




validateHOT - an R package for holdout task validation and market simulations

Joshua Benjamin Schramm¹ and Marcel Lichters¹

¹ Otto von Guericke University of Magdeburg, Germany

DOI:

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Submitted:

Published:

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC-BY](#)).

Summary

validateHOT is an R package that provides functions to both validate a validation/holdout task and run market simulations for results obtained in a (adaptive) choice-based conjoint analysis (hereafter ACBC and CBC, respectively) and maximum difference scaling (hereafter MaxDiff) using, for example, ChoiceModelR ([Sermas 2022](#)) or Sawtooth's Lighthouse Studio.

Statement of need

Preference measurement techniques' (e.g., (A)CBC or MaxDiff) aim is to predict behavior ([Green and Srinivasan 1990](#)). Hence, it is essential to ensure that the collected data is valid and predicts outside tasks (i.e., the model has external validity) well. The easiest way for testing validity is by including validation tasks (e.g., [Orme 2015](#)), which are fixed tasks (i.e., same across participants) and not used for estimating the part-worth utilities (raw logit utilities) in hierarchical Bayes (HB) estimation. Despite their importance, practitioners don't always include them ([Yang, Toubia, and Jong 2018](#)). This is unsatisfactory given the fact that the model is used to estimate market shares which poses the basis for relevant marketing decisions.

validateHOT combines both validation and market simulation in one package and has three key advantages, it (1) helps opting for the best model and (2) runs relevant market simulations that help finding the right product combinations or assortments, and (3) is an open source tool which helps especially researchers reporting accompanied scripts for their research papers.

State of the field in R

Other packages provide functions to calculate validation metrics, however, these are not always specified for individual part-worth utilities. Metrics ([Hamner and Frasco 2018](#)), for example, provide functions to run validation metrics such as *mean absolute error*, *root mean squared error*, or the five metrics of the confusion matrix. However, to get the output of, for example, Sawtooth Software or ChoiceModelR ([Sermas 2022](#)) into the right format, the user needs some data wrangling. The package conjoint ([Bak and Bartlomowicz 2012](#)) provides functions that are most similar to validateHOT's ones, but no validation functions are included and the package focuses on classical conjoint analysis, thus it is limited when applying more common conjoint methods. logitr ([Helveston 2023](#)) provides market simulations tools, however, no validation metrics such as mean hit probability or hit rate. [Figure 1](#) shows a comparison of validateHOT's functions with current R packages. To the best of our knowledge, a package that converts raw utility scores into validation metrics or running a variety of marketing simulations (especially TURF) is missing.

Key functionalities	validateHOT (v 1.0.0)	Metrics (v 0.1.4)	caret (v 6.0-94)	conjoint (v 1.4)	phileentropy (v 0.7.0)	logitr (v 1.1.1)	mlogit (v 1.1-1)	support.bws (v 0.4-6)
Confusion matrix	✓	✓	✓					
Creating design matrix				✓		✓	✓	✓
Creating holdout / market scenario	✓			✓		✓		
Estimate utilities				✓		✓	✓	✓
Estimate WTP						✓		
Hit rate	✓							
Kullblack-Leibler-Divergence	✓				✓			
MAE, MedAe, RMSE	✓	✓	✓					
Market Shares	✓		✓	✓		✓		✓
Mean hit probability	✓							
TURF	✓							

Figure 1: Comparison of validateHOT's function to existing R packages

validateHOT is introduced with data estimated with Lighthouse Studio using effects-coding for creating the design matrix. It, however, can easily be used with data estimated with ChoiceModelR (Sermas 2022), bayesm (Rossi 2023), or STAN (2023), if used with similar settings (ChoiceModelR, for example, automatically implements effects-coding).

Key functions

validateHOT's functions can be categorized into four main components, see Table 1. To bring the data into the right format for some functions, the `createHOT()` function can be applied, which creates each alternatives' total utility by applying the additive utility model.

