# SWEN90006: Assignment 1

Name: Yangzhe Xie Student number: 1029787

Email: yangzhe.xie@student.unimelb.edu.au

September 3, 2019

### 1 Task 1

### 1.1 Test template trees

Figure 1 - 4 shows the test template trees for the API addUser, loginUser, updateDetails, and retrieveDetails respectively.




Figure 1: Test template tree for addUser()




Figure 2: Test template tree for loginUser()




Figure 3: Test template tree for updateDetails()




Figure 4: Test template tree for retrieveDetails()

### 1.2 Do your set of equivalence classes cover the input space?

My set of equivalence classes cover the input space. The reasons are as follows:

- 1) All leaf nodes are divided strictly and carefully, so that they do not overlap with other leaf.
- 2) The collection of the set of each sibling node covers all the cases of their parent node.
- 3) If two variables are independent of each other, then the subtree of one variable can be added to a leaf node of the other variable. In this case, all the nodes add up to cover all situations.
- 4) As part of your input domain, the instance variables should also be considered. Note that all of these variables are collections, so according to guideline 4, we should follow the zero-one-many rule. But in this particular case, we just care about whether the collection contains some values. So I combined the two cases (number of elements equals 1 and greater than 1) into one (greater than 0), which does not affect the results of the tests.

### 2 Task 2: Test cases associated with equivalence classes

### 2.1 addUser

Table 1: Test cases for addUser associated with equivalence classes

ID	Test case	Expected output
EC1	$paraphrases = {}$ , $passbookUsername = {}$	WeakPassphraseException
	"abc", paraphrase = "1aA"	
EC2	$paraphrases = {}$ , $passbookUsername = {}$	WeakPassphraseException
	"abc", paraphrase = " $1234567$ A"	
EC3	$paraphrases = {}$ , $passbookUsername = {}$	WeakPassphraseException
	"abc", paraphrase = " $1234567a$ "	
EC4	$paraphrases = {}$ , $passbookUsername = {}$	-
	"abc", paraphrase = " $123456aA$ "	
EC5	$paraphrases = {}$ , $passbookUsername = {}$	WeakPassphraseException
	"abc", paraphrase = $"abcdABCD"$	
EC6	$paraphrases = {"abcd":"123456aA"}, pass-$	DuplicateUserException
	bookUsername = "abcd", paraphrase =	
	"123456aA"	
EC7	paraphrases = $\{\text{"abcd"}: \text{"123456aA"}\}$ , pass-	-
	bookUsername = "abc", paraphrase =	
	"123456aA"	

## 2.2 loginUser

Table 2: Test cases for login User associated with equivalence classes  $\,$ 

ID	Test case	Expected output
EC1	$paraphrases = {}$ , $sessionIDs = {}$ , $userIDs = {}$	NoSuchUserException
	$\{\}$ , passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase =	
	"123456aA"	
EC2	$paraphrases = {"abc": "123456aA"}, session-$	IncorrectPassphraseException
	$IDs = \{\}, userIDs = \{\} passbookUsername$	
	= "abc", paraphrase $=$ "123456aB"	
EC3	$paraphrases = {"abc": "123456aA"}, ses-$	-
	$sionIDs = {"def":123}, userIDs = {}$	
	passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase	
	= "123456aA"	
EC4	$paraphrases = {"abc": "123456aA"}, ses-$	AlreadyLoggedInException
	$sionIDs = {"abc":123}, userIDs = {}$	
	passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase =	
	"123456aA"	
EC5	paraphrases = ${\text{"abc":"123456aA"}}$ , session-	NoSuchUserException
	$IDs = \{\}, userIDs = \{\} passbookUsername$	
	= "abcd", paraphrase $=$ "123456aA"	
EC6	paraphrases = ${\text{"abc":"123456aA"}}$ , session-	-
	$IDs = {}$ , $userIDs = {}$ passbook $Username$	
	= "abc", paraphrase $=$ "123456aA"	

## 2.3 updateDetails

Table 3: Test cases for update UserDetails associated with equivalence classes  $\,$ 

ID Test case	Expected output
--------------	-----------------

EC1	$userIDs = {}$ , $sessionID = 123$ , $url =$	InvalidSessionIDException
	"http://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	
	Password = "456"	
EC2	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 456, url = $	InvalidSessionIDException
	"http://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	
	Password = "456"	
EC3	$userIDs = {123:"abc"}, sessionID = 123, url =$	-
	"http://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	
	Password = "456"	
EC4	$userIDs = {123:"abc"}, sessionID = 123, url =$	-
	"https://test.com", urlUsername = null, url-	
	Password = null	
EC5	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url = 123$	-
	"https://test.com", urlUsername = null, url-	
	Password = "123"	
EC6	$userIDs = {123:"abc"}, sessionID = 123, url =$	-
	"https://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	
	Password = null	
EC7	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url = 123$	-
	"https://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	
	Password = "123"	
EC8	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url$	MalformedURLException
	= "ftp://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	
	Password = "123"	

