Table of Contents

2. With Express3. With MongoDB

4. With React and Redux

0. How To Use This Book	1
1. Async/Await: The Good Parts	4
1. Return Values	6
2. Error Handling	9
3. Retrying Failed Requests	12
4. Exercise 1: HTTP Request Loops	14
5. Exercise 2: Retrying Failed Requests	15
2. Promises From The Ground Up	16
1. Promise Chaining	19
2. catch() and Other Helpers	23
3. Exercise 1: Promise Chains in Action	26
4. Exercise 2: Promise.race ()	27
3. Async/Await Internals	28
1. await vs return	29
2. Concurrency	
3. Best Practices	
4. Async/Await in the Wild	
1. With Mocha	

How To Use This Book

Async/await is the single most valuable feature to land in the JavaScript language spec in the last 15 years. The event loop and asynchronous programming in general are exceptional for building GUIs and servers, but callbacks make error handling tedious and code hard to read. For example, when RisingStack asked Node.js developers what they struggled with in 2017, asynchronous programming topped the list.

What's hardest to get right with Node.js at the moment?



Async/await promises to make asynchronous code as clean and easy to read as synchronous code in most use cases. Tangled promise chains and complex user-land libraries like async can be replaced with for loops, if statements, and try/catch blocks that even the most junior of engineers can make sense of.

The following JavaScript from a 2012 blog post is a typical example of where code goes wrong with callbacks. This code works, but it has a lot of error handling boilerplate and deeply nested if statements that obfuscate the actual logic. Wrapping your mind around it takes a while, and proper error handling means copy/pasting if (err != null) into every callback.

```
function getWikipediaHeaders() {
  // i. check if headers.txt exists
 fs.stat('./headers.txt', function(err, stats) {
   if (err != null) { throw err; }
   if (stats == undefined) {
      // ii. fetch the HTTP headers
     var options = { host: 'www.wikipedia.org', port: 80 };
     http.get(options, function(err, res) {
        if (err != null) { throw err; }
        var headers = JSON.stringify(res.headers);
        // iii. write the headers to headers.txt
        fs.writeFile('./headers.txt', headers, function(err) {
          if (err != null) { throw err; }
          console.log('Great Success!');
        });
      });
   } else { console.log('headers already collected'); }
 });
}
```

Below is the same code using async/await, assuming that stat(), get(), and writeFile() are properly promisified.

```
async function getWikipediaHeaders() {
  if (await stat('./headers.txt') != null) {
    console.log('headers already collected');
  }
  const res = await get({ host: 'www.wikipedia.org', port: 80 });
  await writeFile('./headers.txt', JSON.stringify(res.headers));
  console.log('Great success!');
}
```

You might not think async/await is a big deal. You might even think async/await is a bad idea. I've been in your shoes: when I first learned about async/await in 2013, I thought it was unnecessary at best. But when I started working with generator-based coroutines (the 2015 predecessor to async/await), I was shocked at how quickly server crashes due to TypeError: Cannot read property 'x' of undefined vanished. By the time async/await became part of the JavaScript language spec in 2017, async/await was an indispensable part of my dev practice.

Just because async/await is now officially part of JavaScript doesn't mean the world is all sunshine and rainbows. Async/await is a new pattern that promises to make day-to-day development work easier, but, like any pattern, you need to understand it or you'll do more harm

than good. If your async/await code is a patchwork of copy/pasted StackOverflow answers, you're just trading callback hell for the newly minted async/await hell.

The purpose of this book is to take you from someone who is casually acquainted with promises and async/await to someone who is comfortable building and debugging a complex app whose core logic is built on async/await. This book is only 50 pages and is meant to be read in about 2 hours total. You may read it all in one sitting, but you would be better served reading one chapter at a time, studying the exercises at the end, and getting a good night's sleep in between chapters to really internalize the information.

This book is broken up into 4 chapters. Each chapter is 12 pages, including exercises at the end of each chapter that highlight key lessons from the chapter. The exercises require more thought than code and should be easy to answer within a few minutes.

The first 3 chapters are focused on promise and async/await fundamentals, and strive to avoid frameworks and outside dependencies. In particular, the first 3 chapters' code samples and exercises are meant to run in Node.js 8.x and the first 3 chapters will **not** cover transpilers. In the interest of providing realistic examples, the code samples will use the **superagent** module for making HTTP requests. The 4th chapter will discuss transpilers and integrating async/await with some common npm modules.

If you find any issues with the code samples or exercises, please report them at github.com/vkarpov15/mastering-async-await-issues.

Are you ready to master async/await? Let's get started!