Table 1: Overview of validateHOT's main components and their corresponding functions

Validation metrics	Confusion matrix	Market simulations	Rescaling scores
hitrate()	accuracy()	freqassort()	att_imp()
kl()	f1()	marksim()	prob_scores()
mae()	precision()	reach()	zc_diffs()
medae()	recall()	turf()	zero_anchored()
mhp()	specificity()		
rmse()			

Typical workflow

We provide the workflow for a MaxDiff study and a CBC study with only part-worth coded attributes (the vignette provides detailed examples for other CBCs and an ACBC).

MaxDiff

Creating Holdout Task / Market Scenario

After running the HB estimation ([Allenby and Ginter 1995](#)), the **raw** utility scores have to be exported and read into an *R* data frame. Assuming you included a validation task with seven alternatives plus the no-buy alternative (**none**). To create this validation task in *R*, we use the **createHOT()** function.

```
HOT <- createHOT(  
  data = MaxDiff,  
  id = "ID",  
  none = "none",  
  prod.levels = list(3, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18),  
  method = "MaxDiff",  
  choice = "HOT",  
  varskeep = "Group"  
)
```

Validating Holdout Task

To get the relevant validation metrics that are reported in conjoint studies, for example, hit rate or mean hit probability, we provide the data, the alternatives in the validation task (**opts**), and the actual choice (**choice**). The function can be implemented using the tidyverse ([Wickham et al. 2019](#)) logic.

```
hitrate(  
  data = HOT,  
  opts = c(Option_1:None),  
  choice = choice  
) %>%  
  round(2)
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 5  
##       HR      se chance    cor      n  
##   <dbl> <dbl>   <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>  
## 1  55.7  5.98   12.5    39    70
```

Market Simulations

We also introduce two functions for market simulations, namely **marksim()** and **turf()**. In the following example, the market share is calculated according to the multinomial logit model ([McFadden 1974](#)).

```
marksim(  
  data = HOT,  
  opts = c(Option_1:None),  
  method = "sop"  
) %>%  
  mutate_if(is.numeric, round, 2)
```

```
## # A tibble: 8 x 5  
##   Option      mw      se lo.ci up.ci  
##   <chr>    <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>  
## 1 Option_1 18.3   4.12 10.2  26.4  
## 2 Option_2 11.3   2.69  6.05 16.6  
## 3 Option_3  4.08   1.49  1.16  6.99  
## 4 Option_4 32.5   4.45 23.8  41.2
```

```
## 5 Option_5 1.93 0.92 0.13 3.72
## 6 Option_6 10.4 2.68 5.12 15.6
## 7 Option_7 5.58 1.75 2.15 9.01
## 8 None 16.0 3.29 9.53 22.4
```

Next, `turf()`, a “product line extension model” (Miaoulis, Parsons, and Free 1990, 29), is a tool to find the perfect assortment that creates the highest reach and is especially powerful for MaxDiff studies (Chrzan and Orme 2019, 108). To optimize the search for the optimal assortment, we also include the arguments `fixed`, to define alternatives that have to be part of the assortment, and `prohib`, to prohibit certain item combinations in the assortment (see the vignette for more details and how to apply `turf()` with data obtained using a likert scale).

For the following example, let’s assume that the user conducted an anchored MaxDiff analysis with 10 items (`opts`) and now wants to find the best assortment with a size of 3 items. The user uses the anchor (no-buy alternative) as a threshold.

```
turf(
  data = MaxDiff,
  opts = c(Option_01:Option_10),
  none = none,
  size = 3,
  approach = "thres"
) %>%
  head(., n = 5) %>%
  mutate_if(is.numeric, round, 2) %>%
  t() %>%
  as.data.frame() %>%
  slice(-1) %>%
  rename_all(., ~ paste0("Combo ", c(1:5)))
```

```
##           Combo 1 Combo 2 Combo 3 Combo 4 Combo 5
## reach          82.86  81.43  81.43  81.43  80.00
## freq           1.46   1.57   1.43   1.41   1.44
## Option_01         1       1       1       1       1
## Option_02         0       0       1       0       0
## Option_03         0       1       0       0       0
## Option_04         1       0       1       1       0
## Option_05         0       0       0       0       0
## Option_06         1       1       0       0       1
## Option_07         0       0       0       0       0
## Option_08         0       0       0       0       1
## Option_09         0       0       0       0       0
## Option_10         0       0       0       1       0
```

