
Table of Contents

- 0. How To Use This Book 1
- 1. Async/Await: The Good Parts 4
- 1. Return Values 6
- 2. Error Handling 9
- 3. Retrying Failed Requests 12
- 4. Exercises 14
- 2. Promises From The Ground Up 14
- 3. Async/Await Internals
- 4. Async/Await in the Wild

How To Use This Book

Async/await is the single most valuable feature to land in the JavaScript language spec in the last 15 years. The event loop and asynchronous programming in general are exceptional for building GUIs and servers, but callbacks make error handling tedious and code hard to read. For example, when RisingStack asked Node.js developers what they struggled with in 2017, asynchronous programming topped the list.

What's hardest to get right with Node.js at the moment?



Async/await promises to make asynchronous code as clean and easy to read as synchronous code in most use cases. Tangled promise chains and complex user-land libraries like async can be replaced with for loops, if statements, and try/catch blocks that even the most junior of engineers can make sense of.

The following JavaScript from a 2012 blog post is a typical example of where code goes wrong with callbacks. This code works, but it has a lot of error handling boilerplate and deeply nested if statements that obfuscate the actual logic. Wrapping your mind around it takes a while, and proper error handling means copy/pasting if (err != null) into every callback.

```
function getWikipediaHeaders() {
  // i. check if headers.txt exists
  fs.stat('./headers.txt', function(err, stats) {
    if (err != null) { throw err; }
    if (stats == undefined) {
      // ii. fetch the HTTP headers
      var options = { host: 'www.wikipedia.org', port: 80 };
      http.get(options, function(err, res) {
        if (err != null) { throw err; }
        var headers = JSON.stringify(res.headers);
        // iii. write the headers to headers.txt
        fs.writeFile('./headers.txt', headers, function(err) {
          if (err != null) { throw err; }
          console.log('Great Success!');
        });
      });
    } else { console.log('headers already collected'); }
 });
}
```

Below is the same code using async/await, assuming that stat(), get(), and writeFile() are properly promisified.

```
async function getWikipediaHeaders() {
  if (await stat('./headers.txt') != null) {
    console.log('headers already collected');
  }
  const res = await get({ host: 'www.wikipedia.org', port: 80 });
  await writeFile('./headers.txt', JSON.stringify(res.headers));
  console.log('Great success!');
}
```

You might not think async/await is a big deal. You might even think async/await is a bad idea. I've been in your shoes: when I first learned about async/await in 2013, I thought it was unnecessary at best. But when I started working with generator-based coroutines (the 2015 predecessor to async/await), I was shocked at how quickly server crashes due to TypeError: Cannot read property 'x' of undefined vanished. By the time async/await became part of the JavaScript language spec in 2017, async/await was an indispensable part of my dev practice.

Just because async/await is now officially part of JavaScript doesn't mean the world is all sunshine and rainbows. Async/await is a new pattern that promises to make day-to-day development work easier, but, like any pattern, you need to understand it or you'll do more harm

than good. If your async/await code is a patchwork of copy/pasted StackOverflow answers, you're just trading callback hell for the newly minted async/await hell.

The purpose of this book is to take you from someone who is casually acquainted with promises and async/await to someone who is comfortable building and debugging a complex app whose core logic is built on async/await. This book is only 50 pages and is meant to be read in about 2 hours total. You may read it all in one sitting, but you would be better served reading one chapter at a time, studying the exercises at the end, and getting a good night's sleep to really internalize the information.

This book is broken up into 4 chapters. Each chapter is 12 pages, including exercises at the end of each chapter that highlight key lessons from the chapter. The exercises require more thought than code and should be easy to answer within a few minutes.

The first 3 chapters are focused on promise and async/await fundamentals, and strive to avoid frameworks and outside dependencies. In particular, the first 3 chapters' code samples and exercises are meant to run in Node.js 8.x and the first 3 chapters will **not** cover transpilers. In the interest of providing realistic examples, the code samples will use the **superagent** module for making HTTP requests. The 4th chapter will discuss transpilers and integrating async/await with some common npm modules.