Async/Await: The Good Parts

The async and await keywords are new additions to JavaScript as part of the 2017 edition of the language specification. The async keyword modifies a function, either a normal function() {} or an arrow function () => {}, to mark it as an async function. In an async function, you can use the await keyword to pause the function's execution until a promise settles. In the below function, the await keyword pauses the function's execution for approximately 1 second.

```
async function test() {
    // This function will print "Hello, World!" after 1 second.
    await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));
    console.log('Hello, World!');
}
test();
```

You can use the await keyword anywhere in the body of an async function. This means you can use await in if statements, for loops, and try/catch blocks. Below is another way to pause an async function's execution for about 1 second.

```
async function test() {
   // Wait for 100ms 10 times. This function also prints after 1 second.
   for (let i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
      await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 100));
   }
   console.log('Hello, World!');
}
test();
```

There is one major restriction for using await: you can only use await within the body of a function that's marked async. The following code throws a SyntaxError.

```
function test() {
  const p = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));
  // SyntaxError: Unexpected identifier
  await p;
}
test();
```

In particular, you can't use await in a closure embedded in an async function, unless the closure is also an async function. The below code also throws a SyntaxError.

```
const assert = require('assert');

async function test() {
  const p = Promise.resolve('test');
  assert.doesNotThrow(function() {
      // "SyntaxError: Unexpected identifier" because the above function
      // is **not** marked async. "Closure" = function inside a function
      await p;
  });
}
```

As long as you don't create a new function, you can use await underneath any number of for loops and if statements.

```
async function test() {
  while (true) {
    // Convoluted way to print out "Hello, World!" once per second by
    // pausing execution for 200ms 5 times
  for (let i = 0; i < 10; ++i) {
    if (i % 2 === 0) {
       await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 200));
    }
  }
  console.log('Hello, World!');
}
```

Return Values

You can use async/await for more than just pausing execution. The return value of await is the value the promise is fulfilled with. This means you can assign a variable to an asynchronously-computed value in code that looks synchronous.

```
Example 1.6
async function test() {
  // You can `await` on a non-promise without getting an error.
  let res = await 'Hello World!';
  console.log(res); // "Hello, World!"
  const promise = new Promise(resolve => {
    // This promise resolves to "Hello, World!" after 1s
    setTimeout(() => resolve('Hello, World!'), 1000);
  });
  res = await promise;
  // Prints "Hello, World!". `res` is equal to the value the
  // promise resolved to.
  console.log(res);
  // Prints "Hello, World!". You can use `await` in function params!
  console.log(await promise);
}
```

An async function **always** returns a promise. When you return from an async function, JavaScript resolves the promise to the value you returned. This means calling async functions from other async functions is very natural. You can await on the async function call and get the async function's "return value".

```
async function computeValue() {
  await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(), 1000));
  // "Hello, World" is the _resolved value_ for this function call
  return 'Hello, World!';
}

async function test() {
  // Prints "Hello, World!" after 1s. `computeValue` returns a promise!
  console.log(await computeValue());
}
```

This book will refer to the value you return from an async function as the resolved value. In computeValue above, "Hello, World!" is the resolved value, computeValue() still returns a

promise. This distinction is subtle but important: the value you return from an async function body is **not** the value that an async function call like computeValue() without await returns.

You can also return a promise from an async function. In that case, the promise the async function returns will be fulfilled or rejected whenever the resolved value promise is fulfilled or rejected. Below is another async function that fulfills to 'Hello, World!' after 1 second:

```
async function computeValue() {
    // The resolved value is a promise. The promise returned from
    // `computeValue()` will be fulfilled with 'Hello, World!'
    return new Promise(resolve => {
        setTimeout(() => resolve('Hello, World!'));
    }, 1000);
}
```

If you return a promise from an async function, the resolved value will still not equal the return value. The below example demonstrates that the resolvedValue promise that the function body returns is not the same as the return value from computeValue().

```
let resolvedValue = Promise.resolve('Hello, World!');
const computeValue = async () => resolvedValue;

async function test() {
    // No `await` below, so `returnValue` will be a promise
    const returnValue = computeValue();
    // `false`. The return value and resolved value are always different
    console.log(returnValue === resolvedValue);
}
```

Async/await beginners often mistakenly think they need to return a promise from an async function. They likely read that an async function always returns a promise and think they're responsible for returning a promise. An async function always returns a promise, but, like in example 1.9, JavaScript creates the returned promise for you.

```
async function computeValue() {
    // Adding `Promise.resolve()` below is unnecessary. It adds
    // perf overhead because you're creating an unnecessary promise.
    // "Unnecessary code is not as harmless as I used to think. It
    // sends the misleading signal that it's necessary." - Paul Graham
    return Promise.resolve('Hello, World!');
}
```

Error Handling

One of the most important properties of async/await is that you can use try/catch to handle asynchronous errors. Remember that a promise may be either fulfilled or rejected. When a promise p is fulfilled, JavaScript evaluates await p to the promise's value. What about if p is rejected?

```
async function test() {
  try {
    const p = Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
    // The below `await` throws
    await p;
  } catch (error) {
    console.log(err.message); // "Oops!"
  }
}
```

If p is rejected, await p throws an error that you can catch with a normal JavaScript try/catch. Note that the await statement is what throws an error, **not** the promise instantiation.

This try/catch behavior is a powerful tool for consolidating error handling. The try/catch block above can catch synchronous errors as well as asynchronous ones. Suppose you have code that throws a TypeError: cannot read property 'x' of undefined error:

```
async function test() {
  try {
    const bad = undefined;
    bad.x;
    const p = Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
    await p;
} catch (error) {
    // "cannot read property 'x' of undefined"
    console.log(err.message);
}
}
```

In callback-based code, you had to watch out for synchronous errors like TypeError separately from asynchronous errors. This lead to a lot of server crashes and red text in Chrome consoles, because discipline doesn't scale.