CBC

Creating Holdout Task / Market Scenario

The setup is almost the same, only the arguments `prod.levels`, `coding`, and `method` are different or new, respectively.

```
HOT_CBC <- createHOT(
  data = CBC,
  id = "ID",
  none = "none",
  prod.levels = list(c(4, 9, 19), c(8, 12, 17), c(5, 10, 17)),
```

```
coding = c(0, 0, 0),  
method = "CBC",  
choice = "HOT"  
)
```

Rescaling Scores

We can also display the attributes importance scores. Therefore, we need to define the attribute levels as well as the coding of the attributes.

```
att_imp(  
  data = CBC,  
  attrib = list(  
    c(4:8),  
    c(9:13),  
    c(14:20)  
  ),  
  coding = c(rep(0, 3)),  
  res = "agg"  
) %>%  
  mutate_if(is.numeric, round, 2)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3 x 3  
##   Option      mw    std  
##   <chr>    <dbl> <dbl>  
## 1 att_imp_1  35.7  11.3  
## 2 att_imp_2  27.7  10.0  
## 3 att_imp_3  36.6   9.32
```

Availability

validateHOT is available on [Github](#).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank [Sawtooth Software](#) for their great transparent documentation.

References

- Allenby, Greg M., and James L. Ginter. 1995. "Using Extremes to Design Products and Segment Markets." *Journal of Marketing Research* 32 (4): 392–403. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224379503200402>.
- Bak, A., and T. Bartlomowicz. 2012. "Conjoint Analysis Method and Its Implementation in Conjoint r Package," 239–48. http://keii.ue.wroc.pl/pracownicy/tb/Bak_A_and_Bartlomowicz_T_Conjoint_analysis_method_and_its_implementation_in_conjoint_R_package.pdf.
- Chrzan, Keith, and Bryan K. Orme. 2019. *Applied MaxDiff: A Practitioner's Guide to Best-Worst Scaling*. Provo, UT: Sawtooth Software.
- Green, Paul E., and V. Srinivasan. 1990. "Conjoint Analysis in Marketing: New Developments with Implications for Research and Practice." *Journal of Marketing* 54 (4): 3–19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299005400402>.
- Hamner, Ben, and Michael Frasco. 2018. "Metrics: Evaluation Metrics for Machine Learning." <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Metrics>.

- Helveston, John Paul. 2023. "{Logitr}: Fast Estimation of Multinomial and Mixed Logit Models with Preference Space and Willingness-to-Pay Space Utility Parameterizations" 105. <https://doi.org/10.18637/joss.v105.i10>.
- McFadden, Daniel. 1974. "Conditional Logit Analysis of Qualitative Choice Behavior." In *Frontiers in Econometrics*, edited by Paul Zarembka, 105–42. Economic Theory and Mathematical Economics. New York: Academic Press.
- Miaoulis, George, Henry Parsons, and Valerie Free. 1990. "Turf: A New Planning Approach for Product Line Extensions." *Marketing Research* 2 (1): 28–40.
- Orme, Bryan K. 2015. "Including Holdout Choice Tasks in Conjoint Studies."
- Rossi, Peter. 2023. "Bayesm: Bayesian Inference for Marketing/Micro-Econometrics." <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=bayesm>.
- Sermas, Ryan. 2022. "ChoiceModelR: Choice Modeling in r." <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ChoiceModelR>.
- Stan Development Team. 2023. "{RStan}: The {r} Interface to {Stan}." <https://mc-stan.org/>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D'Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Grolemond, et al. 2019. "Welcome to the {Tidyverse}" 4: 1686. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686>.
- Yang, Liu (Cathy), Olivier Toubia, and Martijn G. de Jong. 2018. "Attention, Information Processing, and Choice in Incentive-Aligned Choice Experiments." *Journal of Marketing Research* 55 (6): 783–800. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022243718817004>.