# Homework 2. Naive parsing of context free grammars

# Theoretical background

A *derivation* is a rule list that describes how to derive a phrase from a nonterminal symbol. For example, suppose we have the following grammar with start symbol Expr:

 $Expr \rightarrow Term Binop Expr$ 

 $Expr \rightarrow Term$ 

Term → Num

Term → Lvalue

Term → Incrop Lvalue

Term → Lvalue Incrop

Term  $\rightarrow$  "(" Expr ")"

Lvalue  $\rightarrow$  \$ Expr

Incrop  $\rightarrow$  "++"

Incrop  $\rightarrow$  "--"

Binop  $\rightarrow$  "+"

Binop  $\rightarrow$  "-"

Num  $\rightarrow$  "0"

Num  $\rightarrow$  "1"

Num  $\rightarrow$  "2"

Num → "3"

Num  $\rightarrow$  "4"

Num → "5"

Num  $\rightarrow$  "6"

Num  $\rightarrow$  "7"

Num → "8"

Num → "9"

Then here is a derivation for the phrase "3" "+" "4" from the nonterminal Expr. After each rule is applied, the resulting list of terminals and nonterminals is given.

rule	after rule is applied
(at start)	Expr
Expr → Term Binop Expr	Term Binop Expr
$Term \rightarrow Num$	Num Binop Expr
$Num \rightarrow "3"$	"3" Binop Expr
Binop $\rightarrow$ "+"	"3" "+" Expr
$Expr \rightarrow Term$	"3" "+" Term
Term → Num	"3" "+" Num
Num → "4"	"3" "+" "4"

In a *leftmost derivation*, the leftmost nonterminal is always the one that is expanded next. The above example is a leftmost derivation.

### **Motivation**

You'd like to test grammars that are being proposed as test cases for CS 132 projects. One way is to test it on actual CS 132 projects, but those projects aren't done yet and anyway you'd like a second opinion in case the student projects are incorrect. So you decide to write a simple parser generator. Given a grammar in the style of Homework 1, your program will generate a function that is a parser. When this parser is given a program to parse, it produces a derivation for that program, or an error indication if the program contains a syntax error and cannot be parsed.

The key notion of this assignment is that of a matcher. A *matcher* is a function that inspects a given string of terminals to find a match for a prefix that corresponds to a nonterminal symbol of a grammar, and then checks whether the match is acceptable by testing whether a given acceptor succeeds on the corresponding derivation and suffix. For example, a matcher for awkish\_grammar below might inspect the string ["3";"+";"4";"-"] and find two possible prefixes that match, namely ["3";"+";"4"] and ["3"]. The matcher will first apply the acceptor to a derivation for the first prefix ["3";"+";"4"], along with the corresponding suffix ["-"]. If this is accepted, the matcher will return whatever the acceptor returns. Otherwise, the matcher will apply the acceptor to a derivation for the second prefix ["3"], along with the corresponding suffix ["+";"4";"-"], and will return whatever the acceptor returns. If a matcher finds no matching prefixes, it returns the special value None.

As you can see by mentally executing the example, matchers sometimes need to try multiple alternatives and to backtrack to a later alternative if an earlier one is a blind alley.

An acceptor is a function that accepts a rule list and a suffix by returning some value wrapped inside the <u>Some constructor</u>. The acceptor rejects the rule list and suffix by returning None. For example, the acceptor (fun d -> function | "+"::t -> Some (d,"+"::t) | \_ -> None) accepts any rule list but accepts only suffixes beginning with "+". Such an acceptor would cause the example matcher to fail on the prefix ["3";"+";"4"] (since the corresponding suffix begins with "-", not "+") but it would succeed on the prefix ["3"].

By convention, an acceptor that is successful returns some (d,s), where d is a rule list that typically contains the acceptor's input rule list as a sublist (because the acceptor may do further parsing, and therefore has applied more rules than before), and s is a tail of the input suffix (again, because the acceptor may have parsed more of the input, and has therefore consumed some of the suffix). This allows the matcher's caller to retrieve the derivation for the matched prefix, along with an indication where the matched prefix ends (since it ends just before the suffix starts). Although this behavior is crucial for the internal acceptors used by your code, it is not required for top-level acceptors supplied by test programs: a top-level acceptor needs only to return a some x value to succeed.

Whenever there are several rules to try for a nonterminal, you should always try them left-to-right. For example, awkish\_grammar below contains this:

```
| Expr ->
   [[N Term; N Binop; N Expr];
   [N Term]]
```

and therefore, your matcher should attempt to use the rule "Expr  $\rightarrow$  Term Binop Expr" before attempting to use the simpler rule "Expr  $\rightarrow$  Term".

# **Definitions**

symbol, right hand side, rule

same as in Homework 1.

#### alternative list

A list of right hand sides. It corresponds to all of a grammar's rules for a given nonterminal symbol. By convention, an empty alternative list [] is treated as if it were a singleton list [[]] containing the empty symbol string.

#### production function

A function whose argument is a nonterminal value. It returns a grammar's alternative list for that nonterminal.

#### grammar

A pair, consisting of a start symbol and a production function. The start symbol is a nonterminal value.

