

Table of Contents

0. How To Use This Book	1
1. Async/Await: The Good Parts	4
1. Return Values	6
2. Error Handling	9
3. Retrying Failed Requests	12
4. Exercise 1: HTTP Request Loops	14
5. Exercise 2: Retrying Failed Requests	15
2. Promises From The Ground Up	16
1. Promise Chaining	19
2. <code>catch()</code> and Other Helpers	23
3. Exercise 1: Promise Chains in Action	26
4. Exercise 2: <code>Promise.race()</code>	27
3. Async/Await Internals	28
1. <code>await</code> vs <code>return</code>	29
2. Concurrency	31
3. Async/Await vs Generators	33
4. Core Principles	35
5. Exercise 1: Implementing Custom Thenables	38
6. Exercise 2: Async <code>forEach()</code>	39
4. Async/Await in the Wild	40
1. With Mocha	41
2. With Express	42
3. With MongoDB	44
4. With Redux	46
5. With React	48
6. Exercise 1: Does X Support Async/Await?	
7. Exercise 2: Socket.io Integration	

How To Use This Book

[Async/await](#) is the single most valuable feature to land in the JavaScript language spec in the last 15 years. The event loop and asynchronous programming in general are exceptional for building GUIs and servers, but callbacks make error handling tedious and code hard to read. For example, when [RisingStack](#) asked Node.js developers what they struggled with in 2017, asynchronous programming topped the list.

What's hardest to get right with Node.js at the moment?



Async/await promises to make asynchronous code as clean and easy to read as synchronous code in most use cases. Tangled promise chains and complex user-land libraries like [async](#) can be replaced with `for` loops, `if` statements, and `try/catch` blocks that even the most junior of engineers can make sense of.

The following [JavaScript from a 2012 blog post](#) is a typical example of where code goes wrong with callbacks. This code works, but it has a lot of error handling boilerplate and deeply nested `if` statements that obfuscate the actual logic. Wrapping your mind around it takes a while, and proper error handling means copy/pasting `if (err != null)` into every callback.

```
function getWikipediaHeaders() {
  // i. check if headers.txt exists
  fs.stat('./headers.txt', function(err, stats) {
    if (err != null) { throw err; }
    if (stats == undefined) {
      // ii. fetch the HTTP headers
      var options = { host: 'www.wikipedia.org', port: 80 };
      http.get(options, function(err, res) {
        if (err != null) { throw err; }
        var headers = JSON.stringify(res.headers);
        // iii. write the headers to headers.txt
        fs.writeFile('./headers.txt', headers, function(err) {
          if (err != null) { throw err; }
          console.log('Great Success!');
        });
      });
    } else { console.log('headers already collected'); }
  });
}
```

Below is the same code using async/await, assuming that `stat()`, `get()`, and `writeFile()` are properly promisified.

```
async function getWikipediaHeaders() {
  if (await stat('./headers.txt') != null) {
    console.log('headers already collected');
  }
  const res = await get({ host: 'www.wikipedia.org', port: 80 });
  await writeFile('./headers.txt', JSON.stringify(res.headers));
  console.log('Great success!');
}
```

You might not think async/await is a big deal. You might even think async/await is a bad idea. I've been in your shoes: when I first learned about async/await in 2013, I thought it was unnecessary at best. But when I started working with generator-based coroutines (the 2015 predecessor to async/await), I was shocked at how quickly server crashes due to `TypeError: Cannot read property 'x' of undefined` vanished. By the time async/await became part of the JavaScript language spec in 2017, async/await was an indispensable part of my dev practice.

Just because async/await is now officially part of JavaScript doesn't mean the world is all sunshine and rainbows. Async/await is a new pattern that promises to make day-to-day development work easier, but, like any pattern, you need to understand it or you'll do more harm

than good. If your async/await code is a patchwork of copy/pasted StackOverflow answers, you're just trading callback hell for the newly minted [async/await hell](#).

The purpose of this book is to take you from someone who is casually acquainted with promises and async/await to someone who is comfortable building and debugging a complex app whose core logic is built on async/await. This book is only 50 pages and is meant to be read in about 2 hours total. You may read it all in one sitting, but you would be better served reading one chapter at a time, studying the exercises at the end, and getting a good night's sleep in between chapters to really internalize the information.

This book is broken up into 4 chapters. Each chapter is 12 pages, including exercises at the end of each chapter that highlight key lessons from the chapter. The exercises require more thought than code and should be easy to answer within a few minutes.

The first 3 chapters are focused on promise and async/await fundamentals, and strive to avoid frameworks and outside dependencies. In particular, the first 3 chapters' code samples and exercises are meant to run in Node.js 8.x and the first 3 chapters will **not** cover transpilers. In the interest of providing realistic examples, the code samples will use the [superagent](#) module for making HTTP requests. The 4th chapter will discuss transpilers and integrating async/await with some common npm modules.

If you find any issues with the code samples or exercises, please report them at github.com/vkarpov15/mastering-async-await-issues.

Are you ready to master async/await? Let's get started!

Async/Await: The Good Parts

The `async` and `await` keywords are new additions to JavaScript as part of the 2017 edition of the language specification. The `async` keyword modifies a function, either a normal `function() {}` or an arrow function `() => {}`, to mark it as an *async function*. In an `async` function, you can use the `await` keyword to pause the function's execution until a promise settles. In the below function, the `await` keyword pauses the function's execution for approximately 1 second.

Example 1.1

```
async function test() {  
  // This function will print "Hello, World!" after 1 second.  
  await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));  
  console.log('Hello, World!');  
}  
  
test();
```

You can use the `await` keyword anywhere in the body of an `async` function. This means you can use `await` in `if` statements, `for` loops, and `try/catch` blocks. Below is another way to pause an `async` function's execution for about 1 second.

Example 1.2

```
async function test() {  
  // Wait for 100ms 10 times. This function also prints after 1 second.  
  for (let i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {  
    await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 100));  
  }  
  console.log('Hello, World!');  
}  
  
test();
```

There is one major restriction for using `await`: you can only use `await` within the body of a function that's marked `async`. The following code throws a `SyntaxError`.

Example 1.3

```
function test() {  
  const p = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));  
  // SyntaxError: Unexpected identifier  
  await p;  
}  
  
test();
```

In particular, you can't use `await` in a closure embedded in an async function, unless the closure is also an async function. The below code also throws a `SyntaxError`.

Example 1.4

```
const assert = require('assert');

async function test() {
  const p = Promise.resolve('test');
  assert.doesNotThrow(function() {
    // "SyntaxError: Unexpected identifier" because the above function
    // is **not** marked async. "Closure" = function inside a function
    await p;
  });
}
```

As long as you don't create a new function, you can use `await` underneath any number of `for` loops and `if` statements.

Example 1.5

```
async function test() {
  while (true) {
    // Convoluted way to print out "Hello, World!" once per second by
    // pausing execution for 200ms 5 times
    for (let i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
      if (i % 2 === 0) {
        await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 200));
      }
    }
    console.log('Hello, World!');
  }
}
```

Return Values

You can use `async/await` for more than just pausing execution. The return value of `await` is the value the promise is fulfilled with. This means you can assign a variable to an asynchronously-computed value in code that looks synchronous.

Example 1.6

```
async function test() {  
  // You can `await` on a non-promise without getting an error.  
  let res = await 'Hello World!';  
  console.log(res); // "Hello, World!"  
  
  const promise = new Promise(resolve => {  
    // This promise resolves to "Hello, World!" after 1s  
    setTimeout(() => resolve('Hello, World!'), 1000);  
  });  
  res = await promise;  
  // Prints "Hello, World!". `res` is equal to the value the  
  // promise resolved to.  
  console.log(res);  
  
  // Prints "Hello, World!". You can use `await` in function params!  
  console.log(await promise);  
}
```

An async function **always** returns a promise. When you `return` from an async function, JavaScript resolves the promise to the value you returned. This means calling async functions from other async functions is very natural. You can `await` on the async function call and get the async function's "return value".

Example 1.7

```
async function computeValue() {  
  await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));  
  // "Hello, World" is the _resolved value_ for this function call  
  return 'Hello, World!';  
}  
  
async function test() {  
  // Prints "Hello, World!" after 1s. `computeValue` returns a promise!  
  console.log(await computeValue());  
}
```

This book will refer to the value you `return` from an async function as the *resolved value*. In `computeValue` above, "Hello, World!" is the resolved value, `computeValue()` still returns a

promise. This distinction is subtle but important: the value you `return` from an async function body is **not** the value that an async function call like `computeValue()` without `await` returns.

You can also return a promise from an async function. In that case, the promise the async function returns will be fulfilled or rejected whenever the resolved value promise is fulfilled or rejected. Below is another async function that fulfills to 'Hello, World!' after 1 second:

Example 1.8

```
async function computeValue() {  
  // The resolved value is a promise. The promise returned from  
  // `computeValue()` will be fulfilled with 'Hello, World!'  
  return new Promise(resolve => {  
    setTimeout(() => resolve('Hello, World!'));  
  }, 1000);  
}
```

If you `return` a promise from an async function, the resolved value will still not equal the return value. The below example demonstrates that the `resolvedValue` promise that the function body returns is not the same as the return value from `computeValue()`.

