Heap:

- implemented as a complete binary tree in an array
- for node at index i
- * left child is at 2i
- * right child is at 2i + 1
- * parent is at $\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor$ (floor)
- insert: put at the last node and up-heap if needed. $O(\log(n))$
- remove min: replace the root node with the last node, and downheap if needed. $O(\log(n))$
- up-heap: compare a node with it's parent. swap if needed. Repeat until you no longer need to swap, or at the root node
- * down-heap: same as up-heap, except going down. choice of left or right child is arbitrary.
- merge two heaps (of equal height) with an extra element e: just connect e as the new root node, then down-heap if needed
- bottom-up heap construction:
- * treat array as complete binary tree
- * down-heap starting at second-to-bottom row (bottom row is leaves, therefore satisfies heap property)
- * continue down-heaping until you get up to the root node
- * not every node can be down-heaped the full h, because they started at different points
- this is why it's O(n)

Priority Queue:

- Comparison:
 - removeMin implementation insert unsorted list O(1)O(n)O(1)O(n)sorted list $O(\log(n))$ $O(\log(n))$ heap
- priority queue sort: make the input into a priority queue (inplace) then remove each from the queue back into the list
- * complexity is O(n * insert + n * removeMin)
- PQ sorts: selection, insertion, heap
- * selection: unordered list
- * insertion: ordered list
- * heap: heap (duh)

Set:

- ordered
- union: $A \cup B$: all elements in either A or B
- intersection: $A \cap B$: all elements in both A and B
- subtraction (relative complement): $A \setminus B$: all elements in A and not in B
- can be easily implemented using a binary tree

Quick Select:

- algorithm to find the kth smallest element
- algorithm that's the same as quicksort, except it only recurses onto the section containing the element we're looking for
- recurrence relation: $T(n) = O(n) + T(\frac{n}{2})$
- * O(n) for the partitioning step
- * this solves to O(2n) which is O(n)

Sorting:

slow sorts:

- selection, insertion, bubble
- all $O(n^2)$ average
- insertion and bubble are O(n) best-case
- selection is $O(n^2)$ always

Heap Sort:

- $O(n \log(n))$ all cases
- in-place
- can use the bottom-up heap building to be faster, but the removal stage still limits it to $O(n \log(n))$
- non-recursive

Merge Sort:

- $\bullet \ T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$
- * splitting is O(1), merging is O(n)
- always $O(n \log(n))$
- not in-place

recursive

Quick Sort:

- $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(n)$
- recursive
- pivot is randomly selected
- $O(n \log(n))$ average and best, $O(n^2)$ worst
- * worst-case: pick worst element every time. This makes it T(n) = T(1) + T(n+1) + O(n).
- * Unlikely for random numbers

Graphs:

- path: sequence alternating vertexes and edges. must begin and end on a vertex.
- * simple path has all vertexes and edges unique (does not cross itself)
- cycle: a loop of nodes
- * simple if it doesn't intersect itself (except beginning/end)
- * non-simple otherwise
- total in-degree of a graph is equal to it's total out degree
- total degree of graph is double the number of edges
- connected graph: there is a path between every pair of vertexes
- tree: connected graph with no cycles
- spanning tree: tree that includes all vertexes in graph
- TODO expound this

Graph Implementation:			
	Edge	Adjacency	Adjacency
	List	List	Matrix
space	O(n+m)	O(n+m)	$O(n^2)$
endVertexes()	O(1)	O(1)	O(1)
opposite()			
incidentOn(v)			
v.incidentEdges()	O(m)	O(deg(v))	O(n)
v.adjacentTo()	O(m)	O(min(O(n)
		$\deg(v),\deg(w))$	
insertEdge(u,v,w)	O(1)	O(1)	O(1)
eraseEdge(e)			
insertVertex(x)	O(1)	O(1)	$O(n^2)$
eraseVertex(v)	O(m)	O(deg(v))	$O(n^2)$

adjacency matrix is usually a bad choice

DFS: Depth First Search:

- visits each child node before visiting adjacent nodes
- can be used for maze traversal
- * each position is a vertex, edges are places you can get to
- similar to preorder tree traversal
- labels vertexes visited or not, labels edges as discovery or back
- * no cross edges because those would have been discovery edges
- O((m+n)) for adjacency list?
- DFS and BFS are both good for finding connected components

```
DFS(G: (V,E), s: starting v):
   v.label = VISITED
   for e in v.incidentEdges():
       if e.label == UNEXPLORED:
          w = e.opposite(v)
if w.label = UNEXPLORED:
    e.label = DISCOVERY
    DFS(G, w)
else:
    e.label = BACK
// then repeat for other connected components
```

BFS: Breadth First Search:

- visits all nodes in order of their distance from the starting node. (distance in hops, not counting edges)
- labels vertexes to keep track of whether visited
- labels edges as discovery or cross edges
 - * no back edges because those would have been discovery edges (assuming undirected)
- uses a (non-priority) queue to keep track of the edges to check
- O((m+n)) for adjacency list

```
BFS(G: (V,E), s: starting v):
   Q.enqueue(s)
   while !Q.empty():
      v = Q.dequeue()
     v.label = VISITED
for e in v.incidentEdges():
        if e.label == UNEXPLORED:
    w = e.opposite(v)
            if w.label = UNEXPLORED:
e.label = DISCOVERY
w.label = VISITED
Q.enqueue(w)
            else:
e.label = CROSS
// then repeat for other connected components
```