#### derivation

a list of rules used to derive a phrase from a nonterminal. For example, the OCaml representation of the example derivation shown above is as follows:

```
[Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr];
Term, [N Num];
Num, [T "3"];
Binop, [T "+"];
Expr, [N Term];
Term, [N Num];
Num, [T "4"]]
```

#### fragment

a list of terminal symbols, e.g., ["3"; "+"; "4"; "xyzzy"].

#### acceptor

a curried function with two arguments: a derivation d and a fragment frag. If the fragment is not acceptable, it returns None; otherwise it returns Some x for some value x.

#### matcher

a curried function with two arguments: an acceptor *accept* and a fragment *frag*. A matcher matches a prefix *p* of *frag* such that *accept* (when passed a derivation and the corresponding suffix) accepts the corresponding suffix (i.e., the suffix of *frag* that remains after *p* is removed). If there is such a match, the matcher returns whatever *accept* returns; otherwise it returns None.

# Assignment

- 1. To warm up, notice that the format of grammars is different in this assignment, versus Homework 1. Write a function convert\_grammar gram1 that returns a Homework 2-style grammar, which is converted from the Homework 1-style grammar gram1. Test your implementation of convert\_grammar on the test grammars given in Homework 1. For example, the top-level definition let awksub\_grammar\_2 = convert\_grammar awksub\_grammar should bind awksub\_grammar\_2 to a Homework 2-style grammar that is equivalent to the Homework 1-style grammar awksub grammar.
- 2. Write a function parse\_prefix gram that returns a matcher for the grammar gram. When applied to an acceptor accept and a fragment frag, the matcher must return the first acceptable match of a prefix of frag, by trying the grammar rules in order; this is not necessarily the shortest nor the longest acceptable match. A match is considered to be acceptable if accept succeeds when given a derivation and the suffix fragment that immediately follows the matching prefix. When this happens, the matcher returns whatever the acceptor returned. If no acceptable match is found, the matcher returns None.
- 3. Write two good test cases for your parse\_prefix function. These test cases should all be in the style of the test cases given below, but should cover different problem areas. Your test cases should be named test\_1 and test\_2 (note the underscores; this distinguishes your test cases from the standard ones given below). Your test cases should test at least one grammar of your own. You may reuse your test cases for Homework 1 as part of test\_1, but test\_2 should be new.
- 4. Assess your work by writing an after-action report that summarizes why you solved the problem the way you did, other approaches that you considered and rejected (and why you rejected them), and any weaknesses in your solution in the context of its intended application. This report should

be a simple <u>ASCII plain text</u> file that consumes a page or so (at most 100 lines and 80 columns per line, please). See <u>Resources for oral presentations and written reports</u> for advice on how to write assessments; admittedly much of the advice there is overkill for the simple kind of report we're looking for here.

Unlike Homework 1, we are expecting some weaknesses here, so your assessment should talk about them. For example, we don't expect that your implementation will work with all possible grammars, but we would like to know which sort of grammars it will have trouble with.

As with Homework 1, your code may use the <u>Pervasives</u> and <u>List</u> modules, but it should use no other modules. Your code should be free of <u>side effects</u>. Simplicity is more important than efficiency, but your code should avoid using unnecessary time and space when it is easy to do so.

## **Submit**

We will test your program on the SEASnet Linux servers as before, so make sure that /usr/local/cs/bin is at the start of your path, using the same technique as in Homework 1.

Submit three files. The file hw2.ml should define parse\_prefix along with any auxiliary types and functions needed to define parse\_prefix. The file hw2test.ml should contain your test cases. The file hw2.txt should hold your assessment. Please do not put your name, student ID, or other personally identifying information in your files.