Example 1.9

```
let resolvedValue = Promise.resolve('Hello, World!');  
const computeValue = async () => resolvedValue;  
  
async function test() {  
  // No `await` below, so `returnValue` will be a promise  
  const returnValue = computeValue();  
  // `false`. The return value and resolved value are always different  
  console.log(returnValue === resolvedValue);  
}
```

Async/await beginners often mistakenly think they need to `return` a promise from an async function. They likely read that an async function always returns a promise and think they're responsible for returning a promise. An async function always returns a promise, but, like in example 1.9, JavaScript creates the returned promise for you.

Example 1.10

```
async function computeValue() {  
  // Adding `Promise.resolve()` below is unnecessary. It adds  
  // perf overhead because you're creating an unnecessary promise.  
  // "Unnecessary code is not as harmless as I used to think. It  
  // sends the misleading signal that it's necessary." - Paul Graham  
  return Promise.resolve('Hello, World!');  
}
```


Error Handling

One of the most important properties of `async/await` is that you can use `try/catch` to handle asynchronous errors. Remember that a promise may be either fulfilled or rejected. When a promise `p` is fulfilled, JavaScript evaluates `await p` to the promise's value. What about if `p` is rejected?

Example 1.11

```
async function test() {
  try {
    const p = Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
    // The below `await` throws
    await p;
  } catch (error) {
    console.log(err.message); // "Oops!"
  }
}
```

If `p` is rejected, `await p` throws an error that you can catch with a normal JavaScript `try/catch`. Note that the `await` statement is what throws an error, **not** the promise instantiation.

This `try/catch` behavior is a powerful tool for consolidating error handling. The `try/catch` block above can catch synchronous errors as well as asynchronous ones. Suppose you have code that throws a `TypeError: cannot read property 'x' of undefined` error:

Example 1.12

```
async function test() {
  try {
    const bad = undefined;
    bad.x;
    const p = Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
    await p;
  } catch (error) {
    // "cannot read property 'x' of undefined"
    console.log(err.message);
  }
}
```

In callback-based code, you had to watch out for synchronous errors like `TypeError` separately from asynchronous errors. This led to a lot of server crashes and red text in Chrome consoles, because discipline doesn't scale.

Consider using a callback-based approach instead of `async/await`. Suppose you have a black-box function `test()` that takes a single parameter, a `callback`. If you want to ensure you catch every possible error, you need 2 `try/catch` calls: one around `test()` and one around `callback()`.

You also need to check whether `test()` called your callback with an error. In other words, every single async operation needs 3 distinct error handling patterns!

Example 1.13

```
function testWrapper(callback) {
  try {
    // There might be a sync error in `test()`
    test(function(error, res) {
      // `test()` might also call the callback with an error
      if (error) {
        return callback(error);
      }
      // And you also need to be careful that accessing `res.x` doesn't
      // throw **and** calling `callback()` doesn't throw.
      try {
        return callback(null, res.x);
      } catch (error) {
        return callback(error);
      }
    });
  }
}
```

When there's this much boilerplate for error handling, even the most rigorous and disciplined developers end up missing a spot. The result is uncaught errors, server downtime, and buggy user interfaces. Below is an equivalent example with `async/await`. You can handle the 3 distinct error cases from example 1.12 with a single pattern.

Example 1.14

```
async function testWrapper() {
  try {
    // `try/catch` will catch sync errors in `test()`, async promise
    // rejections, and errors with accessing `res.x`.
    const res = await test();
    return res.x;
  } catch (error) {
    throw error;
  }
}
```

Let's take a look at how the `throw` keyword works with async functions now that you've seen how `try/catch` works. When you `throw` in an async function, JavaScript will reject the returned promise. Remember that the value you `return` from an async function is called the resolved

value. Similarly, this book will refer to the value you `throw` in an async function as the *rejected value*.

Example 1.15

```
async function computeValue() {
  // `err` is the "rejected value"
  const err = new Error('Oops!');
  throw err;
}

async function test() {
  try {
    const res = await computeValue();
    // Never runs
    console.log(res);
  } catch (error) {
    console.log(error.message); // "Oops!"
  }
}
```

Remember that the `computeValue()` function call itself does **not** throw an error in the `test()` function. The `await` keyword is what throws an error that you can handle with `try/catch`. The below code will print "No Error" unless you uncomment the `await` block.

Example 1.16

```
async function computeValue() {
  throw new Error('Oops!');
};

async function test() {
  try {
    const promise = computeValue();
    // With the below line commented out, no error will be thrown
    // await promise;
    console.log("No Error");
  } catch (error) {
    console.log(error.message); // Won't run
  }
}
```

Just because you can `try/catch` around a promise doesn't necessarily mean you should. Since async functions return promises, you can also use `.catch()`:

Example 1.17

```
async function computeValue() {
  throw new Error('Oops!');
};

async function test() {
  let err = null;
  await computeValue().catch(_err => { err = _err; });
  console.log(err.message);
}
```

Both `try/catch` and `catch()` have their place. In particular, `catch()` makes it easier to centralize your error handling. A common async/await novice mistake is putting `try/catch` at the top of every single function. If you want a common `handleError()` function to ensure you're handling all errors, you're better off using `catch()`.

Example 1.18

```
// If you find yourself doing this, stop!
async function fn1() {
  try {
    /* Bunch of logic here */
  } catch (err) {
    handleError(err);
  }
}

// Do this instead
async function fn2() {
  /* Bunch of logic here */
}

fn2().catch(handleError);
```

Retrying Failed Requests

Let's tie together loops, return values, and error handling to handle a challenge that's painful with callbacks: retrying failed requests. Suppose you had to make HTTP requests to an unreliable API.

With callbacks or promise chains, retrying failed requests requires recursion, and recursion is less readable than the synchronous alternative of writing a `for` loop. Below is a simplified implementation of a `getWithRetry()` function using callbacks and the `superagent` HTTP client.

Example 1.19

```
function getWithRetry(url, numRetries, callback, retriedCount) {
  retriedCount = retriedCount || 0;
  superagent.get(url).end(function(error, res) {
    if (error) {
      if (retriedCount >= numRetries) { return callback(error); }
      return getWithRetry(url, numRetries, callback, retriedCount + 1);
    }
    return callback(null, res.body);
  });
}
```

Recursion is subtle and tricky to understand relative to a loop. Plus, the above code ignores the possibility of sync errors, because the `try/catch` spaghetti highlighted in example 1.13 would make this example unreadable. In short, this pattern is both brittle and cumbersome.

With `async/await`, you don't need recursion and you need one `try/catch` to handle sync and async errors. The `async/await` implementation is built on `for` loops, `try/catch`, and other constructs that should be familiar to even the most junior of engineers.

Example 1.20

```
async function getWithRetry(url, numRetries) {
  let lastError = null;
  for (let i = 0; i < numRetries; ++i) {
    try {
      // Note that `await superagent.get(url).body` does **not** work
      const res = await superagent.get(url);
      // Early return with async functions works as you'd expect
      return res.body;
    } catch (error) {
      lastError = error;
    }
  }
  throw lastError;
}
```

More generally, `async/await` makes executing `async` operations in series trivial. For example, let's say you had to load a list of blog posts from an HTTP API and then execute a separate HTTP request to load the comments for each blog post. This example uses the excellent [JSONPlaceholder API](#) that provides good test data.

Example 1.21

```
async function run() {
  const root = 'https://jsonplaceholder.typicode.com';
  const posts = await getWithRetry(`${root}/posts`, 3);
  for (const { id } of posts) {
    const comments =
      await getWithRetry(`${root}/comments?postId=${id}`, 3);
    console.log(comments);
  }
}
```

If this example seems trivial, that's good, because that's how programming should be. The JavaScript community has created an incredible hodge-podge of tools for executing asynchronous tasks in series, from `async.waterfall()` to [Redux sagas](#) to [zones](#) to [co](#). `Async/await` makes all of these libraries and more unnecessary. Do you even need [Redux middleware](#) anymore?

This isn't the whole story with `async/await`. This chapter glossed over numerous important details, including how promises integrate with `async/await` and what happens when two asynchronous functions run simultaneously. Chapter 2 will focus on the internals of promises, including the difference between "resolved" and "fulfilled", and explain why promises are perfectly suited for `async/await`.

Exercise 1: HTTP Request Loops

The purpose of this exercise is to get comfortable with using loops and `if` statements with `async/await`. You will need to use the `fetch()` API to get a list of blog posts on `thecodebarbarian.com`, and then execute a separate `fetch()` to get the raw markdown `content` for each blog post.

Below are the API endpoints. The API endpoints are hosted on Google Cloud Functions at <https://us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net>.

- `/posts` gets a list of blog posts. Below is an example post:

```
{ "src": "../lib/posts/20160304_circle_ci.md",  
  "title": "Setting Up Circle CI With Node.js",  
  "date": "2016-03-04T00:00:00.000Z",  
  "tags": ["NodeJS"],  
  "id": 51 }
```

- `/post?id=${id}` gets the markdown content of a blog post by its `id` property. The above blog post has `id = 0`, so you can get its content from this endpoint: <https://us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net/post?id=0>. Try opening this URL in your browser, the output looks like this:

```
{"content": "*This post was featured as a guest blog post..."}
```

Loop through the blog posts and find the id of the first post whose `content` contains the string "async/await hell".