MST: Minimum Spanning Tree:

- minimum total weight spanning tree
- partition property: if you partition the MST into two subsets, there must be exactly one edge connecting the two, and it must be the minimum possible edge connecting the two subsets

Prim-Jarnik's Algorithm:

- $O((m+n)\log(n))$ for adjacency list
- start at a given (or arbitrary) node as our MST
- put all the vertexes in a PQ keyed with their shortest edge connecting them to the MST
- \bullet add the closest vertex v to the MST
- \bullet update the vertexes adjacent to v with their new distance (use PQ.replaceKey())
- repeat until the PQ is empty Prim_Jarnik(G: (V,E), s): for each v in V:
 D[v] = inf, P[v] = NULL
 PQ.add(v, key=D[v]) // O(n)while (!PQ.empty()): u = PQ.removeMin() for e in u.edges(): // O(m)z = e.opposite(u)if e.weight() < D[z]:</pre> D[z] = e.weight(); P[z] = uPQ.replaceKey(z,D[z]) // O(log(n))

Kurskal's Algorithm:

- $O((m+n)\log(n))$ for adjacency list
- initialize a PQ with all edges keyed by weight
- make clouds of mini MST's by adding each minimum edges
- * adding edge joining non-cloud vertex to cloud is easy
- * if an edge connects two vertexes in the same cloud, ignore it
- * if an edge connects two vertexes in different clouds, add it and merge the clouds (merging these clouds is complex depending on the implementation)
- does not start at any particular node
- cluster merging: merge(u, v) is $O(min(|C_u|, |C_v|))$. We assume that merging doubles the size of the sets, therefore we preform max $\log(n)$ merges
- complexity:

```
* PQ: m removals: O(m \log(n)); cluster merges: O(n \log(n))
 * total: O((m+n)\log(n))
while ( P.size() < V.size() - 1 ):
   (u,v) = PQ.removeMin()</pre>
                                               // O(m)
                                                // O(log(n))
     if C(u) != C(v): // nodes from different clusters • strongly connected components: subsets of a graph which are themselves strongly connected.
       MergeClusters( C(u), C(v) )
// ^- O(min(|C(u)|, |C(v)|))
```

SSSP: Single Source Shortest Path:

- SSSP is a spanning tree where the path from every node to the root is the shortest possible path.
- * not necessarily the same thing as the MST
- a subpath of a shortest path is itself a shortest path
- if there is no path between two vertexes, we generally represent the path length as ∞
- Dijkstra's Algorithm
- * $O((m+n)\log(n))$ for adjacency list
- (m+n) because it must look at every node
- $\log(n)$ for the PQ operations

- * assumes: graph is connected, all edges are undirected, all weights are ≥ 0
- * is a greedy algorithm
- * very similar to Prim-Jarnik's Algorithm, main difference is that we care about the distance to the root node, not the distance to
- * store all distances in a map keyed with the vertex; map < dist, vertex > D[]
- * also store vertexes in a PQ, keyed with their total distance form the root (also stored in D[])
- * edge relaxation:
 - for vertex v_0 not yet in the cloud: check if edge (v_b, v_0) provides a shorter path than the current edge (v_a, v_0)
 - if $D[v_b] + (v_b, v_0).weight < D[v_a] + (v_a, v_0).weight$: use the new edge (v_b, v_0) to connect v_0 to the cloud, and update $D[v_0]$ accordingly
- * does not work for negative edge weights because it is greedy, doesn't go back and check for the ways negative weighs could

```
dijkstras(G: (V,E) P: ParentMap, s: start vertex):
  D[v] = infinity for each v in V
 D[s] = 0
 P[s] = NULL
Q = PQ of all v in V keyed with D[v]
  while !Q.empty():
                                     // O(n)
    u = Q.removeMin()
                                     // O(log(n))
    for e in u.edges(): // relax each adj. edge
      z = e.opposite(u)
      if D[u] + e.weight() < D[z]:
        D[z] = D[u] + e.weight()
        Q.updateKey(z)
                                     // O(log(n))
        P[z] = u // update the parent of this node
```

• Bellman-Ford Algorithm

- * O(nm)
- * works for negative edge-weights (therefore must assume directed graph, otherwise there are negative weight cycles)
- * doesn't work if there are negative weight cycles, but can be extended to detect them
- * iteration i finds all shortest paths of length i, therefore the last iteration finds the maximum length shortest-path, of length
- detect negative weight cycles: relax again at the end. If any edge can be relaxed, there is a shortest path with length |V|, and therefore there must be a negative weight cycle

```
bellman_ford(G: (V,E), s: starting vertex, P):
  D[v] = infinity for each v in V
  D[s] = 0; P[s] = NULL
  for i = 1:(V.size() - 1):
    for each e in E:
// relax edge
      u = e.source(); z = e.target()
      if D[u] + e.weight() < D[z]:
        D[z] = D[u] + e.weight()
        P[z] = u
```

Directed Graphs:

- also just called digraph
- graph is **strongly connected** if every vertex can be reached from every other vertex
- determine if graph G is strongly connected:
- * do DFS on G. if there are any nodes not visited, graph is not strongly connected.
- * G' = G with all directed edges reversed
- * do DFS on G'. if there are any nodes not visited, graph is not strongly connected.
- * otherwise, graph is strongly connected
- Directed Acyclic Graph: directed graph with no directed cycles
- DFS and BFS make sense on a digraph. MST doesn't really make as much sense on a digraph