## Sample test cases

```
let accept all derivation string = Some (derivation, string)
let accept_empty_suffix derivation = function
     [] -> Some (derivation, [])
     _ -> None
  An example grammar for a small subset of Awk, derived from but not
   identical to the grammar in
   <a href="http://www.cs.ucla.edu/classes/winter06/cs132/hw/hw1.html">http://www.cs.ucla.edu/classes/winter06/cs132/hw/hw1.html</a>>.
   Note that this grammar is not the same as Homework 1; it is
   instead the same as the grammar under "Theoretical background"
   above.
type awksub_nonterminals =
   Expr | Term | Lvalue | Incrop | Binop | Num
let awkish grammar =
  (Expr,
   function
     Expr ->
          [[N Term; N Binop; N Expr];
           [N Term]]
     | Term ->
          [[N Num];
           [N Lvalue];
           [N Incrop; N Lvalue];
           [N Lvalue; N Incrop];
           [T"("; N Expr; T")"]]
      Lvalue ->
          [[T"$"; N Expr]]
      | Incrop ->
          [[T"++"];
           [T"--"]]
      | Binop ->
          ן ("ד"+" ן;
           [T"-"]
     | Num ->
          [[T"0"]; [T"1"]; [T"2"]; [T"3"]; [T"4"];
```

```
[T"5"]; [T"6"]; [T"7"]; [T"8"]; [T"9"]])
let test0 =
  ((parse_prefix awkish_grammar accept_all ["ouch"]) = None)
let test1 =
  ((parse_prefix awkish_grammar accept_all ["9"])
    = Some ([(Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "9"])], []))
let test2 =
  ((parse_prefix awkish_grammar accept_all ["9"; "+"; "$"; "1"; "+"])
    = Some
          ([(Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr]); (Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "9"]);
            (Binop, [T "+"]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Lvalue]);
            (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Num]);
            (Num, [T "1"])],
           ["+"]))
let test3 =
  ((parse prefix awkish grammar accept empty suffix ["9"; "+"; "$"; "1"; "+"])
    = None)
(* This one might take a bit longer.... *)
let test4 =
 ((parse prefix awkish grammar accept all
      0
  = Some
       ([(Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr]); (Term, [T "("; N Expr; T
                                                                                             , ]);
          (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Lvalue]); (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]);
         (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "8"]); (Binop, [T "-"]); (Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr]); (Term, [N Lvalue]);
          (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr]);
          (Term, [N Incrop; N Lvalue]); (Incrop, [T "++"]);
         (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr]); (Term, [N Incrop; N Lvalue]); (Incrop, [T "--"]);
         (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr]); (Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "9"]); (Binop, [T "+"]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [T "("; N Expr; T ")"]); (Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr]); (Term, [N Lvalue]); (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]);
         (Term, [N Lvalue]); (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]);
(Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr]); (Term, [N Incrop; N Lvalue]);
(Incrop, [T "++"]); (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]);
(Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "2"]); (Binop, [T "+"]); (Expr, [N Term]);
(Term, [T "("; N Expr; T ")"]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Num]);
(Num, [T "8"]); (Binop, [T "-"]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Num]);
(Num, [T "9"]); (Binop, [T "-"]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Lvalue]);
(Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Lvalue]);
          (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Lvalue]);
          (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Lvalue; N Incrop]);
          (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Lvalue; N Incrop]);
          (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Incrop; N Lvalue]);
         (Incrop, [T "++"]); (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]);
         (Term, [N Lvalue; N Incrop]); (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "5"]); (Incrop, [T "++"]); (Incrop, [T "--"]); (Binop, [T "-"]); (Expr, [N Term]);
          (Term, [N Incrop; N Lvalue]); (Incrop, [T "++"]);
          (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Lvalue; N Incrop]);
         (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]);
         (Term, [T "("; N Expr; T ")"]); (Expr, [N Term]);
         (Term, [N Lvalue; N Incrop]); (Lvalue, [T "$"; N Expr]); (Expr, [N Term]);
         (Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "8"]); (Incrop, [T "++"]); (Incrop, [T "++"]);
         (Binop, [T "+"]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "0"])],
        []))
let rec contains lvalue = function
   | [] -> false
```

```
| (Lvalue,_)::_ -> true
| _::rules -> contains_lvalue rules

let accept_only_non_lvalues rules frag =
    if contains_lvalue rules
    then None
    else Some (rules, frag)

let test5 =
    ((parse_prefix awkish_grammar accept_only_non_lvalues
        ["3"; "-"; "4"; "+"; "$"; "5"; "-"; "6"])
    = Some
    ([(Expr, [N Term; N Binop; N Expr]); (Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "3"]);
        (Binop, [T "-"]); (Expr, [N Term]); (Term, [N Num]); (Num, [T "4"])],
        ["+"; "$"; "5"; "-"; "6"]))
```

## Sample use of test cases

If you put the sample test cases into a file hw2sample.ml, you should be able to use it as follows to test your hw2.ml solution on the SEASnet implementation of OCaml. Similarly, the command #use "hw2test.ml";; should run your own test cases on your solution.

```
$ ocaml
        OCaml version 4.02.1
# #use "hw2.ml";;
val parse prefix:
  'a * ('a -> ('a, 'b) symbol list list) -> (('a * ('a, 'b) symbol list) list -> 'b list -> ('c list * 'd) option) ->
   b list -> ('c list * 'd) option = <fun>
# #use "hw2sample.ml";;
val accept_all : 'a -> 'b -> ('a * 'b) option = <fun>
val accept empty suffix : 'a -> 'b list -> ('a * 'c list) option = <fun>
type awksub nonterminals = ...
val awkish grammar:
  awksub nonterminals *
  (awksub nonterminals -> (awksub nonterminals, string) symbol list list) =
  (Expr, <fun>)
val test0 : bool = true
val test1 : bool = true
val test2 : bool = true
val test3 : bool = true
val test4 : bool = true
val contains lvalue: (awksub nonterminals * 'a) list -> bool = <fun>
val accept only non lvalues :
  (awksub nonterminals * 'a) list ->
  'b -> ((awksub nonterminals * 'a) list * 'b) option = <fun>
val test5 : bool = true
```

## Hint

You can use <u>a previous Homework 2</u> as a hint. It is a tough homework and is not the same problem but there are some common ideas. Look for the sample solution at the end.

```
© 2003, 2004, 2006–2012, 2014 <u>Paul Eggert</u>. See <u>copying rules</u>. $Id: hw2.html,v 1.59 2015/01/13 20:54:20 eggert Exp eggert $
```