Object Oriented Programming – 2017/2018 – 2nd Semester Self-evaluation form

Group:	14	Oral dis	cussion date:		Penalization (day	s): <u>1</u>
Number:	77028	Name:	Tiago Santos		Expected mark:	15
Number:	81570	Name:	José Correia		Expected mark: _	
Number: _		-	D 1 C		Expected mark: _	
		_				
Number: _		Name: _			Expected mark: _	
General aspection do you close your app	ets: lassify the	UML too	ol used (identify it)?Mernal library, besides that	icrosoft Visio provided within JD	K?	Bad
How many page	ckages doe	es vour an	pplication have? 1	$\Box 2$	<u>x</u> ≥ 3:6	
			pplication have? 1		□ ≥ 3:	
•			urther developments? 🗵		☐ Partialy	
• 11			st one polymorphic invoca	tion?		
\square No \square Yes						
			operator is used in your a			_
In which wat	orserie ::	ed to para	e the input file? DOM			
willell AML p Have external	aiseris us libraries b	zu to parse een regui	red? \square No \square Yes (which	ones?): javav vml 1	parsers * org w3c do	 m * org vm
		_	□ No When parsing, i	-	_	No No
			, check visibilities that are			
X Public	•	X Privat			☐ Protected	
Concerning vi	sibility of	the metho	ods, check visibilities that	are used in the code	: :	
x Public		x Privat		•	Protected	
			es, check visibilities that a			kage
			static field? X Yes (how			
			y static method? \mathbf{x} Yes (hy user defined exceptions?			No
		Jilaili aliy	user defined exceptions:	A les (now many	:)	NU
Simulation pr	oblem:					
			: <u>PriorityQueue</u>		$_{ m n}$ java.util? \square $_{ m N}$	o X Yes
Is it ordered?			, with a: Comparable			
	-	ted as des	scribed in the project descri	•		
Death:	x Yes		☐ With faults		nplemented	
Reproduction: Move:	Y Yes		☐ With faults☐ With faults		nplemented nplemented	
	_	nented as	events? \square Yes \square No	All 20 at once in t		X No
Data structure					java.util? No	
Is it ordered?		-	, with a: Comparable	x Comparator	-	
Data structure			Matrix of node objects	1	java.util? 🖫 No	Yes
			scribed in the project desc		-	No
			n memory? $\boxed{\mathbf{x}}$ Yes $\boxed{\mathbf{No}}$,	•	•	☐ Other
			ve epidemics, is a random	•	•	□No
			emory? Yes No (w	<u> </u>		
is the best path	n always fo	ound whe	n you run the xml five pro	vided in the Project	webpage? Yes	□No

Global evaluation:							
What was the degree of participation of each element in the							
Num_77028 : 33 % Num_81570 : 33 % Num_8	<u>: 3</u>	3_% Num		_:%			
In the extent of your perception of the developed work, fill the	e following tab	oles:					
Project documentation			Ŋ	les No			
Is the project correctly documented through comments in the	e source code?		[2	X			
Was the javadoc tool used to build the documentation of the	developed pac	kages?					
Is it complete, with:							
- overview of packages?			2	X			
- summary of classes, interfaces and exceptions?				X			
- brief description of classes, interfaces and exceptions?			X				
- summary of fields, constructors and methods?							
- detail of fields, constructors and methods?			Σ	ζ			
Project compilation			•	Zoc. No.			
Project compilation				les No			
Does the project compile without errors?							
Does the project compile without warnings?	9		[3				
If the answer is no, are all these warnings unchecked warning	gs:						
Running		Yes	No W	Vith faults			
Is the jar file runnable from the shell?		X					
Does the project read correctly the parameters?		X					
Does the project run with the input given in the project webp	page?	X					
Does the project generate any supplementary information (st		tc)?	X				
				☐ Mac/O			
Development environment used? Linux Windows Unix							
Java version used:10							
Was the final program tested in the laboratory workstations?	x Yes		□No				
The following table is to be filled by the professor :							
Report	Yes/Good	No/Bad	Incomple	ete/Fair			
Cover identifies the course, authors and group number							
Goals of the work are very succinct but clearly stated	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Intelligibility of the document							
Structure of the document							
Clear/concise justification of main data structures used							
OO solution (extensibility, polymorphism, etc.)							
Critical evaluation of the application performance							
Description of functionalities beyond requested ones							
Conclusions							
,							