FILED

2015 FEB 17 AH 11: 43

SONYA KRASKI COUNTY CLERK SNOHOMISH CO. WASH



## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff.

VS.

ALAN J. SMITH

Defendant.

13-1-01546-8

STATE'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM

THIS MATTER is scheduled to come before the Honorable Judge Linda C. Krese for sentencing on Monday, February 23, 2015 at 1:30 p.m.

## I. STANDARD RANGE

This Court convicted the defendant of Murder in the First Degree on February 4, 2015. The Court heard the better part of three weeks of testimony prior to reaching this verdict. Murder in the First Degree is a level XV offense. The defendant has no prior criminal history, thus having an offender score of "0". The standard range sentence for defendant is 240 to 320 months. However, the Court also found that defendant was armed with a deadly weapon at the time of offense. This finding adds 24 months to

SENTENCING MEMO - PAGE 1 OF 4.

ORIGINAL

Snohomish County Prosecuting Attorney - Criminal Division 3000 Rockefeller Ave., WS 504 Everett, Washington 98201-4046 (425) 388-3333 Fax: (425) 388-3572 125

1

3

5

7

6

8

10

11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

both the top and the bottom of the standard range, for an actual standard range of 264 to 344 months. The Court also found that this was a crime of Domestic Violence. This offense also carries 36 months of community custody.

## II. STATE'S RECOMMENDATION

The State's recommendation is that the Court sentence defendant to the high end of the actual standard range, 344 months. In looking at the facts of this case it is hard to imagine a more calculated, arrogant and selfish act of violence. This murder was no "heat of the moment" event. Defendant chose a time when Susann would least likely be able to defend herself, and when it was least likely that anyone would witness any part of the act and attempt to intervene. Defendant took multiple steps to decrease the chances that he would leave any forensic evidence for police to find. Further, defendant used his own children as props in his story to police, holding them forth as proof he did not venture out into the night and "kill the mother of his children".

Defendant's arrogance manifested itself in a belief that he was smarter than anyone else, and that if he just planned well enough he would get away with murder. It would appear, from all the evidence in the case, that defendant approached this vicious attack like he would have any problem at work; that is, with enough thought and engineering anything could be managed. The manner in which defendant planned the attack, and the manner in which defendant carried himself in the days immediately following the discovery of Susann's body in the bathtub all demonstrate this. Defendant believed he had thought of everything-coming under the cover of darkness, wearing protective gear, disposing of the various items used in the attack, and having a story he believed could SENTENCING MEMO - PAGE 2 OF 4.

not be disproved. Ultimately, however, defendant was undone both by various items he did not account for, and by his own unraveling when his "post-Susann" life did not turn out as he had anticipated.

The degree to which defendant's selfishness will impact the lives of Susann's family and his children is hard to overstate. In an effort to keep his children from going with their mother to her native Germany, defendant went to great lengths to defame a woman whom all evidence indicates devoted her life to her children and church.

Because he feared that the children might be moved to Germany at some point, defendant has essentially orphaned them. The grotesque manner in which defendant treated Susann both during the attack and afterwards by leaving her in the bathtub, speaks volumes as to the disdain he had for anyone's desires or wishes other than his own. The horrific scene that defendant left in blood at the home where his children lived would seem clear evidence defendant thought of no one but himself.

The cold-blooded viciousness of the attack on Susann cannot be exaggerated. The Court saw the damage defendant inflicted on Susann, so it will not be belabored here. However, the location of the injuries, the number of injuries she suffered, the use of two different weapons, and the placement of her in the bathtub once she was completely helpless demonstrates a single mindedness of purpose on defendant's part. The pain and horror that Susann likely suffered in her final moments is disturbing to contemplate.

## IV. CONCLUSION

For all of the above reasons the State would request that the Court impose the high end of the actual standard range of 344 months. The State would also ask that the SENTENCING MEMO - PAGE 3 OF 4.

Court impose 36 months of community custody after defendant's release, and impose the standard financial conditions. The State would also ask the Court to order the defendant to have no contact with Kristine Hentschel, Johanna and Werner Hentschel for life. The State would also ask that the Court order no contact with either Noelani Smith and Felix Smith until they reach the age of 18, respectively. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 17 day of Tels CRAIG C. MATHESON, #18556 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

SENTENCING MEMO - PAGE 4 OF 4.