Below is the starter code. You may copy this code and run it in Node.js using [the node-fetch npm module](#), or you may complete this exercise in your browser on CodePen at <http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-1>

```
const root = 'https://' +  
  'us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net';  
  
async function run() {  
  // Example of using `fetch()` API  
  const res = await fetch(`${root}/posts`);  
  console.log(await res.json());  
}  
  
run().catch(error => console.error(error.stack));
```

Exercise 2: Retrying Failed Requests

The purpose of this exercise is to implement a function that retries failed HTTP requests using `async/await` and `try/catch` to handle errors. This example builds on the correct answer to exercise 1.1, but with the added caveat that every other `fetch()` request fails.

For this exercise, you need to implement the `getWithRetry()` function below. This function should `fetch()` the `url`, and if the request fails this function should retry the request up to `numRetries` times. If you see "Correct answer: 76", congratulations, you completed this exercise.

Like exercise 1.1, you can complete this exercise locally by copying the below code and using the `node-fetch` npm module. You can also complete this exercise in your browser on CodePen at the following url: <http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-2>.

```
async function getWithRetry(url, numRetries) {
  return fetch(url).then(res => res.json());
}

// Correct answer for exercise 1.1 below
async function run() {
  const root = 'https://' +
    'us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net';
  const posts = await getWithRetry(`${root}/posts`, 3);

  for (const post of posts) {
    console.log(`Fetch post ${post.id}`);
    const content = await getWithRetry(`${root}/post?id=${post.id}`, 3);
    if (content.content.includes('async/await hell')) {
      console.log(`Correct answer: ${post.id}`);
      break;
    }
  }
}

run().catch(error => console.error(error.stack));

// This makes every 2nd `fetch()` fail
const _fetch = fetch;
let calls = 0;
(window || global).fetch = function(url) {
  const err = new Error('Hard-coded fetch() error');
  return (++calls % 2 === 0) ? Promise.reject(err) : _fetch(url);
}
```


Promises From The Ground Up

Async/await is built on top of promises. Async functions return promises, and `await` only pauses an async function when it operates on a promise. In order to grok the internals of async/await, you need to understand how promises work from base principles. JavaScript promises didn't become what they are by accident, they were carefully designed to enable paradigms like async/await.

In the ES6 spec, a `promise` is a class whose constructor takes an `executor` function. Instances of the `Promise` class have a `then()` function. Promises in the ES6 spec have several other properties, but for now you can ignore them. Below is a skeleton of a simplified `Promise` class.

Example 2.1

```
class Promise {
  // `executor` takes 2 parameters, `resolve()` and `reject()`.
  // The executor function is responsible for calling `resolve()`
  // or `reject()` when the async operation succeeded or failed
  constructor(executor) {}

  // `onFulfilled` is called if the promise is fulfilled, and
  // `onRejected` if the promise is rejected. For now, you can
  // think of 'fulfilled' and 'resolved' as the same thing.
  then(onFulfilled, onRejected) {}
}
```

A promise is a state machine with 3 states:

- pending: the initial state, means that the underlying operation is in progress
- fulfilled: the underlying operation succeeded and has an associated value
- rejected: the underlying operation failed and has an associated error

A promise that is not pending is called *settled*. In other words, a settled promise is either fulfilled or rejected. Once a promise is settled, it **cannot** change state. For example, the below promise will remain fulfilled despite the `reject()` call. Once you've called `resolve()` or `reject()` once, calling `resolve()` or `reject()` is a no-op. This detail is pivotal for async/await, because how would `await` work if a promise changed state from 'FULFILLED' to 'REJECTED' after an async function was done?

Example 2.2

```
const p = new Promise((resolve, reject) => {
  resolve('foo');
  // The below `reject()` is a no-op. A fulfilled promise stays
  // fulfilled with the same value forever.
  reject(new Error('bar'));
});
```

Below is a diagram showing the promise state machine.



With this in mind, below is a first draft of a promise constructor that implements the state transitions. Note that the property names `state`, `resolve`, `reject`, and `value` used below are non-standard. Actual ES6 promises do **not** expose these properties publicly, so don't try to use `p.value` or `p.resolve()` with a native JavaScript promise.

Example 2.4

```
class Promise {
  constructor(executor) {
    this.state = 'PENDING';
    this.chained = []; // Not used yet
    this.value = undefined;

    try {
      // Reject if the executor throws a sync error
      executor(v => this.resolve(v), err => this.reject(err));
    } catch (err) { this.reject(err); }
  }
  // Define `resolve()` and `reject()` to change the promise state
  resolve(value) {
    if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
    this.state = 'FULFILLED';
    this.value = value;
  }
  reject(value) {
    if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
    this.state = 'REJECTED';
    this.value = value;
  }
}
```

The promise constructor manages the promise's state and calls the executor function. You also need to implement the `then()` function that let clients define handlers that run when a promise is settled. The `then()` function takes 2 function parameters, `onFulfilled()` and `onRejected()`. A promise must call the `onFulfilled()` callback if the promise is fulfilled, and `onRejected()` if the promise is rejected.

For now, `then()` is simple, it push `onFulfilled()` and `onRejected()` onto an array `chained`. Then, `resolve()` and `reject()` will call them when the promise is fulfilled or rejected. If the promise is already settled, the `then()` function will queue up `onFulfilled()` or `onRejected()` to run on the next tick of the event loop using `setImmediate()`.

Example 2.5

```
class Promise {
  // Constructor is the same as before, omitted for brevity
  then(onFulfilled, onRejected) {
    const { value, state } = this;
    // If promise is already settled, enqueue the right handler
    if (state === 'FULFILLED') return setImmediate(onFulfilled, value);
    if (state === 'REJECTED') return setImmediate(onRejected, value);
    // Otherwise, track `onFulfilled` and `onRejected` for later
    this.chained.push({ onFulfilled, onRejected });
  }
  resolve(value) {
    if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
    this.state = 'FULFILLED';
    this.value = value;
    // Loop through the `chained` array and find all `onFulfilled()`
    // functions. Remember that `.then(null, onRejected)` is valid.
    this.chained.
      filter(({ onFulfilled }) => typeof onFulfilled === 'function').
      // The ES6 spec section 25.4 says `onFulfilled` and
      // `onRejected` must be called on a separate event loop tick
      forEach(({ onFulfilled }) => setImmediate(onFulfilled, value));
  }
  reject(value) {
    if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
    this.state = 'REJECTED';
    this.value = value;
    this.chained.
      filter(({ onRejected }) => typeof onRejected === 'function').
      forEach(({ onFulfilled }) => setImmediate(onFulfilled, value));
  }
}
```

This `Promise` class, while simple, represents most of the work necessary to integrate with `async/await`. The `await` keyword doesn't explicitly check if the value it operates on is `instanceof Promise`, it only checks for the presence of a `then()` function. In general, any object that has a `then()` function is called a *thenable* in JavaScript. Below is an example of using the custom `Promise` class with `async/await`.

Example 2.6

```
async function test() {  
  // Works, even though this is a custom `Promise` class. All you  
  // need is a `then()` function to integrate with `await`.  
  const res = await new Promise(resolve => {  
    setTimeout(() => resolve('Hello'), 50);  
  });  
  assert.equal(res, 'Hello');  
}
```

Promise Chaining

One key feature that the promise implementation thus far does not support is promise chaining. Promise chaining is a common pattern for keeping async code flat, although it has become far less useful now that generators and `async/await` have widespread support. Here's how the `getWikipediaHeaders()` function from the introduction looks with promise chaining:

Example 2.7

```
function getWikipediaHeaders() {  
  return stat('./headers.txt').  
    then(res => {  
      if (res == null) {  
        // If you return a promise from `onFulfilled()`, the next  
        // `then()` call's `onFulfilled()` will get called when  
        // the returned promise is fulfilled...  
        return get({ host: 'www.wikipedia.org', port: 80 });  
      }  
      return res;  
    }).  
    then(res => {  
      // So whether the above `onFulfilled()` returns a primitive or a  
      // promise, this `onFulfilled()` gets the headers object  
      return writeFile('./headers.txt', JSON.stringify(res.headers));  
    }).  
    then(() => console.log('Great success!')).  
    catch(err => console.err(err.stack));  
}
```

While `async/await` is a superior pattern, promise chaining is still useful, and still necessary to complete a robust promise implementation. In order to implement promise chaining, you need to make 3 changes to the promise implementation from example 2.5:

1. The `then()` function needs to return a promise. The promise returned from `then()` should be resolved with the value returned from `onFulfilled()`
2. The `resolve()` function needs to check if `value` is a thenable, and, if so, transition to fulfilled or rejected only when `value` transitions to fulfilled or rejected.
3. If `resolve()` is called with a thenable, the promise needs to stay 'PENDING', but future calls to `resolve()` and `reject()` must be ignored.

The first change, improving the `then()` function, is shown below. There are two other changes: `onFulfilled()` and `onRejected()` now have default values, and are wrapped in a try/catch.

Example 2.8

```
then(_onFulfilled, _onRejected) {
  // `onFulfilled` is a no-op by default...
  if (typeof _onFulfilled !== 'function') _onFulfilled = (v => v);
  // and `onRejected` just rethrows the error by default
  if (typeof _onRejected !== 'function') {
    _onRejected = err => { throw err; };
  }
  return new Promise((resolve, reject) => {
    // Wrap `onFulfilled` and `onRejected` for two reasons:
    // consistent async and `try/catch`
    const onFulfilled = res => setImmediate(() => {
      try {
        resolve(_onFulfilled(res));
      } catch (err) { reject(err); }
    });
    const onRejected = err => setImmediate(() => {
      try {
        // Note this is `resolve()`, not `reject()`. The `then()`
        // promise will be fulfilled if `onRejected` doesn't rethrow
        resolve(_onRejected(err));
      } catch (err) { reject(err); }
    });

    if (this.state === 'FULFILLED') return onFulfilled(this.value);
    if (this.state === 'REJECTED') return onRejected(this.value);
    this.chained.push({ onFulfilled, onRejected });
  });
}
```

Now `then()` returns a promise. However, there's still work to be done: if `onFulfilled()` returns a promise, `resolve()` needs to be able to handle it. In order to support this, the `resolve()` function will need to use `then()` in a two-step recursive dance. Below is the expanded `resolve()` function that shows the 2nd necessary change.

