## CSCI3136

## Assignment 7

Instructor: Alex Brodsky

Due: 9:00am, Monday, July 16, 2018

In this assignment we will expand the Scheme Evaluator to handle variables. This assignment adds three additional operations to the ones from the previous assignment. All three define, let, and let\* bind variable names ids to values. The syntax for define is

```
( define id atom )
```

For example, the expressions

```
( define foo 42 )
( define bar ( * 7 13 ) )
```

bind foo to the value 42 and bind bar to 91, which is the evaluation of ( \* 7 13 ). Specifically, define takes two parameters, an *id*, *which is not evaluated*, and an expression, whose evaluation is then bound to the *id*. The evaluation of a define expression adds the binding to the current reference environment and yields the *id*. The example above yields foo and bar.

The syntax for let is

```
(let ((id atom)...) atom ...)
```

where the . . . denotes one or more of the preceding item. For example, the expressions:

evaluate to 55, 22, and 84, respectively. Specifically, let takes 2 or more arguments. The first argument is a list of bindings where each binding consists of an *id* and an expression. The remaining arguments are expressions that are evaluated using the bindings defined in the first argument. The evaluation of the let expression is the evaluation of the last argument. The bindings are only active inside the let expression.

In the example above, the first let expression binds x to 42 and then evaluates ( + x 13 ) to yield 55.

The second let expression binds x to 42 and y to 13, evaluates ( + x y ) and then evaluates the next expression, which is also a let expression. This expression binds z to 7 and then evaluates the expressions ( + x 13 ) and ( - x y z ). The evaluation of the latter expression is also the evaluation of the overall expression.

Lastly, the third expression binds x to the evaluation of ( \* 2 21 ) and evaluates the next two expression. The first expression is also a let expression, which rebinds x to 7, and evaluates ( + x 13 ). Then, the second expression ( + x x ) is evaluated, using the outer binding of x. Thus, the overall evaluation yields 84.

The syntax for let\* is the same as for let. The only difference is that the as the first argument is being evaluated, all bindings that have already been evaluated are also visible to the next binding. The following expression evaluates to 85, becaus x binds to 42 and y binds to x + 1, which is 43.

```
( let* ( ( x 42 ) ( y ( + x 1 ) ) )
    ( + x y )
```

1. [10 marks] A recursive descent parser, called uscm\_eval.py, (written in Python) that parses and evaluates programs using the above context-free grammar is provided as part of this assignment. However, this parser does not implement let, let\* or define. Furthermore, the evaluation phase has been decoupled from the parsing phase. That is, uscm\_eval.py first parses the input, creating a list of expressions, and then evaluates each of the expressions in a separate pass.

Consider the Scheme binding operations define, let, let\*, and letrec that were discussed in class. For each of these operations determine whether it is necessary to separate the parsing and the evaluation into two distinct passes in order to implement each of these operations. Justify each of your answers.

- 2. [5 marks] Suppose we implemented bindings in uscm\_eval.py by using a single global referencing environment. Would this implementation correctly evaluate Scheme expressions? If yes, justify why. If no, give an example of a Scheme expression that could not be properly evaluated.
- 3. [35 marks] Using the provided evaluator uscm\_eval.py, or your own version of an evaluator (implemented in a language of your choice) implement the let, let\* and define operations. Note: the provided implementation will parse let, let\* and define expressions, but does not evaluate them. So, all you need to do is modify the evaluation phase of the evaluator (unless you are creating your own).

A set of test cases is provided for you to test your evaluator. A test script test.sh is provided to run the tests. Since the choice of language is up to you, you must provide a standard script called runme.sh to run your interpreter, just like in the previous assignment.

To submit this part of the assignment please use Brightspace. Feel free to submit both the written work and the program electronically. However, if you wish, you can submit the written work in hard copy in the drop boxes. Remember, you need to include a script called runme.sh that will let the marker run your code.

## CSCI3136: Assignment 7

Summer 2018

Student Name	Login ID	Student Number	Student Signature

	Mark	
Question 1		/10
Question 2		/5
Question 3		/35
Functionality	/20	
Structure	/15	
Total		$\sqrt{50}$

## **Comments:**

Assignments are due by 9:00am on the due date before class and must include this cover page. The programming portion of the assignment *must* be submitted via Brightspace. The written portion may be submitted via Brightspace or into the assignment boxes on the second floor of the Goldberg CS Building (by the elevators).

Plagiarism in assignment answers will not be tolerated. By submitting their answers to this assignment, the authors named above declare that its content is their original work and that they did not use any sources for its preparation other than the class notes, the textbook, and ones explicitly acknowledged in the answers. Any suspected act of plagiarism will be reported to the Facultys Academic Integrity Officer and possibly to the Senate Discipline Committee. The penalty for academic dishonesty may range from failing the course to expulsion from the university, in accordance with Dalhousie Universitys regulations regarding academic integrity.