n_k\}$  be strict monotone increasing sequence of real numbers, then the sequence  $X' = (x_{n_k})$  is called **subsequence** 

**Theorem 2.4.1.** If a sequence  $(x_n)$  converge to x, then the subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  also converge to x.

*Proof.* By definition,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \geq N(\epsilon)$ ,

$$|x_n - x| < \epsilon$$

Because  $n_k \geq k$  (induction), then we can find such  $k \geq N(\epsilon)$ , then  $n_k \geq N(\epsilon)$ , which means

$$|x_{n_K} - x| < \epsilon$$

Theorem 2.4.2 (Monotone subsequence theorem). If  $(x_n)$  is a sequence, then there exists a monotone subsequence.

**Theorem 2.4.3** (The Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem). A bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* It is direct consequence of monotone subsequence theorem. Since we can find a monotone subsequence, and is bounded, we can conclude it is convergent.  $\Box$ 

#### 2.5 The Cauchy Criterion

**Definition 2.5.1** (Cauchy Sequence). A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon \quad \forall m > n > N$$

Theorem 2.5.1. A sequence is convergent if and only if it is a cauchy sequence

Proof.

#### 2.6 Exercises

1. Show that sequence of  $(2^n)$  does not converge.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $(2^n)$  is unbounded. Assume otherwise that there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $2^n \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$n < \log_2(M) = c$$

However by the unboundness of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we can find  $n_0$  such that  $n_0 > c$  for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , contradicting our claim.

2. \* Show that  $z_n = (a^n + b^n)^{1/n}$  where 0 < a < b converge to b.

*Proof.* Since a > 0, we have

$$(a^n + b^n)^{1/n} > (b^n)^{1/n} = b$$

Since a < b, we have

$$(a^n + b^n)^{1/n} < (2b^n)^{1/n} = 2^{1/n}b$$

Then,

$$b < z_n < 2^{1/n}b$$

Using the squeeze theorem and the fact that  $2^{1/n}$  converges to 1, we can see that  $\lim z_n = b$ .

3. \* Let  $x_1 = 8$  and let  $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}x_n + 2$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $x_n$  converges, and find the limit.

*Proof.* We will show that  $(x_n)$  is monotone and bounded.

1)  $x_n \ge 4$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By induction, for n = 1, 2 we have 8 > 4 and 6 > 4. Now assume it is true for n = k. Then,

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}x_k + 2 > 4$$

2)  $x_{n+1} < x_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By induction, for n = 1, 2 we have 6 < 8. Now assume it is true for n = k. Then,

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}x_k + 2 < \frac{1}{2}x_{k-1} + 2 = x_k$$

Then sequence is monotone and bounded, therefore it is convergent to the  $\inf\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 4$ , which we already know how to prove.

4. Prove that  $e_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/n}$  is convergent.

*Proof.* Direct consequence of monotone convergence theorem.

5. \* Prove that  $\lim_{c \to \infty} (c^{1/n}) = 1$  for 0 < c < 1.

*Proof.* The sequence  $(c^{1/n})$  is monotone:

$$c^{1/n} < c^{1/(n+1)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{n} \ln c < \frac{1}{n+1} \ln c \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} > \frac{1}{n+1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Which is true, since  $n+1>n\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n+1}<\frac{1}{n}$  for all natural numbers.

The sequence is bounded:

$$c^{1/n} < 1 \Rightarrow c < 1$$

Which is true since 0 < c < 1. Then, by monotone convergence theorem, our sequence converges. Let limit be L. But, the subsequence  $x_{2n} = c^{1/2n} = \sqrt{c^{1/n}}$  also converges to the same limit, which means

$$L = \sqrt{L} \Rightarrow L \in \{0, 1\}$$

L = 0 is impossible, since  $a^x = 0$  iff a = 0, but 0 < c. Then, L = 1.

6. \* Let  $(f_n)$  be the Fibonacci sequence, and let  $x_n := f_{n+1}/f_n$ . Given that  $\lim(x_n) = L$  exists, find L.

Proof.

$$x_n = f_{n+1}/f_n = (f_n + f_{n-1})/f_n = 1 + f_{n-1}/f_n \Rightarrow L = 1 + 1/L$$

Solving the quadratic equation, we have  $L = \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5})$ 

- 7. \* Let  $(x_n)$  be a bounded sequence and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $s_n := \sup\{x_k : k \geq n\}$  and  $S := \inf\{s_n\}$ . Show that there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  that converges to S.
- 8. \* Show that the sequence  $\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)$  is a Cauchy Sequence.

*Proof.* Choose  $M>2/\epsilon,$  then  $\forall \epsilon>0, m>n\geq M,$   $\frac{1}{m}<\frac{1}{n}\leq \frac{1}{M}<\epsilon/2,$  and,

$$\left|1+\frac{1}{n}-1-\frac{1}{m}\right|\leq \frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}<\epsilon/2+\epsilon/2=\epsilon$$

Which shows that our sequence is a cauchy sequence.

9. \* Show that if  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are cauchy sequences, then  $(x_n + y_n)$  is also a cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* By definition of cauchy sequence,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N} : |x_m - x_n| < \epsilon/2 \quad \forall m > n \ge N_1$$

$$\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N} : |y_m - y_n| < \epsilon/2 \quad \forall m > n \ge N_2$$

Choose  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ . Then for all  $m > n \ge N, \epsilon > 0$ ,

$$|(x_n + y_m) - (x_m + y_m)| \le |(x_n - x_m) + (y_n + y_m)| < |x_n - x_m| + |y_n - y_m| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$$