Example 2.9

```
resolve(value) {
  if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
  if (value === this) {
    return this.reject(TypeError(`Can't resolve promise with itself`));
  }
  // Is `value` a thenable? If so, fulfill/reject this promise when
  // `value` fulfills or rejects. The Promises/A+ spec calls this
  // process "assimilating" the other promise (resistance is futile).
  const then = this._getThenProperty(value);
  if (typeof then === 'function') {
    try {
      return then.call(value, v => this.resolve(v),
        err => this.reject(err));
    } catch (error) {
      return reject(error);
    }
  }

  // If `value` is not a thenable, transition to fulfilled
  this.state = 'FULFILLED';
  this.value = value;
  this.chained.
    forEach(({ onFulfilled }) => setImmediate(onFulfilled, value));
}

// Helper to wrap getting the `then()` property because the Promises/A+
// spec has 2 tricky details: you can only access the `then` property
// once, and if getting `value.then` throws the promise should reject
_getThenProperty(value) {
  if (value == null) return null;
  if (!['object', 'function'].includes(typeof value)) return null;
  try {
    return value.then;
  } catch (error) {
    // Unlikely edge case, Promises/A+ section 2.3.3.2 enforces this
    this.reject(error);
  }
}
```

Finally, the third change, ensuring that a promise doesn't change state once `resolve()` is called with a thenable, requires changes to both `resolve()` and the promise constructor. The motivation for this change is to ensure that `p2` in the below example is fulfilled, **not** rejected.

Example 2.10

```
const p1 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(resolve, 50));
const p2 = new Promise(resolve => {
  resolve(p1);
  throw new Error('Oops!'); // Ignored because `resolve()` was called
});
```

One way to achieve this is to create a helper function that wraps `this.resolve()` and `this.reject()` that ensures `resolve()` and `reject()` can only be called once.

Example 2.11

```
// After you call `resolve()` with a promise, extra `resolve()` and
// `reject()` calls will be ignored despite the 'PENDING' state
_wrapResolveReject() {
  let called = false;
  const resolve = v => {
    if (called) return;
    called = true;
    this.resolve(v);
  };
  const reject = err => {
    if (called) return;
    called = true;
    this.reject(err);
  };
  return { resolve, reject };
}
```

Once you have this `_wrapResolveReject()` helper, you need to use it in `resolve()`:

Example 2.12

```
resolve(value) { // Beginning omitted for brevity
  if (typeof then === 'function') {
    // If `then()` calls `resolve()` with a 'PENDING' promise and then
    // throws, the `then()` promise will be fulfilled like example 2.10
    const { resolve, reject } = this._wrapResolveReject();
    try {
      return then.call(value, resolve, reject);
    } catch (error) { return reject(error); }
  }
} // End omitted for brevity
```

Also, you need to use `_wrapResolveReject()` in the constructor itself:

Example 2.13

```
constructor(executor) { // Beginning omitted for brevity  
  // This makes the promise class handle example 2.10 correctly...  
  const { resolve, reject } = this._wrapResolveReject();  
  try {  
    executor(resolve, reject);  
    // because if `executor` calls `resolve()` and then throws,  
    // the below `reject()` is a no-op  
  } catch (err) { reject(err); }  
}
```

With all these changes, the complete promise implementation, which you can find at bit.ly/simple-promise, now passes all 872 test cases in the [Promises/A+ spec](#). The Promises/A+ spec is a subset of the [ES6 promise spec](#) that focuses on `then()` and the promise constructor.

catch() and Other Helpers

The ES6 promise spec is a superset of the Promises/A+ spec that adds several convenient helper methods on top of the `then()` function. The most commonly used helper is the `catch()` function. Like the synchronous `catch` keyword, the `catch()` function typically appears at the end of a promise chain to handle any errors that occurred.

The `catch()` function may sound complex, but it is just a thin layer of syntactic sugar on top of `then()`. The `catch()` is so sticky because the name `catch()` is a powerful metaphor for explaining what this helper is used for. Below is the full implementation of `catch()`.

Example 2.14

```
catch(onRejected) {  
  return this.then(null, onRejected);  
}
```

Why does this work? Recall from example 2.8 that `then()` has a default `onRejected()` argument that rethrows the error. So when a promise is rejected, subsequent `then()` calls that only specify an `onFulfilled()` handler are skipped.

Example 2.15

```
const originalError = new Error('Oops!');  
const p = new Promise( (_, reject) => reject(originalError) ).  
  then(() => console.log('This will not print')).  
  then(() => console.log('Nor will this')).  
  // The `onFulfilled()` handlers above get skipped. Each of the  
  // `then()` promises above reject with the original error  
  catch(err => assert.ok(err === originalError));
```


There are several other helpers in the ES6 promise spec. The `Promise.resolve()` and `Promise.reject()` helpers are both commonly used for testing and examples, as well as to convert a thenable into a fully fledged promise.

Example 2.16

```
// Yes, this is actually a thenable. When it comes to promises, the  
// letter of the law overrules the spirit of the law.  
const thenable = { then: () => { throw new Error('Oops!'); } };  
// But `thenable` doesn't have `catch()`, so use `Promise.resolve()`  
// to convert it to a promise and use `catch()`  
const p = Promise.resolve(thenable).  
  catch(err => console.log(err.message)); // Prints "Oops!"
```

Below is the implementation of `resolve()` and `reject()`.

Example 2.17

```
static resolve(v) {  
  return new Promise(resolve => resolve(v));  
}  
static reject(err) {  
  return new Promise((resolve, reject) => reject(err));  
}
```

The `Promise.all()` function is another important helper, because it lets you execute multiple promises in parallel and `await` on the result. The below code will run two instances of the `run()` function in parallel, and pause execution until they're both done.

Example 2.18

```
async function run() {  
  await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(resolve, 50));  
  console.log('run(): running');  
  await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(resolve, 50));  
  console.log('run(): done');  
}  
  
console.log('Start running');  
await Promise.all([run(), run()]);  
console.log('Done');  
// Start running  
// run(): running  
// run(): running  
// run(): done  
// run(): done  
// Done
```

`Promise.all()` is the preferred mechanism for executing async functions in parallel. To execute async functions in series, you would use a `for` loop and `await` on each function call.

`Promise.all()` is just a convenient wrapper around calling `then()` on an array of promises and waiting for the result. Below is a simplified implementation of `Promise.all()`:

Example 2.19

```
static all(arr) {
  let remaining = arr.length;
  if (remaining === 0) return Promise.resolve([]);
  // `result` stores the value that each promise is fulfilled with
  let result = [];
  return new Promise((resolve, reject) => {
    // Loop through every promise in the array and call `then()`. If
    // the promise fulfills, store the fulfilled value in `result`.
    // If any promise rejects, the `all()` promise rejects immediately.
    arr.forEach((p, i) => p.then(
      res => {
        result[i] = res;
        --remaining || resolve(result);
      },
      err => reject(err)));
  });
}
```

There is one more helper function defined in the ES6 spec, `Promise.race()`, that will be an exercise. Other than `race()` and some minor details like support for subclassing, the promise implementation in this chapter is compliant with the ES6 spec. In the next chapter, you'll use your understanding of promises to monkey-patch `async/await` and figure out what's happening under the hood.

The key takeaways from this journey of building a promise library from scratch are:

- A promise can be in one of 3 states: pending, fulfilled, or rejected. It can also be locked in to match the state of another promise if you call `resolve(promise)`.
- Once a promise is settled, it stays settled with the same value forever
- The `then()` function and the promise constructor are the basis for all other promise functions. The `catch()`, `all()`, `resolve()`, and `reject()` helpers are all syntactic sugar on top of `then()` and the constructor.

But before you start tinkering with the internals of `async/await`, here's 2 exercises to expand your understanding of promises.

Exercise 1: Promise Chains in Action

The purpose of this exercise is to get comfortable with using promise chaining. While promise chaining is less useful now that `async/await` exists, promise chaining is a useful complement to `async/await` in much the same way that `forEach()` and `filter()` are useful for chaining array transformations.

Using the same endpoints as Exercise 1.1, which are explained below, find the blog post entitled "Unhandled Promise Rejections in Node.js", load its content, and find the number of times the phrase "async/await" appears in the `content`.