## 2.4 retrieveDetails

Table 4: Test cases for retrieve Details associated with equivalence classes  $\,$ 

ID	Test case	Expected output
EC1	$userIDs = \{\}, sessionID = 123,$	InvalidSessionIDException
	url = "http://test.com", details =	
	{"abc":{"http://test.com":{"aaa":"bbb"}}}	
EC2	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID =$	InvalidSessionIDException
	456, url = "http://test.com", details =	
EC3	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID =$	-
	123, url = "http://test.com", details =	
EC4	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url$	NoSuchURLException
	$= "https://test.com", details = {"abc":{}}$	
EC5	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID =$	-
	123, url = "https://test.com", details =	
	{"abc":{"https://test.com":{"aaa":"bbb"}}}	
EC6	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID =$	NoSuchURLException
	123, url = "http://test.com", details =	
EC7	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID =$	MalformedURLException
	123, url = "ftp://test.com", details =	

# 3 Task 3 Boundary-value analysis

## 3.1 addUser

Table 5: Test cases for add User associated with boundary-value analysis  $\,$ 

Test	EC	Test case	Boundary	
ID				
1	EC1	"abc", paraphrase = "12345aA"	off point for paraphrase.length() < 8 and on point for paraphrases.size() == 0	
2	EC2	"abc", paraphrase = "123456`A"	off point for $S[i]$ not in 'a' - 'z', for every $0 \le i \le length[paraphrase]-1$	
3		paraphrases = {}, passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase = "123456{A"	off point for $S[i]$ not in 'a' - 'z', for every $0 \le i \le length[paraphrase]-1$	
4		paraphrases = {}, passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase = "123456@a"	off point for S[i] not in 'A' - 'Z', for every 0 <= i <= length[paraphrase]-1	
5		paraphrases = {}, passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase = "123456[a"	off point for S[i] not in 'A' - 'Z', for every 0 <= i <= length[paraphrase]-1	
6		paraphrases = {}, passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase = "234567nA"	on point for $S[i]$ in 'A' - 'Z', for some $0 \le i \le length[paraphrase]-1$	
7		paraphrases = {}, passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase = "234567nZ"	on point for $S[i]$ in 'A' - 'Z', for some $0 \le i \le length[paraphrase]-1$	
8	EC4	paraphrases = {}, passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase = "234567Na"	on point for S[i] in 'a' - 'z', for some 0 <= i <= length[paraphrase]-1	
9	EC4	paraphrases = {}, passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase = "234567Nz"	on point for S[i] in 'a' - 'z', for some 0 <= i <= length[paraphrase]-1	
10	EC4	"abc", paraphrase = "abcdABC0"	on point for S[i] in '0' - '9', for some 0 <= i <= length[paraphrase]-1	
11		paraphrases = {}, passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase = "abcdABC9"	on point for S[i] in '0' - '9', for some 0 <= i <= length[paraphrase]-1	
12	EC5	paraphrases = {}, passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase = "abcdABC/"	off point for S[i] not in '0' - '9', for every 0 <= i <= length[paraphrase]-1	
13	EC5	"abc", paraphrase = "abcdABC:"	off point for S[i] not in '0' - '9', for every 0 <= i <= length[paraphrase]-1	
14	EC6	paraphrases = ${\text{"abcd":"123456aA"}}$ , passbookUsername = "abcd", paraphrase = "123456aA"	on point for passbookUsername in passphrases.keys() and off point for paraphrases.size() $> 0$	

15	EC7	$paraphrases = {"abcd":"123456aA"}, pass-$	on point for passbookUsername
		bookUsername = "abc", paraphrase =	not in passphrases.keys()
		"123456aA"	. ,