Consider using a callback-based approach instead of async/await. Suppose you have a black-box function test() that takes a single parameter, a callback. If you want to ensure you catch every possible error, you need 2 try/catch calls: one around test() and one around callback().

You also need to check whether test() called your callback with an error. In other words, every single async operation needs 3 distinct error handling patterns!

```
Example 1.13
function testWrapper(callback) {
  try {
    // There might be a sync error in `test()`
    test(function(error, res) {
       // `test()` might also call the callback with an error
       if (error) {
         return callback(error);
       }
       // And you also need to be careful that accessing `res.x` doesn't
       // throw **and** calling `callback()` doesn't throw.
      try {
         return callback(null, res.x);
       } catch (error) {
         return callback(error);
       }
    });
  }
}
```

When there's this much boilerplate for error handling, even the most rigorous and disciplined developers end up missing a spot. The result is uncaught errors, server downtime, and buggy user interfaces. Below is an equivalent example with async/await. You can handle the 3 distinct error cases from example 1.12 with a single pattern.

```
async function testWrapper() {
  try {
    // `try/catch` will catch sync errors in `test()`, async promise
    // rejections, and errors with accessing `res.x`.
    const res = await test();
    return res.x;
} catch (error) {
    throw error;
}
```

Let's take a look at how the throw keyword works with async functions now that you've seen how try/catch works. When you throw in an async function, JavaScript will reject the returned promise. Remember that the value you return from an async function is called the resolved

value. Similarly, this book will refer to the value you throw in an async function as the *rejected* value.

```
async function computeValue() {
    // `err` is the "rejected value"
    const err = new Error('Oops!');
    throw err;
}

async function test() {
    try {
      const res = await computeValue();
      // Never runs
      console.log(res);
    } catch (error) {
      console.log(error.message); // "Oops!"
    }
}
```

Remember that the computeValue() function call itself does not throw an error in the test()
function. The await keyword is what throws an error that you can handle with try/catch. The below code will print "No Error" unless you uncomment the await block.

```
async function computeValue() {
   throw new Error('Oops!');
};

async function test() {
   try {
     const promise = computeValue();
     // With the below line commented out, no error will be thrown
     // await promise;
     console.log("No Error");
} catch (error) {
     console.log(error.message); // Won't run
}
```

Just because you can try/catch around a promise doesn't necessarily mean you should. Since async functions return promises, you can also use .catch():

```
async function computeValue() {
   throw new Error('Oops!');
};

async function test() {
   let err = null;
   await computeValue().catch(_err => { err = _err; });
   console.log(err.message);
}
```

Both try/catch and catch() have their place. In particular, catch() makes it easier to centralize your error handling. A common async/await novice mistake is putting try/catch at the top of every single function. If you want a common handleError() function to ensure you're handing all errors, you're better off using catch().

```
Example 1.18

// If you find yourself doing this, stop!
async function fn1() {
   try {
     /* Bunch of logic here */
} catch (err) {
     handleError(err);
}
}

// Do this instead
async function fn2() {
   /* Bunch of logic here */
}

fn2().catch(handleError);
```

Retrying Failed Requests

Let's tie together loops, return values, and error handling to handle a challenge that's painful with callbacks: retrying failed requests. Suppose you had to make HTTP requests to an unreliable API.

With callbacks or promise chains, retrying failed requests requires recursion, and recursion is less readable than the synchronous alternative of writing a for loop. Below is a simplified implementation of a getWithRetry() function using callbacks and the superagent HTTP client.

```
function getWithRetry(url, numRetries, callback, retriedCount) {
  retriedCount = retriedCount || 0;
  superagent.get(url).end(function(error, res) {
    if (error) {
      if (retriedCount >= numRetries) { return callback(error); }
      return getWithRetry(url, numRetries, callback, retriedCount + 1);
    }
    return callback(null, res.body);
});
}
```

Recursion is subtle and tricky to understand relative to a loop. Plus, the above code ignores the possibility of sync errors, because the try/catch spaghetti highlighted in example 1.13 would make this example unreadable. In short, this pattern is both brittle and cumbersome.

With async/await, you don't need recursion and you need one try/catch to handle sync and async errors. The async/await implementation is built on for loops, try/catch, and other constructs that should be familiar to even the most junior of engineers.

```
async function getWithRetry(url, numRetries) {
  let lastError = null;
  for (let i = 0; i < numRetries; ++i) {
    try {
        // Note that `await superagent.get(url).body` does **not** work
        const res = await superagent.get(url);
        // Early return with async functions works as you'd expect
        return res.body;
    } catch (error) {
        lastError = error;
     }
    }
    throw lastError;
}</pre>
```

More generally, async/await makes executing async operations in series trivial. For example, let's say you had to load a list of blog posts from an HTTP API and then execute a separate HTTP request to load the comments for each blog post. This example uses the excellent JSONPlaceholder API that provides good test data.

```
async function run() {
  const root = 'https://jsonplaceholder.typicode.com';
  const posts = await getWithRetry(`${root}/posts`, 3);
  for (const { id } of posts) {
    const comments =
      await getWithRetry(`${root}/comments?postId=${id}`, 3);
    console.log(comments);
  }
}
```

If this example seems trivial, that's good, because that's how programming should be. The JavaScript community has created an incredible hodge-podge of tools for executing asynchronous tasks in series, from async.waterfall() to Redux sagas to zones to co. Async/await makes all of these libraries and more unnecessary. Do you even need Redux middleware anymore?