Below are the API endpoints. The API endpoints are hosted on Google Cloud Functions at <https://us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net>

- `/posts` gets a list of blog posts. Below is an example post:

```
{ "src": "../lib/posts/20160304_circle_ci.md",  
  "title": "Setting Up Circle CI With Node.js",  
  "date": "2016-03-04T00:00:00.000Z",  
  "tags": ["NodeJS"],  
  "id": 51 }
```

- `/post?id=${id}` gets the markdown content of a blog post by its `id` property. The above blog post has `id = 0`, so you can get its content from this endpoint: <https://us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net/post?id=0>. Try opening this URL in your browser, the output looks like this:

```
{"content": "*This post was featured as a guest blog post..."}
```

Below is the starter code. You may copy this code and run it in Node.js using [the node-fetch npm module](#), or you may complete this exercise in your browser on CodePen at <http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-21>

```
const root = 'https://' +  
  'us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net';  
  
function run() {  
  // Example of using `fetch()` API  
  return fetch(`${root}/posts`).  
    then(res => res.json()).  
    then(posts => console.log(posts[0]));  
}  
run().catch(error => console.error(error.stack));
```

Exercise 2: Promise.race()

The [ES6 promise spec](#) has one more helper method that this book hasn't covered yet:

`Promise.race()`. Like `Promise.all()`, `Promise.race()` takes in an array of promises, but `Promise.race()` returns a promise that resolves or rejects to the same value that the first promise to settle resolves or rejects to. For example:

```
const p1 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(1), 50));
const p2 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(2), 250));
// Prints "1", because `p1` resolves first
Promise.race([p1, p2]).then(res => console.log(res));
```

Implement a function `race()`, that, given an array of promises, returns a promise that resolves or rejects as soon as one of the promises in the array settles, with the same value.

Hint: remember, once a promise is settled, calling `resolve()` or `reject()` is a no-op.

Below is the starter code. You may copy this code and complete this exercise in Node.js, or you may complete it in your browser on CodePen at <http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-22>.

```
function race(arr) {
  return Promise.reject(new Error('Implement this function'));
}

// The below are tests to help you check your `race()` implementation
test1().then(test2).then(() => console.log('Done!')).
  catch(error => console.error(error.stack));
function test1() {
  const p1 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(1), 10));
  const p2 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(2), 100));
  const f = v => { if (v !== 1) throw Error('test1 failed!'); };
  return race([p1, p2]).then(f);
}
function test2() {
  const error = new Error('Expected error');
  const p1 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(1), 100));
  const p2 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(2), 100));
  const p3 = new Promise((resolve, reject) => reject(error));
  return race([p1, p2, p3]).then(
    () => { throw Error('test2: `race()` promise must reject'); },
    e => { if (e !== error) throw Error('test2: wrong error'); });
}
```

Async/Await Internals

Promises are the fundamental tool for integrating with async/await. Now that you've seen how promises work from the ground up, it's time to go from the micro to the macro and see what happens when you `await` on a promise. Even though async functions are flat like synchronous functions, they're as asynchronous as the most callback-laden banana code under the hood.

As you might have already guessed, `await` makes JavaScript call `then()` under the hood.

Example 3.1

```
const p = {
  then: onFulfilled => {
    // Prints "then(): function () { [native code] }"
    console.log('then():', onFulfilled.toString());
    // Only one entry in the stack:
    // Error
    //       at Object.then (/examples/chapter3.test.js:8:21)
    console.log(new Error().stack);
    onFulfilled('Hello, World!');
  }
};

console.log(await p); // Prints "Hello, World!"
```

The `await` keyword causes JavaScript to *pause* execution until the next iteration of the event loop. In the below code, the `console.log()` after the `await` runs **after** the `++currentId` code, even though the increment is in a callback. The `await` keyword causes the async function to pause and then resume later.

Example 3.2

```
const startId = 0;
let currentId = 0;
process.nextTick(() => ++currentId);
const p = {
  then: onFulfilled => {
    console.log('then():', currentId - startId); // "then(): 1"
    onFulfilled('Hello, World!');
  }
};

console.log('Before:', currentId - startId); // "Before: 0"
await p;
console.log('After:', currentId - startId); // "After: 1"
```

Notice that the `then()` function runs on the next tick, even though it is fully synchronous. This means that `await` always pauses execution until at least the next tick, even if the thenable is not async. The same thing happens when the awaited promise is rejected. If you call `onRejected(err)`, the `await` keyword throws `err` in your function body.

Example 3.3

```
const startId = 0;
let currentId = 0;
process.nextTick(() => ++currentId);
const p = {
  then: (onFulfilled, onRejected) => {
    console.log('then():', currentId - startId); // "then(): 1"
    return onRejected(Error('Oops!'));
  }
};

try {
  console.log('Before:', currentId - startId); // "Before: 0"
  await p;
  console.log('This does not print');
} catch (error) {
  console.log('After:', currentId - startId); // "After: 1"
}
```

await vs return

Recall that `return` in an async function resolves the promise that the async function returns. This means you can `return` a promise. What's the difference between `await` and `return`? The obvious answer is that, when you `await` on a promise, JavaScript pauses execution of the async function and resumes later, but when you `return` a promise, JavaScript finishes executing the async function. JavaScript doesn't "resume" executing the function after you `return`.

The obvious answer is correct, but has some non-obvious implications that tease out how `await` works. If you wrap `await p` in a `try/catch` and `p` is rejected, you can catch the error. What happens if you instead `return p`?

Example 3.4

```
async function test() {
  try {
    return Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
  } catch (error) { return 'ok'; }
}

// Prints "Oops!"
test().then(v => console.log(v), err => console.log(err.message));
```

Notice that `try/catch` does **not** catch the rejected promise that you returned. Why does only `await` give you a catchable error when the promise is rejected? Because `await` throws the error when it *resumes* execution. When you `return` a promise, JavaScript stops executing your async function body and kicks off the `resolve()` process on the async function promise.

On the other hand, when you `await` on a promise, JavaScript pauses executing your async function and resumes once the promise is settled. When JavaScript resumes your async function after `await`, it throws an error if the awaited promise rejected. Below is a flow chart showing what happens when you await on a promise.



On the other hand, when you `return` a promise from an async function, your promise goes into the JavaScript runtime and never goes back into your code, so `try/catch` won't handle the error in example 3.4. Below are a couple alternatives that `catch` the error: example 3.5 assigns `await p` to a variable `v` and then returns the variable, and example 3.6 uses `return await`.

Example 3.5

```
async function test() {
  try {
    const v = await Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
    return v;
  } catch (error) { return 'ok'; }
}
// Prints "ok"
test().then(v => console.log(v), err => console.log(err.message));
```

Example 3.6

```
async function test() {
  try {
    return await Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
  } catch (error) { return 'ok'; }
}
// Prints "ok"
test().then(v => console.log(v), err => console.log(err.message));
```

Both approaches work, but example 3.5 is simpler and less confusing. Seeing `return await` is a head-scratcher for engineers that aren't JavaScript experts, and that's antithetical to the goal of making asynchronous code easy for average developers.

Concurrency

So far, you've seen that `await p` makes JavaScript pause your async function, call `p.then()`, and resume once the promise is settled. What does this mean for running multiple async functions in parallel, especially given that JavaScript is single threaded?

The "JavaScript is single threaded" concept means that, when a normal JavaScript function is running, no other JavaScript can run. For example, the below code will never print anything. In other languages, a construct like `setImmediate()` may run logic in a separate thread and print even while an infinite loop is spinning, but JavaScript does not allow that.

Example 3.7

```
setImmediate(() => console.log('Hello, World!'));  
// This loop will spin forever, and so you'll never get back into  
// the event loop and the above `console.log()` will never run.  
while (true) {}
```

JavaScript functions are like the [Pauli Exclusion Principle](#) in physics: no two normal JavaScript functions can be running in the same memory space at the same time. Closures (callbacks) are separate functions, so in the below example, `foo()`, `bar()`, and `baz()` all run separately.

Example 3.8

```
function foo() {  
  let x = 0;  
  
  // When `foo()` is done, `bar()` will run later but still have  
  // access to `x`  
  setImmediate(bar);  
  // Stop running `foo()` until `baz()` is done  
  baz();  
  
  function bar() {  
    ++x;  
  }  
  
  function baz() {  
    ++x;  
  }  
}
```


Async functions follow the same rule: no two functions can be running at the same time. But, any number of async functions can be *paused* at the same time as long as you don't run out of memory, and other functions can run when an async function is paused.

Example 3.9

```
run().catch(error => console.error(error.stack));

async function run() {
  // This will print, because `run()` is paused when you `await`
  setImmediate(() => console.log('Hello, World!'));
  // Each iteration of the loop pauses the function
  while (true) { await new Promise(resolve => setImmediate(resolve)); }
}
```

This makes async functions useful for breaking up long-running synchronous functions. For example, suppose you want to run two functions in parallel that each compute a large [Fibonacci number](#). Without async/await, you'd need tricky recursion. Async/await makes this task trivial.

Example 3.10

```
await Promise.all([fibonacci(50000), fibonacci(50000)]);
async function fibonacci(n) {
  let [prev2, prev1, cur] = [1, 1, 1];
  for (let i = 2; i < n; ++i) {
    // Pause this `fibonacci()` call, let the other call make progress
    await new Promise(resolve => setImmediate(resolve));
    // "Fib: 10000"
    // "Fib: 10000"
    // "Fib: 20000" ...
    if (i % 10000 === 0) console.log('Fib:', i);
    cur = prev1 + prev2;
    prev2 = prev1;
    prev1 = cur;
  }
  return cur;
}
```

This example is simple but contrived. A more realistic example would be an Express API endpoint that runs a potentially expensive algorithm like [clustering](#). I have used this pattern in a production Express API to run an $O(n^5)$ clustering algorithm in a route without blocking other routes.

The key takeaway here is that an async function will run with no interruptions unless you pause it with `await` or exit the function with `return` or `throw`. JavaScript is still single threaded in the conventional sense, so two async functions can't be running at the same time, but you can pause your async function using `await` to give the event loop and other functions a chance to run.