## 3.2 loginUser

Table 6: Test cases for login User associated with boundary-value analysis  $\,$ 

Test	EC	Test case	Boundary
ID			
1	EC1	$paraphrases = \{\}, sessionIDs = \{\}, userIDs = \}$	on point for paraphrases.size()
		$\{\}$ , passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase =	== 0
		"123456aA"	
2	EC2	paraphrases = ${\text{"abc":"123456aA"}}$ , session-	on point for passphrases.get
		$IDs = \{\}, userIDs = \{\} passbookUsername$	(passbookUsername) not equals
		= "abc", paraphrase $=$ "123456aB"	passphrase and on point for ses-
			sionIDs.size() == 0
3	EC3	1 1	on point for passbookUsername
		$sionIDs = {"def":123}, userIDs = {}$	not in sessionIDs.keys() and off
		passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase	point for sessionIDs.size() $> 0$
		= "123456aA"	
4	EC4	1 1	on point for passbookUsername
		$sionIDs = {"abc":123}, userIDs = {}$	in sessionIDs.keys()
		passbookUsername = "abc", paraphrase =	
		"123456aA"	
5	EC5	1 1	on point for passbookUsername
		$IDs = \{\}, userIDs = \{\} passbookUsername$	not in passphrases.keys() and off
		= "abcd", paraphrase = "123456aA"	point for paraphrases.size() $> 0$
6	EC6		on point for passphrases.get
		$IDs = \{\}, userIDs = \{\} passbookUsername$	(passbookUsername) equals
		= "abc", paraphrase $=$ "123456aA"	passphrase

## 3.3 updateDetails

Table 7: Test cases for update UserDetails associated with boundary-value analysis  $\,$ 

Test	EC	Test case	Boundary	
ID				
1	EC1	$userIDs = \{\}, sessionID = 123, url =$	on point for userIDs.size() $== 0$	
		"http://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	, i	
		Password = "456"		
2	EC2	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 456, url = $	on point for sessionID not in	
		"http://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	userIDs.keys() and off point for	
		Password = "456"	userIDs.size() > 0	
3	EC3	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url = 123 $	on point for url.getProtocol()	
		"http://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	equals "http" and on point for	
		Password = "456"	sessionID in userIDs.keys()	

4	EC4	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url = 123 $	on point for urlUsername ==	
		"https://test.com", urlUsername = null, url-	null and urlPassword == null	
		Password = null	and url.getProtocol() equals	
			"https"	
5	EC5	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url = 123$	on point for urlUsername ==	
		"https://test.com", urlUsername = null, url-	null and urlPassword!= null	
		Password = "456"		
6	EC6	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url = 123$	on point for urlUsername!= null	
		"https://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	and $urlPassword == null$	
		Password = null		
7	EC7	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url = 123 $	on point for urlUsername!= null	
		"https://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	and urlPassword!= null	
		Password = "456"		
8	EC8	$userIDs = \{123: "abc"\}, sessionID = 123, url$	on point for url.getProtocol()	
		= "ftp://test.com", urlUsername = "123", url-	equals other	
		Password = "456"		

## 3.4 retrieveDetails

Table 8: Test cases for retrieve Details associated with boundary-value analysis  $\,$ 

Test	EC	Test case	Boundary	
ID	_			
1	EC1	userIDs = $\{\}$ , sessionID = $123$ ,	on point for userIDs.size() $== 0$	
		url = "http://test.com", details =		
		$ \{"abc": \{"http://test.com": \{"aaa":"bbb"\}\} \} $		
2	EC2	,	off point for userIDs.size() $> 0$	
		456, url = "http://test.com", details =	and on point for sessionID not in	
		{"abc":{"http://test.com":{"aaa":"bbb"}}}	userIDs.keys()	
3	EC3	,	on point for url.getProtocol()	
		123, $url = \frac{\text{http://test.com}}{\text{details}} =$	equals "http" and sessionID in	
			userIDs.keys() and on point for	
			sessionID in userIDs.keys()	
4	EC4	,	on point for de-	
		$= "https://test.com", details = {"abc":{}}$	tails.get(passbookUsername)	
			.size() == 0  and	
	T.O.F	(100    1    1)	url.getProtocol() equals "https"	
5	EC5		on point for url in de-	
		123, url = "https://test.com", details =	tails.get(passbookUsername).keys	
			and off point for de-	
			tails.get(passbookUsername).size()	
C	EGG	ID- (199.  -1  )' ID	> 0	
6	EC6	,	on point for url not in de-	
		123, url = "http://test.com", details =	tails.get(passbookUsername).keys	
	DO5	{"abc":{"http://java.com":{"aaa":"bbb"}}}	: 4 C 1 4D 4 1/)	
7	EC7	userIDs = $\{123: \text{"abc"}\}, \text{ sessionID} = $	on point for url.getProtocol()	
		123, url = "ftp://test.com", details =	equals other and on point for ses-	
		$\label{localization} \begin{center} $\{$"abc":{"http://test.com":{"aaa":"bbb"}}\}$ \end{center}$	sionID in userIDs.keys()	

## ${\bf Task}~{\bf 5}~{\bf Multiple\text{-}conditions}~{\bf coverage}$

#### 4.1 $\operatorname{addUser}$

Table 9 shows all test objectives for the API addUser.

