

Better Sorting Algorithms

Josh Wilcox (jw14g24@soton.ac.uk)

March 8, 2025

Contents

1	Correctness of Sorting Algorithms	2
1.1	Proving correctness of insertion sort	2
2	Comparison Based sorting algorithms	2
3	Decision Trees	2
3.1	Time complexity with decision trees	2
4	Lower bound on comparison based sorting	2
4.1	Calculating the lower bound	2
5	Merge Sort	3
5.1	Recursion Algorithm	3
5.2	Merging Sub Arrays	3
5.3	Properties of Merge Sort	3
5.4	Improving Merge sort with Insertion Sort	3
6	Quick Sort	4
6.1	High level idea	4
6.2	Selecting a Pivot	4
6.3	Time complexity	4

1 Correctness of Sorting Algorithms

- A sorting algorithm is correct when:
 - Its output is in non-decreasing order
 - The items in the output are a permutation of the items in the input

1.1 Proving correctness of insertion sort

At the start of each iteration of the **for** loop, the sub-array consists of all items originally in the list but in sorted order. The for loop ends when the list is fully sorted

2 Comparison Based sorting algorithms

- A comparison based sorting algorithm can **only** gain information about the items in the input sequence by performing *pairwise comparisons*
 - **Pairwise Comparison** - A single query asking if $a_i < a_j$ (between exactly two elements)

3 Decision Trees

- Decision trees are a way to visualise **many algorithms**
- Shows a series of decisions made during an algorithm
- For sorting algorithms:
 - Shows what the algorithm does at every comparison

3.1 Time complexity with decision trees

- The time taken to complete a task is the *depth* of the decision tree
- **Worst Case** - depth of deepest leaf
- **Best case** - depth of shallowest leaf
- **Average Case** - average depth of leaves

4 Lower bound on comparison based sorting

- Any sorting algorithm with *pairwise comparisons* must have a leaf in the decision tree **for every permutation of sorting the list**
 - Each leaf gives a different possible ordering of elements
 - There are $n!$ possible permutations
- Remember the decision tree is binary (yes or no):

$$\text{Height of Decision Tree for Sorting} \geq \log_2(n!)$$

4.1 Calculating the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned}\log_2(n!) &= \log_2(1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{n}{2} \cdot \dots \cdot n) \\ &= \log_2(1) + \dots + \log_2(\frac{n}{2}) + \dots + \log_2(n) \\ &\geq \log_2(\frac{n}{2}) + \dots + \log_2(n) \\ &\geq \frac{n}{2} \log_2(\frac{n}{2}) = \frac{n}{2} \log_2(n) - \frac{n}{2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\log_2(n!) = \Omega(n \log_2(n))$$

5 Merge Sort

5.1 Recursion Algorithm

```
1 public void MergeSort(a, start, end) {  
2     if (start < end){  
3         mid = floor((start + end) / 2) // Middle element index  
4         MergeSort(a, start, mid) // Left half  
5         MergeSort(a, mid+1, end) // Right half  
6         Merge(a, start, mid, end) // Merge the split up arrays  
7     }  
8 }
```

5.2 Merging Sub Arrays

- The merge operation takes two sorted sub-arrays and combines them into a single sorted array.
- Assume the two sub-arrays are **left** and **right**.
- Initialize three pointers: **i** for the **left** sub-array, **j** for the **right** sub-array, and **k** for the position in the merged array.
- Compare the elements at **left[i]** and **right[j]**:
 - If **left[i]** is smaller, place **left[i]** in the merged array at position **k** and increment **i** and **k**.
 - Otherwise, place **right[j]** in the merged array at position **k** and increment **j** and **k**.
- Repeat the comparison until one of the sub-arrays is exhausted.
- Copy any remaining elements from the non-exhausted sub-array into the merged array.

5.3 Properties of Merge Sort

- It is **stable**
- It is **not** *in-place*
- Merging is quick
 - At most $n - 1$ comparisons to merge two subarrays
- Recurrence Relation:
$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(n)$$
 - Therefore **worst case** complexity: $\mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$

5.4 Improving Merge sort with Insertion Sort

- Insertion sort is very efficient for short arrays
- Therefore, if a sub-array size falls below a certain threshold, it is better to switch to insertion sort to sort the array instead of splitting even more
- These can then be merged in the same way

6 Quick Sort

6.1 High level idea

- Separate the array into two parts depending on whether the elements are smaller or greater than some **pivot**
- Recurse on both parts until the array is sorted

6.2 Selecting a Pivot

- Pivot is the best when the partitions it creates are of the same length
- Possible options:
 - Choosing first element in array
 - Choose the median of first, middle, and last element of array
 - * Pivot is somewhat more likely to be the median of the whole array
 - * Therefore, split in two parts more often
 - Choose the pivot randomly

6.3 Time complexity

- Partitioning takes $\Theta(n)$ operations
- When the pivot element is the smallest in each partition, we would need $n - 1$ partitioning rounds
 - This means partitioning is $\mathcal{O}(n)$
- **Worst-Case complexity** - $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$
- If the pivot is the median value, the array is split in half each time therefore we have $\Omega(\log(n))$ partitions
 - Therefore on **average**, Quicksort is $\mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$