If you find any issues with the code samples or exercises, please report them at github.com/vkarpov15/mastering-async-await-issues.

Are you ready to master async/await? Let's get started!

Async/Await: The Good Parts

The async and await keywords are new additions to JavaScript as part of the 2017 edition of the language specification. The async keyword modifies a function, either a normal function() {} or an arrow function () => {}, to mark it as an async function. In an async function, you can use the await keyword to pause the function's execution until a promise settles. In the below function, the await keyword pauses the function's execution for approximately 1 second.

```
async function test() {
    // This function will print "Hello, World!" after 1 second.
    await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));
    console.log('Hello, World!');
}
test();
```

You can use the await keyword anywhere in the body of an async function. This means you can use await in if statements, for loops, and try/catch blocks. Below is another way to pause an async function's execution for about 1 second.

```
async function test() {
    // Wait for 100ms 10 times. This function also prints after 1 second.
    for (let i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
        await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 100));
    }
    console.log('Hello, World!');
}
test();
```

There is one major restriction for using await: you can only use await within the body of a function that's marked async. The following code throws a SyntaxError.

```
function test() {
  const p = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));
  // SyntaxError: Unexpected identifier
  await p;
}
test();
```

In particular, you can't use await in a closure embedded in an async function, unless the closure is also an async function. The below code also throws a SyntaxError.

```
const assert = require('assert');

async function test() {
  const p = Promise.resolve('test');
  assert.doesNotThrow(function() {
    // "SyntaxError: Unexpected identifier" because the above function
    // is **not** marked async. "Closure" = function inside a function
    await p;
  });
}
```

As long as you don't create a new function, you can use await underneath any number of for loops and if statements.

```
async function test() {
  while (true) {
    // Convoluted way to print out "Hello, World!" once per second by
    // pausing execution for 200ms 5 times
  for (let i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
    if (i % 2 === 0) {
      await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 200));
    }
  }
  console.log('Hello, World!');
}
```

Return Values

You can use async/await for more than just pausing execution. The return value of await is the value the promise is fulfilled with. This means you can assign a variable to an asynchronously-computed value in code that looks synchronous.

```
Example 1.6
async function test() {
  // You can `await` on a non-promise without getting an error.
  let res = await 'Hello World!';
  console.log(res); // "Hello, World!"
  const promise = new Promise(resolve => {
    // This promise resolves to "Hello, World!" after 1s
    setTimeout(() => resolve('Hello, World!'), 1000);
  });
  res = await promise;
  // Prints "Hello, World!". `res` is equal to the value the
  // promise resolved to.
  console.log(res);
  // Prints "Hello, World!". You can use `await` in function params!
  console.log(await promise);
}
```

An async function **always** returns a promise. When you return from an async function, JavaScript resolves the promise to the value you returned. This means calling async functions from other async functions is very natural. You can await on the async function call and get the async function's "return value".

```
async function computeValue() {
  await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));
  // "Hello, World" is the _resolved value_ for this function call
  return 'Hello, World!';
}

async function test() {
  // Prints "Hello, World!" after 1s. `computeValue` returns a promise!
  console.log(await computeValue());
}
```

This book will refer to the value you return from an async function as the resolved value. In computeValue above, "Hello, World!" is the resolved value, computeValue() still returns a

promise. This distinction is subtle but important: the value you return from an async function body is **not** the value that an async function call like computeValue() without await returns.