Table 9: All multiple-conditions for addUser

Test Objec-	Condition	Output(s)
tive ID		
1	passphrases.containsKey(passbookUsername)	true
2	passphrases.containsKey(passbookUsername)	false
3	passphrase.length() < MINIMUM_PASSPHRASE_LENGTH	true
4	passphrase.length() < MINIMUM_PASSPHRASE_LENGTH	false
5	i < passphrase.length()	true
6	i < passphrase.length()	false
7	$'a' \le passphrase.charAt(i) \&\& passphrase.charAt(i) \le 'z'$	false false
8	$'a' \le passphrase.charAt(i) \&\& passphrase.charAt(i) \le 'z'$	true false
9	$'a' \le passphrase.charAt(i) \&\& passphrase.charAt(i) \le 'z'$	false true
10	$'a' \le passphrase.charAt(i) \&\& passphrase.charAt(i) \le 'z'$	true true
11	$\label{eq:alpha} \begin{tabular}{ll} $'A' <= passphrase.charAt(i) & passphrase.charAt(i) <= 'Z' \\ \end{tabular}$	false false
12	$\label{eq:alpha} \begin{tabular}{ll} $'A'$ <= passphrase.charAt(i) && passphrase.charAt(i) <= 'Z' \\ \end{tabular}$	true false
13	$\label{eq:alpha} \begin{tabular}{ll} $'A' <= passphrase.charAt(i) & passphrase.charAt(i) <= 'Z' \\ \end{tabular}$	false true
14	$'A' \le passphrase.charAt(i) && passphrase.charAt(i) \le 'Z'$	true true
15	$0' \le passphrase.charAt(i) & passphrase.charAt(i) \le 9'$	false false
16	$0' \le passphrase.charAt(i) & passphrase.charAt(i) \le 9'$	true false
17	$0' \le passphrase.charAt(i) & passphrase.charAt(i) \le 9'$	false true
18	0' <= passphrase.charAt(i) && passphrase.charAt(i) <= 9'	true true
19	!containsLowerCase    !containsUpperCase    !containsNumber	false false false
20	!containsLowerCase    !containsUpperCase    !containsNumber	false false true
21	!containsLowerCase    !containsUpperCase    !containsNumber	false true false
22	!containsLowerCase    !containsUpperCase    !containsNumber	false true true
23	!containsLowerCase    !containsUpperCase    !containsNumber	true false false
24	!containsLowerCase    !containsUpperCase    !containsNumber	true false true
25	!containsLowerCase    !containsUpperCase    !containsNumber	true true false
26	!containsLowerCase    !containsUpperCase    !containsNumber	true true true

### 4.1.1 partitioning score for the API addUser

According to table 9 and table 10, there are 26 test objective in total, of which 20 are tested and 6 untested. So the partitioning score for the API add User of partitioning is:  $\frac{20}{26}\approx 76.9\%$ 

$$\frac{20}{26} \approx 76.9\%$$

Table 10: Multiple-conditions tested of partitioning

Test Case	CoverTest Objective ID
1	2 3
2	2 4 5 6 7 9 11 13 14 15 16 18 23
3	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 15 16 18 21
4	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19
5	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 14 15 16 20

6	1
7	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19

### 4.1.2 boundary score for the API addUser

According to table 9 and table 11, there are 26 test objective in total, of which 22 are tested and 4 untested. So the partitioning score for the API add User of boundary is:  $\frac{22}{26}\approx 84.6\%$ 

$$\frac{22}{26} \approx 84.6\%$$

Table 11: Multiple-conditions tested of boundary

Test Case	CoverTest Objective ID
1	2 3
2	2 4 5 6 7 9 11 13 14 15 16 18 23
3	2 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 13 14 15 16 18 23
4	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 15 16 18 21
5	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 15 16 18 21
6	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19
7	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19
8	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19
9	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19
10	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19
11	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19
12	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 14 15 16 20
13	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 14 15 16 17 20
14	1
15	2 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19

### 4.2 loginUser

Table 12 shows all test objectives for the API loginUser

Table 12: All multiple-conditions for loginUser

Test Objec-	Condition	Output(s)
tive ID		
1	!passphrases.containsKey(passbookUsername)	true
2	!passphrases.containsKey(passbookUsername)	false
3	sessionIDs.get(passbookUsername) != null	true
4	sessionIDs.get(passbookUsername) != null	false
5	!passphrases.get(passbookUsername).equals(passphrase)	true
6	!passphrases.get(passbookUsername).equals(passphrase)	false
7	userIDs.containsKey(sessionID)	true
8	userIDs.containsKey(sessionID)	false