Async/Await vs Generators

Async/await has a lot in common with [generators](#), a feature that JavaScript introduced in the 2015 edition of the language spec. Like async functions, generator functions can be paused and later resumed. There are two major differences between generator functions and async functions:

1. The keyword you use to pause a generator function is `yield`, not `await`.
2. When you pause a generator function, control goes back to your JavaScript code, rather than the JS interpreter. You resume the generator function by calling `next()` on a generator object.

The below example demonstrates using `yield` to pause the generator and `next()` to resume it.

Example 3.11

```
// The `function*` syntax makes this function a generator function
const generatorFunction = function*() {
  console.log('Step 1');
  yield 1;
  console.log('Step 2');
  yield 2;
  console.log('Done');
};

// The return value of a generator function is a generator object.
// The generator function doesn't start until you call `next()`.
const generatorObject = generatorFunction();

let yielded = generatorObject.next(); // Prints "Step 1"
console.log(yielded.value); // Prints "1"
yielded = generatorObject.next(); // Prints "Step 2"
console.log(yielded.value); // Prints "2"
generatorObject.next(); // Prints "Done"
```

With the help of a library, generators support a pattern virtually identical to async/await. The most popular generator concurrency library is [co](#). Here's example 1.1 with `co` instead of `async/await`.

Example 3.12

```
const co = require('co');
// `co.wrap()` converts a generator into an async-like function
const runCo = co.wrap(function*() {
  // This function will print "Hello, World!" after 1 second.
  yield new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));
  console.log('Hello, World!');
});
// In particular, wrapped functions return a promise
runCo().catch(error => console.log(error.stack));
```

Co offers several neat features that `async/await` does not natively support. By virtue of being a userland library, `co` can be more extensible. For example, `co` can handle when you `yield` an array of promises or a map of promises.

Example 3.13

```
const runCo = co.wrap(function*() {
  const p1 = Promise.resolve('Hello');
  const p2 = Promise.resolve('World');
  // Co can convert arrays of promises and objects with promise
  // properties for you. With async/await, you'd have to use
  // `Promise.all()` on your own to `await` on an array of promises
  console.log(yield [p1, p2]); // [ 'Hello', 'World' ]
  console.log(yield { p1, p2 }); // { p1: 'Hello', p2: 'World' }
});
```

The flip-side of `co`'s implicit promise conversion is that `co` throws an error if you `yield` something that it can't convert to a promise.

Example 3.14

```
const runCo = co.wrap(function*() {
  // 'TypeError: You may only yield a function, promise, generator,
  // array, or object but the following object was passed: "1"'
  yield 1;
});
```

In practice, `co` treating `yield 1` as an error helps catch a lot of errors, but also causes a lot of unnecessary errors. With `async/await`, `await 1` is valid and evaluates to `1`, which is more robust.

`Async/await` has a few other advantages over `co` and generators. The biggest advantage is that `async/await` is built-in to Node.js and modern browsers, so you don't need an external library like `co`. `Async/await` also has cleaner stack traces. `Co` stack traces often have a lot of `generator.next()` and `onFulfilled` lines that obscure the actual error.

Example 3.15

```
const runCo = co.wrap(function*() {
  yield new Promise(resolve => setImmediate(resolve));
  throw new Error('Oops!');
});
// Error: Oops!
//   at /test.js:3:9
//   at Generator.next (<anonymous>)
//   at onFulfilled (/node_modules/co/index.js:65:19)
//   at <anonymous>
runCo().catch(error => console.log(error.stack));
```

The equivalent `async/await` stack trace has the function name and omits `generator.next()` and `onFulfilled`. `Async/await`'s `onFulfilled` runs in the JavaScript interpreter, not userland.

Example 3.16

```
async function runAsync() {
  await new Promise(resolve => setImmediate(resolve));
  throw new Error('Oops!');
}
// Error: Oops!
//   at runAsync (/home/val/test.js:5:9)
//   at <anonymous>
runAsync().catch(error => console.log(error.stack));
```

In general, `async/await` is the better paradigm because it is built in to JavaScript, throws fewer unnecessary errors, and has most of the functionality you need. `Co` has some neat syntactic sugar and works in older browsers, but that is not enough to justify including an external library.

Core Principles

So far, this chapter has covered the technical details of what it means for an `async` function to be paused. What does all this mean for a developer looking to use `async/await` for their application? Here's some core principles to remember based on the behaviors this chapter covered.

Don't `await` on a value that can't be a promise

Just because you can `await 1` doesn't mean you should. A lot of `async/await` beginners abuse `await` and `await` on everything.

Example 3.17

```
async function findSubstr(arr, str) {
  // Don't do this! There's no reason for this function to be async
  for (let i = await 0; i < arr.length; ++i) {
    if (await arr[i].includes(str)) return arr[i];
  }
}
```

In general, you should use `await` on a value you expect to be a promise. There is no reason to `await` on a value that will never be a promise, and it falsely implies that the value may be a promise. If a function can be synchronous, it should be synchronous.

The only reason to make the `findSubstr()` function `async` would be to pause execution and let other functions run like in example 3.10. This is only potentially beneficial if `findSubstr()` runs on a massive array. In that case, you should use `await new Promise(setImmediate)` in order to make sure all other tasks have a chance to run.

Similarly, you must convert any value you want to `await` on into a promise. For example, if you want to `await` on multiple promises in parallel you must use `Promise.all()`.

Example 3.18

```
async function run() {
  const p1 = Promise.resolve(1);
  const p2 = Promise.resolve(2);
  // Won't work, `arr1` will be an array of promises
  const arr1 = await [p1, p2];
  // Works! `arr1` will equal `[1, 2]`
  const arr2 = await Promise.all(p1, p2);
}
```

Prefer using `return` with a non-promise

As demonstrated in example 3.4, you can `return` a promise from an async function, but doing so has some nuances and corner cases. Instead of using a promise as the resolved value, use `await` to resolve the value and then `return` the value. It is generally easier to use `await` and return the resolved value than to explain the difference between `async` and `return`.

Example 3.19

```
async function fn1() {
  // Fine, but has some issues with `try/catch` as shown in example 3.4
  return asyncFunction();
}

async function fn2() {
  // More verbose, but less error prone. Use this method unless you do
  // not intend to handle `asyncFunction()` errors in this function.
  const ret = await asyncFunction();
  return ret;
}
```

Use loops rather than array helpers like `forEach()` and `map()` with `await`

Because you can only `await` in an async function, async functions behave differently than synchronous functions when it comes to functional array methods like `forEach()`. For example, the below code throws a `SyntaxError` because `await` is not in an async function.

Example 3.20

```
async function test() {
  const p1 = Promise.resolve(1);
  const p2 = Promise.resolve(2);
  // SyntaxError: Unexpected identifier
  [p1, p2].forEach(p => { await p; });
}
```

You might think that all you need is an async arrow function. But that does **not** pause `test()`.

Example 3.21

```
async function test() {
  const p1 = Promise.resolve(1);
  const p2 = Promise.resolve(2);
  // This sets off two async functions in parallel, but does not
  // pause `test()` because `await p` pauses the arrow function.
  [p1, p2].forEach(async (p) => { console.log(await p); });
  // 'Done' will print before '1' and '2' because `await p`
  // pauses the arrow functions, not `test()`
  console.log('Done');
}
```

Make sure you handle errors with `.catch()`

Consolidated error handling is one of the most powerful features of async/await. Using `.catch()` on an async function call lets you handle all errors (synchronous and asynchronous) that occur in the async function. Use `.catch()` for catch-all error handlers rather than `try/catch`.

Example 3.22

```
async function fn1() {
  // Bad! Doesn't handle returned promise rejections and is clunky
  try { /* Complex function here */ } catch (err) { handleError(err); }
}
async function fn2() { /* Complex function here */ }
// Do this instead. Handles `return` errors and has less boilerplate
fn2().catch(handleError);
```

In general, any error in an async function should end up in a `.catch()` handler. If you see async/await based code with no `.catch()` calls, there's an unhandled error somewhere. Good async/await code uses some centralized mechanism like a `wrap()` function to ensure every async function call gets a `.catch()` at the end.

Example 3.23

```
const wrap = fn => function() {
  // Ensure function call has an error handler
  return fn.apply(null, arguments).catch(error => console.log(error));
};
const [fn1, fn2] = [
  async function() { throw Error('err1'); },
  async function() { throw Error('err2'); }
].map(wrap);
fn1(); // Prints "err1"
fn2(); // Prints "err2"
```

Exercise 1: Implementing Custom Thenables

As you saw in example 3.2, all you need to integrate an object with async/await is a `then()` function. The `await` keyword calls `then()` under the hood and pauses the async function until `onFulfilled()` or `onRejected()` is called. This means you can add a `then()` function to any object to make it work with async/await.

Many JavaScript HTTP clients, like `superagent`, support a chainable API for building up requests with function calls. Many ODMs and ORMs support a similar API for building database queries.

```
superagent.get(url).set('API-Key', 'test').  
  end((err, res) => { /* Handle response */ });
```

The below `HttpRequest` class provides a simplified HTTP client with a chainable API, but currently it only supports callbacks via the `exec()` function. Implement the `then()` function so this `HttpRequest` class works with async/await.