You can also return a promise from an async function. In that case, the promise the async function returns will be fulfilled or rejected whenever the resolved value promise is fulfilled or rejected. Below is another async function that fulfills to 'Hello, World!' after 1 second:

```
async function computeValue() {
    // The resolved value is a promise. The promise returned from
    // `computeValue()` will be fulfilled with 'Hello, World!'
    return new Promise(resolve => {
        setTimeout(() => resolve('Hello, World!'));
    }, 1000);
}
```

If you return a promise from an async function, the resolved value will still not equal the return value. The below example demonstrates that the resolvedValue promise that the function body returns is not the same as the return value from computeValue().

```
let resolvedValue = Promise.resolve('Hello, World!');
const computeValue = async () => resolvedValue;

async function test() {
    // No `await` below, so `returnValue` will be a promise
    const returnValue = computeValue();
    // `false`. The return value and resolved value are always different
    console.log(returnValue === resolvedValue);
}
```

Async/await beginners often mistakenly think they need to return a promise from an async function. They likely read that an async function always returns a promise and think they're responsible for returning a promise. An async function always returns a promise, but, like in example 1.9, JavaScript creates the returned promise for you.

```
async function computeValue() {
    // Adding `Promise.resolve()` below is unnecessary. It adds
    // perf overhead because you're creating an unnecessary promise.
    // "Unnecessary code is not as harmless as I used to think. It
    // sends the misleading signal that it's necessary." - Paul Graham
    return Promise.resolve('Hello, World!');
}
```

Error Handling

One of the most important properties of async/await is that you can use try/catch to handle asynchronous errors. Remember that a promise may be either fulfilled or rejected. When a promise p is fulfilled, JavaScript evaluates await p to the promise's value. What about if p is rejected?

```
async function test() {
  try {
    const p = Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
    // The below `await` throws
    await p;
  } catch (error) {
    console.log(err.message); // "Oops!"
  }
}
```

If p is rejected, await p throws an error that you can catch with a normal JavaScript try/catch. Note that the await statement is what throws an error, **not** the promise instantiation.

This try/catch behavior is a powerful tool for consolidating error handling. The try/catch block above can catch synchronous errors as well as asynchronous ones. Suppose you have code that throws a TypeError: cannot read property 'x' of undefined error:

```
async function test() {
  try {
    const bad = undefined;
    bad.x;
    const p = Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
    await p;
} catch (error) {
    // "cannot read property 'x' of undefined"
    console.log(err.message);
}
}
```

In callback-based code, you had to watch out for synchronous errors like TypeError separately from asynchronous errors. This lead to a lot of server crashes and red text in Chrome consoles, because discipline doesn't scale.

Consider using a callback-based approach instead of async/await. Suppose you have a black-box function test() that takes a single parameter, a callback. If you want to ensure you catch every

possible error, you need 2 try/catch calls: one around test() and one around callback(). You also need to check whether test() called your callback with an error. In other words, every single async operation needs 3 distinct error handling patterns!

```
Example 1.13
function testWrapper(callback) {
  try {
    // There might be a sync error in `test()`
    test(function(error, res) {
       // `test()` might also call the callback with an error
       if (error) {
         return callback(error);
       }
       // And you also need to be careful that accessing `res.x` doesn't
       // throw **and** calling `callback()` doesn't throw.
       try {
         return callback(null, res.x);
       } catch (error) {
         return callback(error);
       }
    });
  }
}
```

When there's this much boilerplate for error handling, even the most rigorous and disciplined developers end up missing a spot. The result is uncaught errors, server downtime, and buggy user interfaces. Below is an equivalent example with async/await. You can handle the 3 distinct error cases from example 1.12 with a single pattern.

```
async function testWrapper() {
  try {
    // `try/catch` will catch sync errors in `test()`, async promise
    // rejections, and errors with accessing `res.x`.
    const res = await test();
    return res.x;
} catch (error) {
    throw error;
}
```

Let's take a look at how the throw keyword works with async functions now that you've seen how try/catch works. When you throw in an async function, JavaScript will reject the returned promise. Remember that the value you return from an async function is called the resolved

value. Similarly, this book will refer to the value you throw in an async function as the *rejected* value.

```
async function computeValue() {
    // `err` is the "rejected value"
    const err = new Error('Oops!');
    throw err;
}

async function test() {
    try {
      const res = await computeValue();
      // Never runs
      console.log(res);
    } catch (error) {
      console.log(error.message); // "Oops!"
    }
}
```