### 4.2.1 partitioning score for the API loginUser

According to table 12 and table 13, there are 8 test objective in total, of which 7 are tested and 1 untested. So the partitioning score for the API loginUser of partitioning is:

$$\frac{7}{8} \approx 87.5\%$$

Table 13: Multiple-conditions tested of partitioning

Test Case	CoverTest Objective ID
1	1
2	2 4 5
3	2 4 6 8
4	2 3
5	1
6	2 4 6 8

### 4.2.2 boundary score for the API loginUser

According to table 12 and table 14, there are 8 test objective in total, of which 7 are tested and 1 untested. So the partitioning score for the API loginUser of boundary is:

$$\frac{7}{8} \approx 87.5\%$$

Table 14: Multiple-conditions tested of boundary

Test Case	CoverTest Objective ID
1	1
2	2 4 5
3	2 4 6 8
4	2 3
5	1
6	2 4 6 8

#### updateDetails 4.3

Table 15 shows all test objectives for the API updateDetails

Table 15: All multiple-conditions for updateDetails

Test Objec-	Condition	Output(s)
tive ID		
1	passbookUsername == null	true
2	passbookUsername == null	false
3	$! Arrays. as List (VALID\_URL\_PROTOCOLS). contains (url.getProtocol()) \\$	true
4	$! Arrays. as List (VALID\_URL\_PROTOCOLS). contains (url.getProtocol()) \\$	false
5	urlUsername == null    urlPassword == null	false false
6	urlUsername == null    urlPassword == null	false true
7	urlUsername == null    urlPassword == null	true false
8	urlUsername == null    urlPassword == null	true true

### 4.3.1 partitioning score for the API updateDetails

According to table 15 and table 16 there are 8 test objective in total, of which 8 are tested and 0untested. So the partitioning score for the API update Details of partitioning is:  $\frac{8}{8}\approx 100\%$ 

$$\frac{8}{8} \approx 100\%$$

Table 16: Multiple-conditions tested of partitioning

Test Case	CoverTest Objective ID
1	1
2	1
3	2 4 5
4	2 4 8
5	2 4 7
6	2 4 6
7	2 4 5
8	2 3

### 4.3.2 boundary score for the API updateDetails

According to table 15 and table 17 there are 8 test objective in total, of which 8 are tested and 0 untested. So the partitioning score for the API updateDetails of boundary is:

$$\frac{8}{8} \approx 100\%$$

Table 17: Multiple-conditions tested of boundary

Test Case	CoverTest Objective ID
1	1
2	1
3	2 4 5
4	2 4 8
5	2 4 7
6	2 4 6
7	2 4 5
8	2 3

### 4.4 retrieveDetails

Table 18 shows all test objectives for the API retrieveDetails

Table 18: All multiple-conditions for retrieveDetails

Test Objec-	Condition	Output(s)
tive ID		
1	passbookUsername == null	true
2	passbookUsername == null	false
3	$! Arrays. as List (VALID\_URL\_PROTOCOLS). contains (url.getProtocol()) \\$	true
4	$! Arrays. as List (VALID\_URL\_PROTOCOLS). contains (url.getProtocol()) \\$	false
5	pt == null	false
6	pt == null	true
7	pair == null	false
8	pair == null	true

### 4.4.1 partitioning score for the API retrieveDetails

According to table 18 and table 19, there are 8 test objective in total, of which 7 are tested and 1 untested. So the partitioning score for the API retrieve Details of partitioning is:  $\frac{7}{8}\approx 87.5\%$ 

$$\frac{7}{8} \approx 87.5\%$$

Table 19: Multiple-conditions tested of partitioning

Test Case	CoverTest Objective ID
1	1
2	1
3	2 4 5 7
4	2 4 5 8
5	2 4 5 7
6	2 4 5 8
7	2 3

### 4.4.2 boundary score for the API retrieveDetails

According to table 18 and table 20, there are 8 test objective in total, of which 7 are tested and 1 untested. So the partitioning score for the API retrieveDetails of boundary is:

$$\frac{7}{8}\approx 87.5\%$$

Table 20: Multiple-conditions tested of boundary

Test Case	CoverTest Objective ID
1	1
2	1
3	2 4 5 7
4	2 4 5 8
5	2 4 5 7
6	2 4 5 8
7	2 3

5 Question 7 Compare the two sets of test cases and their results.