Below is the starter code. You may copy this code and complete this exercise in Node.js, or you may complete it in your browser on CodePen at <http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-31>.

```
class HttpRequest { // Only modify the `then()` function below  
  static create() { return new HttpRequest(); }  
  get(url) {  
    this.method = 'get';  
    this.url = url;  
    return this;  
  }  
  exec(callback) {  
    fetch(this.url, this).then(res => res.json()).  
      then(res => callback(null, res)).catch(callback);  
  }  
  then(onFulfilled, onRejected) {  
    throw new Error('Not Implemented'); // Implement this function  
  }  
}  
  
// Don't modify the below code  
run().catch(error => console.error(error.stack));  
async function run() {  
  const url = 'https://' +  
    'us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net/posts';  
  const res = await HttpRequest.create().get(url);  
  console.log('Success', res[0].id === 51)  
}
```

Exercise 2: Async forEach()

As shown in example 3.21, the `forEach()` array function has several quirks when it comes to `async/await`:

```
async function fn1() {  
  // SyntaxError because `await` is not in an async function  
  [1, 2].forEach(p => { await p; });  
}  
async function fn2() {  
  [Promise.resolve(1), Promise.resolve(2)].  
    forEach(async (p) => { console.log(await p); });  
  // "Done" prints **before** "1" & "2", because the above `await`  
  // pauses the above arrow function, **not** `fn2()`  
  console.log('Done');  
}
```

Implement an async function `forEachAsync()` that takes an array and an async function `fn()`, and calls `fn()` on every element of the array in series. The `forEachAsync()` function should wait for one instance of `fn()` to finish running before continuing on to the next one.

Below is the starter code. You may copy this code and complete this exercise in Node.js, or you may complete it in your browser on CodePen at <http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-32>.

```
// Implement this function  
async function forEachAsync(arr, fn) { throw Error('Not Implemented!') }  
  
// Below is test code, don't modify this  
run().catch(err => console.log(err.stack));  
async function run() {  
  let i = 0;  
  const arr = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9];  
  async function fn1(n) {  
    await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(resolve, 100 - n * 10));  
    if (i++ !== n) throw Error('Make sure to `await` on `fn()`');  
  }  
  await forEachAsync(arr, fn1);  
  if (i !== 10) throw Error('Call `fn()` on every array element');  
  console.log('Success!');  
}
```


Async/Await in the Wild

Async/await is a powerful tool on its own, but it really shines when combined with the prolific open source JavaScript ecosystem. It is no secret that JavaScript was a painful language to work with in 2005, but that pain lead developers to build an incredible variety of libraries and frameworks to address common problems.

Now that JavaScript has features like async/await, these libraries and frameworks are even more powerful. In this chapter, you'll see how async/await interacts with several common npm packages. In addition, you'll learn to evaluate whether a package works with async/await.

Broadly speaking, npm packages belong to one of two categories when it comes to integrating with async/await: libraries and frameworks.

- Generally, when working with a *framework*, like Express or Redux, you pass functions to the framework that the framework then calls for you.
- Conversely, a *library*, like superagent or the MongoDB driver, exposes a collection of functions for you that you're responsible for calling.

Not all npm packages fall neatly into one of these categories. But, these categories help break the question of whether a given package "works" with async/await down into two easier questions.

For a framework to support async/await, it must support functions that return promises.

Example 4.1

```
// Express is the most popular web framework for Node.js.
const app = require('express')();
// Does Express handle functions that return promises?
app.get('*', async function(req, res) {
  await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(resolve, 1000));
  res.send('Hello, World!');
});
```

For a library to support async/await, its functions must return thenables.

Example 4.2

```
// Superagent is a popular HTTP client library for Node.js
const superagent = require('superagent');
run().catch(error => console.error(error.stack));
async function run() {
  // Do superagent's functions return thenables?
  const response = await superagent.get('http://google.com');
}
```

Now let's apply these principles to several popular npm packages, starting with the test framework [mocha](#).

With Mocha

Mocha falls firmly into the framework category. It's a framework that runs behavior-driven development (BDD) tests for you. The below example is from the Mocha home page. It has one test that asserts that JavaScript's built-in `indexOf()` function handles a simple case correctly.

Example 4.3

```
const assert = require('assert');
describe('Array', function() {
  describe('#indexOf()', function() {
    it('should return -1 when the value is not present', function() {
      assert.equal([1,2,3].indexOf(4), -1);
    });
  });
});
```

The `describe()` calls are analogous to test suites in more conventional testing frameworks like [JUnit](#), and the `it()` calls are individual tests. So Mocha's `async/await` support is contingent on whether the `it()` function supports passing in a function that returns a promise.

To figure out whether Mocha supports promises, go to their documentation site, which has a [section on promises](#) pictured below.

WORKING WITH PROMISES

Alternately, instead of using the `done()` callback, you may return a [Promise](#). This is useful if the APIs you are testing return promises instead of taking callbacks:

```
beforeEach(function() {
  return db.clear()
    .then(function() {
      return db.save([tobi, loki, jane]);
    });
});

describe('#find()', function() {
  it('respond with matching records', function() {
    return db.find({ type: 'User' }).should.eventually.have.length(3);
  });
});
```

So Mocha does support `async/await` as a framework. Digging deeper, it turns out Mocha has enjoyed [rudimentary promise support since v1.8.0 in March 2014](#).

Below is an example of using Mocha with an async function.

Example 4.4

```
describe('async', function() {
  it('works', async function() {
    assert.equal(await Promise.resolve(42), 42);
  });
});
```

With Express

[Express](#) is a Node.js web framework used for building HTTP servers, like RESTful APIs and classic web applications. The key term here is that Express is primarily a framework, which means its async/await support is predicated on supporting functions that return promises. Below is an example showing how to use Express with synchronous functions.

Example 4.5

```
const app = require('express')();
app.get('*', (req, res) => res.send('Hello, World!'));
const server = app.listen(3000);
```


Since Mocha supports async/await out of the box, you might mistakenly assume that Express supports async/await too. That would be a mistake. However, it is an easy mistake to make because the below code works fine, even though the Express route handler function is now async.

Example 4.6

```
const app = require('express')();
app.get('*', async (req, res) => res.send('Hello, World!'));
const server = app.listen(3000);
```

Figuring out that Express doesn't fully support async/await is tricky because they don't explicitly say one way or the other in the docs. If you Google "express async/await", you'll end up at [an old GitHub issue](#) that's still open and implies that promises are not quite supported.

promises #2259



[jonathanong](#) opened this issue on Jul 23, 2014 · 60 comments



[jonathanong](#) commented on Jul 23, 2014

Member



now that promises are going mainstream, i'm trying to think of how to make express more async friendly. an idea is to use promises.

- `next()` now returns a promise
- if middleware returns a promise, that promise is resolved and propagated up `next()` s

Unfortunately, this GitHub issue isn't explicit about where the interaction between Express and async/await breaks down. The issue is what happens when your async function throws an error.

Example 4.7

```
const app = require('express')();  
// Process will crash, won't send a response  
app.get('*', async () => { throw Error('Oops!'); });  
const server = app.listen(3000);  
// This request will error out, but not with the 'Oops!' error  
const request = superagent.get('http://localhost:3000').end();
```

In older versions of Node.js, the superagent request above will hang. In newer versions of Node.js, the Express server process will crash because Express does **not** handle errors in promises.

Unfortunately, there is no way to make Express handle promises correctly without monkey-patching Express itself or using a wrapper function. Using a wrapper function is the better choice, because it is difficult to foresee all the potential consequences of replacing part of a framework's code. Below is an example of a wrapper function you can use to handle async function errors with Express.

Example 4.8

```
app.get('*', wrap(async () => { throw Error('Oops!'); }));  
const server = app.listen(3000);  
try {  
  await superagent.get('http://localhost:3000');  
} catch (error) {  
  // error.response.text === 'Oops!'  
}  
function wrap(fn) {  
  return (req, res) => fn(req, res).catch(error => {  
    if (res.headersSent) {  
      // `headersSent` means Express has already started sending  
      // an HTTP response, so we can't report the error via the  
      // HTTP response. Depending on your app's needs, you may  
      // choose to rethrow the error here, or ignore it.  
      return;  
    }  
    // Error occurred, set the response status to 500 (Internal  
    // Server Error) and send the error message.  
    res.status(500).send(error.message);  
  });  
}
```

Error handling often causes async/await integration issues. Make sure to check whether frameworks you use handle errors in async function correctly. Express is not the only framework that seems to support async functions at first glance but does not handle errors.

With MongoDB

Mocha is an example of a framework that fully supports async functions and Express is an example of a framework that does not support async functions. Let's take a look at an example of a Node.js library: the [official MongoDB driver for Node.js](#).

The MongoDB driver generally does not execute functions for you, with a few exceptions like callbacks. Apps built on the MongoDB driver primarily use the driver's functions for CRUD (create, read, update, delete) operations:

Example 4.9

```
const { MongoClient } = require('mongodb');
const uri = 'mongodb://localhost:27017/test';
const client = await MongoClient.connect(uri);
const db = client.db('test');

await db.collection('test').insertOne({ answer: 42 }); // Create
const doc = await db.collection('test').findOne(); // Read
console.log(doc.answer); // Prints "42"
```

For a library to support async/await, its functions must return thenables. The documentation shows that functions like `insertOne()` return a promise, as long as you don't specify a callback.