This isn't the whole story with async/await. This chapter glossed over numerous important details, including how promises integrate with async/await and what happens when two asynchronous functions run simultaneously. Chapter 2 will focus on the internals of promises, including the difference between "resolved" and "fulfilled", and explain why promises are perfectly suited for async/await.

Exercise 1: HTTP Request Loops

The purpose of this exercise is to get comfortable with using loops and if statements with async/await. You will need to use the fetch() API to get a list of blog posts on the codebarbarian.com, and then execute a separate fetch() to get the raw markdown content for each blog post.

Below are the API endpoints. The API endpoints are hosted on Google Cloud Functions at https://us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net.

• /posts gets a list of blog posts. Below is an example post:

```
{ "src":"./lib/posts/20160304_circle_ci.md",
   "title":"Setting Up Circle CI With Node.js",
   "date":"2016-03-04T00:00:00.000Z",
   "tags":["NodeJS"],
   "id":51 }
```

/post?id=\${id} gets the markdown content of a blog post by its id property. The above blog post has id = 0, so you can get its content from this endpoint: https://us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net/post?id=0. Try opening this URL in your browser, the output looks like this:

```
{"content":"*This post was featured as a guest blog post..."}
```

Loop through the blog posts and find the id of the first post whose content contains the string "async/await hell".

Below is the starter code. You may copy this code and run it in Node.js using the node-fetch npm module, or you may complete this exercise in your browser on CodePen at http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-1

```
const root = 'https://' +
   'us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net';

async function run() {
   // Example of using `fetch()` API
   const res = await fetch(`${root}/posts`);
   console.log(await res.json());
}

run().catch(error => console.error(error.stack));
```

Exercise 2: Retrying Failed Requests

The purpose of this exercise is to implement a function that retries failed HTTP requests using async/await and try/catch to handle errors. This example builds on the correct answer to exercise 1.1, but with the added caveat that every other fetch() request fails.

For this exercise, you need to implement the getWithRetry() function below. This function
should fetch() the url, and if the request fails this function should retry the request up to
numRetries times. If you see "Correct answer: 76", congratulations, you completed this exercise.

Like exercise 1.1, you can complete this exercise locally by copying the below code and using the node-fetch npm module. You can also complete this exercise in your browser on CodePen at the following url: http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-2.

```
async function getWithRetry(url, numRetries) {
  return fetch(url).then(res => res.json());
}
// Correct answer for exercise 1.1 below
async function run() {
 const root = 'https://' +
    'us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net';
  const posts = await getWithRetry(`${root}/posts`, 3);
  for (const post of posts) {
    console.log(`Fetch post ${post.id}`);
    const content = await getWithRetry(`${root}/post?id=${post.id}`, 3);
    if (content.content.includes('async/await hell')) {
      console.log(`Correct answer: ${post.id}`);
      break:
   }
  }
}
run().catch(error => console.error(error.stack));
// This makes every 2nd `fetch()` fail
const _fetch = fetch;
let calls = 0;
(window || global).fetch = function(url) {
  const err = new Error('Hard-coded fetch() error');
  return (++calls % 2 === 0) ? Promise.reject(err) : _fetch(url);
}
```

Promises From The Ground Up

Async/await is built on top of promises. Async functions return promises, and await only pauses an async function when it operates on a promise. In order to grok the internals of async/await, you need to understand how promises work from base principles. JavaScript promises didn't become what they are by accident, they were carefully designed to enable paradigms like async/await.

In the ES6 spec, a promise is a class whose constructor takes an executor function. Instances of the Promise class have a then() function. Promises in the ES6 spec have several other properties, but for now you can ignore them. Below is a skeleton of a simplified Promise class.

```
class Promise {
    // `executor` takes 2 parameters, `resolve()` and `reject()`.
    // The executor function is responsible for calling `resolve()`
    // or `reject()` when the async operation succeeded or failed
    constructor(executor) {}

    // `onFulfilled` is called if the promise is fulfilled, and
    // `onRejected` if the promise is rejected. For now, you can
    // think of 'fulfilled' and 'resolved' as the same thing.
    then(onFulfilled, onRejected) {}
}
```

A promise is a state machine with 3 states:

- pending: the initial state, means that the underlying operation is in progress
- fulfilled: the underlying operation succeeded and has an associated value
- rejected: the underlying operation failed and has an associated error

A promise that is not pending is called *settled*. In other words, a settled promise is either fulfilled or rejected. Once a promise is settled, it **cannot** change state. For example, the below promise will remain fulfilled despite the reject() call. Once you've called resolve() or reject() once, calling resolve() or reject() is a no-op. This detail is pivotal for async/await, because how would await work if a promise changed state from 'FULFILLED' to 'REJECTED' after an async function was done?

```
const p = new Promise((resolve, reject) => {
  resolve('foo');
  // The below `reject()` is a no-op. A fulfilled promise stays
  // fulfilled with the same value forever.
  reject(new Error('bar'));
});
```

Below is a diagram showing the promise state machine.