Remember that the computeValue() function call itself does not throw an error in the test()
function. The await keyword is what throws an error that you can handle with try/catch. The below code will print "No Error" unless you uncomment the await block.

```
async function computeValue() {
   throw new Error('Oops!');
};

async function test() {
   try {
     const promise = computeValue();
     // With the below line commented out, no error will be thrown
     // await promise;
     console.log("No Error");
} catch (error) {
     console.log(error.message); // Won't run
}
```

Just because you can try/catch around a promise doesn't necessarily mean you should. Since async functions return promises, you can also use .catch():

```
async function computeValue() {
   throw new Error('Oops!');
};

async function test() {
   let err = null;
   await computeValue().catch(_err => { err = _err; });
   console.log(err.message);
}
```

Both try/catch and catch() have their place. In particular, catch() makes it easier to centralize your error handling. A common async/await novice mistake is putting try/catch at the top of every single function. If you want a common handleError() function to ensure you're handing all errors, you're better off using catch().

```
Example 1.18

// If you find yourself doing this, stop!
async function fn1() {
   try {
     /* Bunch of logic here */
} catch (err) {
     handleError(err);
}
}

// Do this instead
async function fn2() {
   /* Bunch of logic here */
}

fn2().catch(handleError);
```

Retrying Failed Requests

Let's tie together loops, return values, and error handling to handle a challenge that's painful with callbacks: retrying failed requests. Suppose you had to make HTTP requests to an unreliable API.

With callbacks or promise chains, retrying failed requests requires recursion, and recursion is less readable than the synchronous alternative of writing a for loop. Below is a simplified implementation of a getWithRetry() function using callbacks and the superagent HTTP client.

```
function getWithRetry(url, numRetries, callback, retriedCount) {
  retriedCount = retriedCount || 0;
  superagent.get(url).end(function(error, res) {
    if (error) {
      if (retriedCount >= numRetries) { return callback(error); }
      return getWithRetry(url, numRetries, callback, retriedCount + 1);
    }
    return callback(null, res.body);
  });
}
```

Recursion is subtle and tricky to understand relative to a loop. Plus, the above code ignores the possibility of sync errors, because the try/catch spaghetti highlighted in example 1.13 would make this example unreadable. In short, this pattern is both brittle and cumbersome.

With async/await, you don't need recursion and you need one try/catch to handle sync and async errors. The async/await implementation is built on for loops, try/catch, and other constructs that should be familiar to even the most junior of engineers.

```
async function getWithRetry(url, numRetries) {
  let lastError = null;
  for (let i = 0; i < numRetries; ++i) {
    try {
        // Note that `await superagent.get(url).body` does **not** work
        const res = await superagent.get(url);
        // Early return with async functions works as you'd expect
        return res.body;
    } catch (error) {
        lastError = error;
     }
    }
    throw lastError;
}</pre>
```

More generally, async/await makes executing async operations in series trivial. For example, let's say you had to load a list of blog posts from an HTTP API and then execute a separate HTTP request to load the comments for each blog post. This example uses the excellent JSONPlaceholder API that provides good test data.

```
async function run() {
  const root = 'https://jsonplaceholder.typicode.com';
  const posts = await getWithRetry(`${root}/posts`, 3);
  for (const { id } of posts) {
    const comments =
      await getWithRetry(`${root}/comments?postId=${id}`, 3);
    console.log(comments);
  }
}
```

If this example seems trivial, that's good, because that's how programming should be. The JavaScript community has created an incredible hodge-podge of tools for executing asynchronous tasks in series, from async.waterfall() to Redux sagas to zones to co. Async/await makes all of these libraries and more unnecessary. Do you even need Redux middleware anymore?

Promises From The Ground Up

Test