Node.js MongoDB Driver API

Search Documentations

Methods

aggregate
bulkWrite
count
createIndex
createIndexes
deleteMany
deleteOne
distinct
drop
dropAllIndexes
dropIndex
dropIndexes
ensureIndex
find
findAndModify
findAndRemove
findOne
findOneAndDelete
findOneAndReplace
findOneAndUpdate
geoHaystackSearch
group
indexes
indexExists
indexInformation
initializeOrderedBulkOp
initializeUnorderedBulkOp
insert
insertMany
insertOne
isCapped
listIndexes
mapReduce
options
parallelCollectionScan

insertOne(doc, options, callback) (Promise) lib/collection.js, line 399

Inserts a single document into MongoDB. If documents passed in do not contain the `_id` field, one will be added to each of the documents missing it by the driver, mutating the document. This behavior can be overridden by setting the `forceServerObjectId` flag.

Name	Type	Default	Description																																
doc	object		Document to insert.																																
options	object	null	Optional settings.																																
<table><thead><tr><th>Name</th><th>Type</th><th>Default</th><th>Description</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>w</td><td>number string</td><td>null</td><td>optional The write concern.</td></tr><tr><td>wtimeout</td><td>number</td><td>null</td><td>optional The write concern timeout.</td></tr><tr><td>j</td><td>boolean</td><td>false</td><td>optional Specify a journal write concern.</td></tr><tr><td>serializeFunctions</td><td>boolean</td><td>false</td><td>optional Serialize functions on any object.</td></tr><tr><td>forceServerObjectId</td><td>boolean</td><td>false</td><td>optional Force server to assign <code>_id</code> values instead of driver.</td></tr><tr><td>bypassDocumentValidation</td><td>boolean</td><td>false</td><td>optional Allow driver to bypass schema validation in MongoDB 3.2 or higher.</td></tr><tr><td>session</td><td>ClientSession</td><td></td><td>optional optional session to use for this operation</td></tr></tbody></table>				Name	Type	Default	Description	w	number string	null	optional The write concern.	wtimeout	number	null	optional The write concern timeout.	j	boolean	false	optional Specify a journal write concern.	serializeFunctions	boolean	false	optional Serialize functions on any object.	forceServerObjectId	boolean	false	optional Force server to assign <code>_id</code> values instead of driver.	bypassDocumentValidation	boolean	false	optional Allow driver to bypass schema validation in MongoDB 3.2 or higher.	session	ClientSession		optional optional session to use for this operation
Name	Type	Default	Description																																
w	number string	null	optional The write concern.																																
wtimeout	number	null	optional The write concern timeout.																																
j	boolean	false	optional Specify a journal write concern.																																
serializeFunctions	boolean	false	optional Serialize functions on any object.																																
forceServerObjectId	boolean	false	optional Force server to assign <code>_id</code> values instead of driver.																																
bypassDocumentValidation	boolean	false	optional Allow driver to bypass schema validation in MongoDB 3.2 or higher.																																
session	ClientSession		optional optional session to use for this operation																																
callback	Collection~insertOneWriteOpCallback	optional	The command result callback																																

Returns:
Promise if no callback passed

This means the MongoDB driver supports `async/await` from a library perspective. However, using the MongoDB driver with `async/await` lets you do more than just `await` on individual CRUD operations. `Async/await` opens up some elegant alternatives for streaming data using `for` loops.

Most database applications only read a few documents from the database at a time. But what happens if you need to read through millions of documents, more than can fit into your application's memory at one time? The MongoDB driver has a construct called a `cursor` that lets you iterate through huge data sets by only loading a fixed number of documents into memory at any one time.

Fundamentally, a MongoDB cursor is an object with a function `next()` that returns a promise which resolves to the next document, or `null` if there are no more documents. Without `async/await`, iterating through a cursor using `next()` required recursion. With `async/await`, you can iterate through a cursor using a `for` loop:

Example 4.10

```
await db.collection('Movie').insertMany([
  { title: 'Star Wars', year: 1977 },
  { title: 'The Empire Strikes Back', year: 1980 },
  { title: 'Return of the Jedi', year: 1983 }
]);
// Do not `await`, `find()` returns a cursor synchronously
const cursor = db.collection('Movie').find();
for (let v = await cursor.next(); v != null; v = await cursor.next()) {
  console.log(v.year); // Prints "1977", "1980", "1983"
}
```

That's right, you can `await` within a `for` loop's statements. This pattern is a more intuitive and performant way to iterate through a cursor than using recursion or streams.

With Redux

`React` is the most popular JavaScript UI framework, and `Redux` is the most popular state management framework for `React`. The two have become largely synonymous since `Redux`'s release in 2015. For the purposes of `async/await` integration, both `React` and `Redux` are frameworks.

First, let's look at how to integrate `Redux` with `async/await`. Below is an example of using `Redux` with synchronous functions in vanilla `Node.js`. `Redux` has 3 primary concepts: stores, actions, and reducers. A *store* tracks the state of your application, an *action* is an object representing some change going through the system, and a *reducer* is a synchronous function that modifies the application state object in response to actions.

Example 4.11

```
// A _store_ tracks state and lets you dispatch _actions_
const { createStore } = require('redux');
// A _reducer_ is a sync function that changes the state
const reducer = (state, action) => {
  switch(action.type) { // Redux calls reducer on every action
    case 'INCREMENT': return state + 1;
    case 'DECREMENT': return state - 1;
    default:          return state;
  };
};

// Create a new store and subscribe to state changes
const store = createStore(reducer, 0);
store.subscribe(() => console.log(store.getState()));

store.dispatch({ type: 'INCREMENT' }); // Prints "1"
store.dispatch({ type: 'INCREMENT' }); // Prints "2"
store.dispatch({ type: 'DECREMENT' }); // Prints "1"
```

Redux beginners might be wondering why you need to dispatch actions rather than modifying the state directly using the assignment operator. It's hard to watch for changes on a JavaScript value, so actions exist to make it easy to observe all changes going through the system. In particular, Redux makes it easy to update your React UI every time your state changes.

So can you use `async/await` with Redux? The answer is not cut and dried. Redux reducers **must** be synchronous, so you cannot use an async function as a reducer. However, there is nothing stopping you from dispatching actions in an async function.

Example 4.12

```
store.subscribe(() => console.log(store.getState()));

run().catch(error => _console.log(error.stack));
async function run() {
  store.dispatch({ type: 'INCREMENT' }); // Prints "1"
  await new Promise(resolve => setImmediate(resolve));
  store.dispatch({ type: 'INCREMENT' }); // Prints "2"
}
```

Calling `store.dispatch()` from an async function works, but doesn't toe the Redux party line. The [official Redux approach](#) is to use the `redux-thunk` package and action creators. An *action creator* is a function that returns a function with a single parameter, `dispatch`.

Example 4.13

```
const { createStore, applyMiddleware } = require('redux');
const thunk = require('redux-thunk').default;

// The 3rd arg to `createStore()` is composed Redux _middleware_
const store = createStore(reducer, 0, applyMiddleware(thunk));
store.subscribe(() => console.log(store.getState()));

// `redux-thunk` lets you dispatch _action creators_, which are
// potentially async functions that can `dispatch()` more actions
store.dispatch(async (dispatch) => {
  dispatch({ type: 'INCREMENT' }); // Prints "1"
  await new Promise(resolve => setImmediate(resolve));
  dispatch({ type: 'INCREMENT' }); // Prints "2"
});
```

`redux-thunk`'s purpose is inversion of control (IoC). In other words, you can define your action creator in a separate file and accept `dispatch()` as a parameter, so it doesn't have a hard-coded dependency on any one Redux store. Like AngularJS dependency injection, but for React.

With React

Redux is best with React. In the interest of keeping this example minimally bloated, this chapter will avoid JSX, Babel, etc. and just run vanilla React in Node.js. Below is an example of creating a component that shows "Hello, World!" in React:

Example 4.14

```
const { renderToString } = require('react-dom/server');
const { createElement, Component } = require('react');

class MyComponent extends Component {
  render() {
    return createElement('h1', null, 'Hello, World!');
  }
}

// <h1 data-reactroot="">Hello, World!</h1>
console.log(renderToString(createElement(MyComponent)));
```

At the time of this writing, the `render()` function **cannot** be async by default. An async `render()` will cause React to throw a "Objects are not valid as a React child" error. The [React Suspense](#) feature may change this, but that API is currently a work in progress.

React components also have [lifecycle hooks](#) that get called when something happens to the component. For example, `componentWillMount()` gets called when a component is about to get added to the DOM. The below script will also generate HTML that shows "Hello, World!" because `componentWillMount()` runs before the first `render()`.

Example 4.15

```
const { renderToString } = require('react-dom/server');
const { createElement, Component } = require('react');

class MyComponent extends Component {
  componentWillMount() {
    this.setState({ text: 'Hello, World!' });
  }
  render() { return createElement('h1', null, this.state.text); }
}

// <h1 data-reactroot="">Hello, World!</h1>
console.log(renderToString(createElement(MyComponent)));
```

The `componentWillMount()` hook does not handle async functions either. The below script will produce an empty `<h1>` and produce a "Can only update a mounting component" warning.

```
class MyComponent extends Component {
  async componentWillMount() {
    this.setState({ text: null });
    await new Promise(resolve => setImmediate(resolve));
    this.setState({ text: 'Hello, World!' });
  }
  render() { return createElement('h1', null, this.state.text); }
}

// <h1 data-reactroot=""></h1>
console.log(renderToString(createElement(MyComponent)));
```

In general, React doesn't handle async functions well. To use async functions with React, you should use a framework like Redux.