With this in mind, below is a first draft of a promise constructor that implements the state transitions. Note that the property names state, resolve, reject, and value used below are non-standard. Actual ES6 promises do not expose these properties publicly, so don't try to use p.value or p.resolve() with a native JavaScript promise.

```
Example 2.4
class Promise {
  constructor(executor) {
    this.state = 'PENDING';
    this.chained = []; // Not used yet
    this.value = undefined;
    try {
      // Reject if the executor throws a sync error
      executor(v => this.resolve(v), err => this.reject(err));
    } catch (err) { this.reject(err); }
  }
  // Define `resolve()` and `reject()` to change the promise state
  resolve(value) {
    if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
    this.state = 'FULFILLED';
    this.value = value;
  }
  reject(value) {
    if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
    this.state = 'REJECTED';
    this.value = value;
  }
}
```

The promise constructor manages the promise's state and calls the executor function. You also need to implement the then()) function that let clients define handlers that run when a promise is settled. The then()) function takes 2 function parameters, onFulfilled()) and onRejected()). A promise must call the onFulfilled()) callback if the promise is fulfilled, and onRejected()) if the promise is rejected.

For now, then() is simple, it push onFulfilled() and onRejected() onto an array chained. Then, resolve() and reject() will call them when the promise is fulfilled or rejected. If the promise is already settled, the then() function will queue up onFulfilled() or onRejected() to run on the next tick of the event loop using setImmediate().

```
Example 2.5
class Promise {
  // Constructor is the same as before, omitted for brevity
  then(onFulfilled, onRejected) {
    const { value, state } = this;
    // If promise is already settled, enqueue the right handler
    if (state === 'FULFILLED') return setImmediate(onFulfilled, value);
    if (state === 'REJECTED') return setImmediate(onRejected, value);
    // Otherwise, track `onFulfilled` and `onRejected` for later
    this.chained.push({ onFulfilled, onRejected });
  }
  resolve(value) {
    if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
    this.state = 'FULFILLED';
    this.value = value;
    // Loop through the `chained` array and find all `onFulfilled()`
    // functions. Remember that `.then(null, onRejected)` is valid.
    this.chained.
      filter(({ onFulfilled }) => typeof onFulfilled === 'function').
      // The ES6 spec section 25.4 says `onFulfilled` and
      // `onRejected` must be called on a separate event loop tick
      forEach(({ onFulfilled }) => setImmediate(onFulfilled, value));
  }
  reject(value) {
    if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
    this.state = 'REJECTED';
    this.value = value;
    this.chained.
      filter(({ onRejected }) => typeof onRejected === 'function').
      forEach(({ onFulfilled }) => setImmediate(onFulfilled, value));
  }
}
```

This Promise class, while simple, represents most of the work necessary to integrate with async/await. The await keyword doesn't explicitly check if the value it operates on is instanceof Promise, it only checks for the presence of a then() function. In general, any object that has a then() function is called a *thenable* in JavaScript. Below is an example of using the custom Promise class with async/await.

```
async function test() {
   // Works, even though this is a custom `Promise` class. All you
   // need is a `then()` function to integrate with `await`.
   const res = await new Promise(resolve => {
     setTimeout(() => resolve('Hello'), 50);
   });
   assert.equal(res, 'Hello');
}
```

Promise Chaining

One key feature that the promise implementation thus far does not support is promise chaining. Promise chaining is a common pattern for keeping async code flat, although it has become far less useful now that generators and async/await have widespread support. Here's how the getWikipediaHeaders() function from the introduction looks with promise chaining:

```
Example 2.7
function getWikipediaHeaders() {
  return stat('./headers.txt').
    then(res => {
      if (res == null) {
        // If you return a promise from `onFulfilled()`, the next
        // `then()` call's `onFulfilled()` will get called when
        // the returned promise is fulfilled...
        return get({ host: 'www.wikipedia.org', port: 80 });
      }
      return res;
    }).
    then(res => {
      // So whether the above `onFulfilled()` returns a primitive or a
      // promise, this `onFulfilled()` gets the headers object
      return writeFile('./headers.txt', JSON.stringify(res.headers));
    }).
    then(() => console.log('Great success!')).
    catch(err => console.err(err.stack));
}
```

While async/await is a superior pattern, promise chaining is still useful, and still necessary to complete a robust promise implementation. In order to implement promise chaining, you need to make 3 changes to the promise implementation from example 2.5:

- 1. The then() function needs to return a promise. The promise returned from then() should be resolved with the value returned from onFulfilled()
- 2. The resolve() function needs to check if value is a thenable, and, if so, transition to fulfilled or rejected only when value transitions to fulfilled or rejected.
- 3. If resolve() is called with a thenable, the promise needs to stay 'PENDING', but future calls to resolve() and reject() must be ignored.

The first change, improving the then() function, is shown below. There are two other changes: onFulfilled() and onRejected() now have default values, and are wrapped in a try/catch.

```
Example 2.8
then(_onFulfilled, _onRejected) {
  // `onFulfilled` is a no-op by default...
  if (typeof _onFulfilled !== 'function') _onFulfilled = (v => v);
  // and `onRejected` just rethrows the error by default
  if (typeof _onRejected !== 'function') {
    _onRejected = err => { throw err; };
  }
  return new Promise((resolve, reject) => {
    // Wrap `onFulfilled` and `onRejected` for two reasons:
    // consistent async and `try/catch`
    const onFulfilled = res => setImmediate(() => {
      try {
        resolve(_onFulfilled(res));
      } catch (err) { reject(err); }
    });
    const onRejected = err => setImmediate(() => {
      try {
        // Note this is `resolve()`, **not** `reject()`. The `then()`
        // promise will be fulfilled if `onRejected` doesn't rethrow
        resolve(_onRejected(err));
      } catch (err) { reject(err); }
    });
    if (this.state === 'FULFILLED') return onFulfilled(this.value);
    if (this.state === 'REJECTED') return onRejected(this.value);
    this.chained.push({ onFulfilled, onRejected });
  });
}
```

Now then() returns a promise. However, there's still work to be done: if onFulfilled() returns a promise, resolve() needs to be able to handle it. In order to support this, the resolve() function will need to use then() in a two-step recursive dance. Below is the expanded resolve() function that shows the 2nd necessary change.

```
Example 2.9
resolve(value) {
  if (this.state !== 'PENDING') return;
  if (value === this) {
    return this.reject(TypeError(`Can't resolve promise with itself`));
  }
  // Is `value` a thenable? If so, fulfill/reject this promise when
  // `value` fulfills or rejects. The Promises/A+ spec calls this
  // process "assimilating" the other promise (resistance is futile).
  const then = this._getThenProperty(value);
  if (typeof then === 'function') {
    try {
      return then.call(value, v => this.resolve(v),
        err => this.reject(err));
    } catch (error) {
      return reject(error);
    }
  }
  // If `value` is **not** a thenable, transition to fulfilled
  this.state = 'FULFILLED';
  this.value = value;
  this.chained.
    forEach(({ onFulfilled }) => setImmediate(onFulfilled, value));
}
// Helper to wrap getting the `then()` property because the Promises/A+
// spec has 2 tricky details: you can only access the `then` property
// once, and if getting `value.then` throws the promise should reject
_getThenProperty(value) {
  if (value == null) return null;
  if (!['object', 'function'].includes(typeof value)) return null;
  try {
    return value.then;
  } catch (error) {
    // Unlikely edge case, Promises/A+ section 2.3.3.2 enforces this
    this.reject(error);
  }
}
```

Finally, the third change, ensuring that a promise doesn't change state once resolve() is called with a thenable, requires changes to both resolve() and the promise constructor. The motivation for this change is to ensure that p2 in the below example is fulfilled, **not** rejected.

```
const p1 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(resolve, 50));
const p2 = new Promise(resolve => {
  resolve(p1);
  throw new Error('Oops!'); // Ignored because `resolve()` was called
});
```

One way to achieve this is to create a helper function that wraps this.resolve() and this.reject() that ensures resolve() and reject() can only be called once.

```
Example 2.11
// After you call `resolve()` with a promise, extra `resolve()` and
// `reject()` calls will be ignored despite the 'PENDING' state
wrapResolveReject() {
  let called = false;
  const resolve = v => {
    if (called) return;
    called = true;
    this.resolve(v);
  };
  const reject = err => {
    if (called) return;
    called = true;
    this.reject(err);
  };
  return { resolve, reject };
}
```

Once you have this _wrapResolveReject() helper, you need to use it in resolve():

```
resolve(value) { // Beginning omitted for brevity
  if (typeof then === 'function') {
    // If `then()` calls `resolve()` with a 'PENDING' promise and then
    // throws, the `then()` promise will be fulfilled like example 2.10
    const { resolve, reject } = this._wrapResolveReject();
    try {
       return then.call(value, resolve, reject);
    } catch (error) { return reject(error); }
  }
}
// End omitted for brevity
```

Also, you need to use <u>_wrapResolveReject()</u> in the constructor itself:

```
constructor(executor) { // Beginning omitted for brevity
    // This makes the promise class handle example 2.10 correctly...
    const { resolve, reject } = this._wrapResolveReject();
    try {
        executor(resolve, reject);
        // because if `executor` calls `resolve()` and then throws,
        // the below `reject()` is a no-op
    } catch (err) { reject(err); }
}
```

With all these changes, the complete promise implementation, which you can find at bit.ly/simple-promise, now passes all 872 test cases in the Promises/A+ spec. The Promises/A+ spec is a subset of the ES6 promise spec that focuses on then() and the promise constructor.

catch() and Other Helpers

The ES6 promise spec is a superset of the Promises/A+ spec that adds several convenient helper methods on top of the then() function. The most commonly used helper is the catch() function. Like the synchronous catch() function typically appears at the end of a promise chain to handle any errors that occurred.

The catch() function may sound complex, but it is just a thin layer of syntactic sugar on top of then(). The catch() is so sticky because the name catch() is a powerful metaphor for explaining what this helper is used for. Below is the full implementation of catch().

```
catch(onRejected) {
  return this.then(null, onRejected);
}
```

Why does this work? Recall from example 2.8 that then()) has a default onRejected() argument that rethrows the error. So when a promise is rejected, subsequent then()) calls that only specify an onFulfilled()) handler are skipped.

```
const originalError = new Error('Oops!');
const p = new Promise((_, reject) => reject(originalError)).
  then(() => console.log('This will not print')).
  then(() => console.log('Nor will this')).
  // The `onFulfilled()` handlers above get skipped. Each of the
  // `then()` promises above reject with the original error
  catch(err => assert.ok(err === originalError));
```

There are several other helpers in the ES6 promise spec. The Promise.resolve() and Promise.reject() helpers are both commonly used for testing and examples, as well as to convert a thenable into a fully fledged promise.

```
// Yes, this is actually a thenable. When it comes to promises, the
// letter of the law overrules the spirit of the law.
const thenable = { then: () => { throw new Error('Oops!'); } };
// But `thenable` doesn't have `catch()`, so use `Promise.resolve()`
// to convert it to a promise and use `catch()`
const p = Promise.resolve(thenable).
catch(err => console.log(err.message)); // Prints "Oops!"
```

Below is the implementation of resolve() and reject().

```
static resolve(v) {
   return new Promise(resolve => resolve(v));
}
static reject(err) {
   return new Promise((resolve, reject) => reject(err));
}
```

The Promise.all() function is another important helper, because it lets you execute multiple promises in parallel and await on the result. The below code will run two instances of the run() function in parallel, and pause execution until they're both done.

```
Example 2.18
async function run() {
  await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(resolve, 50));
  console.log('run(): running');
  await new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(resolve, 50));
  console.log('run(): done');
}
console.log('Start running');
await Promise.all([run(), run()]);
console.log('Done');
// Start running
// run(): running
// run(): running
// run(): done
// run(): done
// Done
```

Promise.all() is the preferred mechanism for executing async functions in parallel. To execute async functions in series, you would use a for loop and await on each function call.

Promise.all() is just a convenient wrapper around calling then() on an array of promises and waiting for the result. Below is a simplified implementation of Promise.all():

```
Example 2.19
static all(arr) {
  let remaining = arr.length;
  if (remaining === 0) return Promise.resolve([]);
  // `result` stores the value that each promise is fulfilled with
  let result = [];
  return new Promise((resolve, reject) => {
    // Loop through every promise in the array and call `then()`. If
    // the promise fulfills, store the fulfilled value in `result`.
    // If any promise rejects, the `all()` promise rejects immediately.
    arr.forEach((p, i) => p.then(
      res => {
        result[i] = res;
        --remaining || resolve(result);
      },
      err => reject(err)));
  });
}
```

There is one more helper function defined in the ES6 spec, Promise.race(), that will be an exercise. Other than race() and some minor details like support for subclassing, the promise implementation in this chapter is compliant with the ES6 spec. In the next chapter, you'll use your understanding of promises to monkey-patch async/await and figure out what's happening under the hood.

The key takeaways from this journey of building a promise library from scratch are:

- A promise can be in one of 3 states: pending, fulfilled, or rejected. It can also be locked in to match the state of another promise if you call resolve(promise).
- Once a promise is settled, it stays settled with the same value forever
- The then() function and the promise constructor are the basis for all other promise functions. The catch(), all(), resolve(), and reject() helpers are all syntactic sugar on top of then() and the constructor.

But before you start tinkering with the internals of async/await, here's 2 exercises to expand your understanding of promises.

Exercise 1: Promise Chains in Action

The purpose of this exercise is to get comfortable with using promise chaining. While promise chaining is less useful now that async/await exists, promise chaining is a useful complement to async/await in much the same way that forEach() and filter() are useful for chaining array transformations.

Using the same endpoints as Exercise 1.1, which are explained below, find the blog post entitled "Unhandled Promise Rejections in Node.js", load its content, and find the number of times the phrase "async/await" appears in the **content**.

Below are the API endpoints. The API endpoints are hosted on Google Cloud Functions at https://us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net

• /posts gets a list of blog posts. Below is an example post:

```
{ "src":"./lib/posts/20160304_circle_ci.md",
   "title":"Setting Up Circle CI With Node.js",
   "date":"2016-03-04T00:00:00.000Z",
   "tags":["NodeJS"],
   "id":51 }
```

/post?id=\${id} gets the markdown content of a blog post by its id property. The above blog post has id = 0, so you can get its content from this endpoint: https://us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net/post?id=0. Try opening this URL in your browser, the output looks like this:

```
{"content":"*This post was featured as a guest blog post..."}
```

Below is the starter code. You may copy this code and run it in Node.js using the node-fetch npm module, or you may complete this exercise in your browser on CodePen at http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-21

```
const root = 'https://' +
   'us-central1-mastering-async-await.cloudfunctions.net';

function run() {
   // Example of using `fetch()` API
   return fetch(`${root}/posts`).
      then(res => res.json()).
      then(posts => console.log(posts[0]));
}
run().catch(error => console.error(error.stack));
```

Exercise 2: Promise.race()

The ES6 promise spec has one more helper method that this book hasn't covered yet:

Promise.race(). Like Promise.all(), Promise.race() takes in an array of promises, but

Promise.race() returns a promise that resolves or rejects to the same value that the first

promise to settle resolves or rejects to. For example:

```
const p1 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(1), 50));
const p2 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(2), 250));
// Prints "1", because `p1` resolves first
Promise.race([p1, p2]).then(res => console.log(res));
```

Implement a function race(), that, given an array of promises, returns a promise that resolves or rejects as soon as one of the promises in the array settles, with the same value.

Hint: remember, once a promise is settled, calling resolve() or reject() is a no-op.

Below is the starter code. You may copy this code and complete this exercise in Node.js, or you may complete it in your browser on CodePen at http://bit.ly/async-await-exercise-22.

```
function race(arr) {
  return Promise.reject(new Error('Implement this function'));
}
// The below are tests to help you check your `race()` implementation
test1().then(test2).then(() => console.log('Done!')).
  catch(error => console.error(error.stack));
function test1() {
  const p1 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(1), 10));
  const p2 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(2), 100));
  const f = v => { if (v !== 1) throw Error('test1 failed!'); };
  return race([p1, p2]).then(f);
function test2() {
  const error = new Error('Expected error');
  const p1 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(1), 100));
  const p2 = new Promise(resolve => setTimeout(() => resolve(2), 100));
  const p3 = new Promise((resolve, reject) => reject(error));
  return race([p1, p2, p3]).then(
    () => { throw Error('test2: `race()` promise must reject'); },
   e => { if (e !== error) throw Error('test2: wrong error'); });
}
```

_ _ _ .

Async/Await Internals

Promises are the fundamental tool for integrating with async/await. Now that you've seen how promises work from the ground up, it's time to go from the micro to the macro and see what happens when you await on a promise. Even though async functions are flat like synchronous functions, they're as asynchronous as the most callback-laden banana code under the hood.

As you might have already guessed, await makes JavaScript call then() under the hood.

```
const p = {
  then: onFulfilled => {
    // Prints "then(): function () { [native code] }"
    console.log('then():', onFulfilled.toString());
    // Only one entry in the stack:
    // Error
    // at Object.then (/examples/chapter3.test.js:8:21)
    console.log(new Error().stack);
    onFulfilled('Hello, World!');
  }
};
console.log(await p); // Prints "Hello, World!"
```

The await keyword causes JavaScript to pause execution until the next iteration of the event loop. In the below code, the console.log() after the await runs after the ++currentId code, even though the increment is in a callback. The await keyword causes the async function to pause and then resume later.

```
const startId = 0;
let currentId = 0;
process.nextTick(() => ++currentId);
const p = {
  then: onFulfilled => {
    console.log('then():', currentId - startId); // "then(): 1"
    onFulfilled('Hello, World!');
  }
};
console.log('Before:', currentId - startId); // "Before: 0"
await p;
console.log('After:', currentId - startId); // "After: 1"
```

Notice that the then() function runs on the next tick, even though it is fully synchronous. This means that await always pauses execution until at least the next tick, even if the thenable is not async. The same thing happens when the awaited promise is rejected. If you call onRejected(err), the await keyword throws err in your function body.

```
Example 3.3
const startId = 0;
let currentId = 0;
process.nextTick(() => ++currentId);
const p = {
  then: (onFulfilled, onRejected) => {
    console.log('then():', currentId - startId); // "then(): 1
    return onRejected(Error('Oops!'));
  }
};
try {
  console.log('Before:', currentId - startId); // "Before: 0"
  await p;
  console.log('This does not print');
} catch (error) {
  console.log('After:', currentId - startId); // "After: 1"
}
```

await vs return

Recall that return in an async function resolves the promise that the async function returns. This means you can return a promise. What's the difference between await and return? The obvious answer is that, when you await on a promise, JavaScript pauses execution of the async function and resumes later, but when you return a promise, JavaScript finishes executing the async function. JavaScript doesn't "resume" executing the function after you return.

The obvious answer is correct, but has some non-obvious implications that tease out how await works. If you wrap await p in a try/catch and p is rejected, you can catch the error. What happens if you instead return p?

```
async function test() {
  try {
    return Promise.reject(new Error('Oops!'));
  } catch (error) { return 42; }
}
// Prints "Oops!"
test().then(v => console.log(v), err => console.log(err.message));
```

Notice that try/catch does **not** catch the rejected promise that you returned. Why does only await give you a catchable error when the promise is rejected? Because await throws the error when it resumes execution. When you return a promise, JavaScript stops executing your async function body and kicks off the resolve() process on the async function promise.

On the other hand, when you await on a promise, JavaScript pauses executing your async function and resumes once the promise is settled. When JavaScript resumes your async function after await, it throws an error if the awaited promise rejected.