

SMART CONTRACT AUDIT



AUGUST 29, 2023

Prepared by

Francis K.

Approved by

Chain Audit Team



INTRODUCTION

| AUDITING FIRM | CHAIN AUDIT | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| CLIENT FIRM | BNB ALL STARS | | |
| METHODOLOGY | MANUAL CODE REVIEW AND AUTOMATED ANALYSIS | | |
| LENGUAGE | SOLIDITY | | |
| CONTRACT | 0x964C71Be189bc93A75aCF05179B5899558C58DC | | |
| BLOCKCHAIN | BINANCE SMART CHAIN | | |
| CENTRALIZATION | YES | | |
| WEBSITE | https://bnb-allstars.com/ | | |

You can check the authenticity of this audit on our website.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Objective and Scope

The purpose of this audit was to evaluate and ensure the integrity, security, and functionality of the "BNBAllStars" smart contract developed in Solidity for the Ethereum platform. A thorough review of the code was conducted, encompassing both manual and automated testing, with the aim of identifying and mitigating potential vulnerabilities and risks associated with the contract.

TestNet:

https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0x964C71Be189bc93A75aCF05179B58995 58C58DC6

Methodology

A combined review approach was adopted:

- Manual Review: Our team of Solidity experts carried out a detailed and systematic review of the source code, paying particular attention to common vulnerabilities and insecure code patterns. Each function and modularity of the contract, its internal logic, and interactions amongst them were assessed.
- **Automated Review:** We utilized top-tier automated audit tools to scan the code for known vulnerabilities, compilation errors, and other potential security issues.

| STATUS | CRITICAL • | MAJOR 🛑 | MEDIUM • | MINOR • | UNKNOWN • |
|--------------|------------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|
| OPEN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ACKNOWLEDGED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RESOLVED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

IMPORTANCE OF SMART CONTRACT AUDITS

Smart contracts have ushered in a new era of trustless and decentralized operations on the blockchain. While they have the potential to revolutionize numerous sectors, from finance to supply chain, their immutable nature means that any vulnerability or flaw in their code is permanent once deployed. This underscores the crucial importance of smart contract audits.

Why are Audits Necessary?

- 1. **Immutability:** Once a contract is deployed, it cannot be changed. A bug or vulnerability can be exploited repeatedly unless it's fixed in a new version of the contract.
- 2. **Financial Implications:** Smart contracts often handle and manage valuable assets. Vulnerabilities can lead to substantial financial losses.
- 3. **Reputation:** A flawed contract can tarnish the reputation of a project, leading to a loss of trust and confidence among its users.
- 4. **Complexity:** Solidity, the primary language for Ethereum contracts, has its quirks. Even experienced developers might overlook subtleties that can become vulnerabilities.

Types of Smart Contract Attacks:

Smart contracts are vulnerable to a variety of attacks. Some of the most common include reentrancy attacks, overflow and underflow attacks, timestamp dependence attacks, and more. Each of these attacks exploits specific vulnerabilities in contract code, and a comprehensive audit aims to safeguard against all known vulnerabilities.

RISK CATEGORIES

| Risk Type | Definition | | |
|-------------------|---|--|--|
| Critical (| A vulnerability that, if exploited, could have a catastrophic impact potentially leading to substantial financial loss or irreversible damage to the contract's operations. | | |
| Major 🛑 | A significant vulnerability that might not lead to total loss but can hamper the contract's functionality and compromise its objectives. | | |
| Medium 🛑 | Issues that are of concern but might require specific conditions to be exploited. They can pose risks if combined with other vulnerabilities. | | |
| Minor | These vulnerabilities pose a limited threat and have a lower probability of being exploited. Often, they relate to best practices rather than direct exploitable flaws. | | |
| Unknown • | Risks that haven't been fully understood or classified yet. They could be new or unique to the contract's specific design or context. | | |

Status of Identified Risks

| Status Type | Definition | |
|--------------|--|--|
| Open | Vulnerabilities that have been identified but have not yet been addressed or rectified by the development team. | |
| Acknowledged | The development team has recognized the issue but might be in the process of determining the best solution or mitigation strategy. | |
| Resolved | The vulnerability has been effectively addressed and resolved by the development team, eliminating the risk it posed. | |

AUDITING IS AN ESSENTIAL STEP IN THE DEVELOPMENT AND DEPLOYMENT OF SMART CONTRACTS. IT ENSURES NOT ONLY THE SECURITY AND RELIABILITY OF THE CONTRACT BUT ALSO BUILDS TRUST AMONG ITS USERS AND STAKEHOLDERS. AS SMART CONTRACTS CONTINUE TO GROW IN COMPLEXITY AND IMPORTANCE, ROBUST AUDITING MECHANISMS WILL REMAIN A CORNERSTONE OF THE BLOCKCHAIN ECOSYSTEM.

IMPORTANT CONTRACT DETAILS

• CHAIN: BSC

• TOKEN: BNB

• **DAPP TYPE:** ROI DAPP

• DAILY ROI PERCENTAGE: 1.5%

• MINIMUM INVESTMENT: 0.1 BNB

• MAXIMUM INVESTMENT: 25 BNB

MAXIMUM WITHDRAWAL: 200 BNB

• MINIMUM AIRDROP: 0.1 BNB

• ACCUMULATION REWARDS LIMIT: 200 BNB

• MANDATORY REINVESTMENT: 3 TIMES (STATIC - IRREVERSIBLE)

• **REINVESTMENT BONUS: 1%**

OWNER PRIVILEGES

THE SMART CONTRACT INCLUDES A SET OF FUNCTIONS THAT GRANT EXCLUSIVE PRIVILEGES TO THE CONTRACT OWNER. THESE FUNCTIONS ENABLE THE OWNER TO PERFORM CRITICAL ACTIONS THAT CAN AFFECT THE OPERATION OF THE CONTRACT AND ITS USERS. BELOW ARE THE FUNCTIONS AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ACTIONS:

1. CHANGE OWNERSHIP

- DESCRIPTION: CHANGES THE ADDRESS OF THE CONTRACT OWNER.
- ACTION: ALLOWS THE OWNER TO TRANSFER CONTRACT OWNERSHIP TO A SPECIFIC ADDRESS.
- RESTRICTION: CAN ONLY BE EXECUTED BY THE CURRENT CONTRACT OWNER

2. CHANGE PROJECT WALLET

- DESCRIPTION: CHANGES THE PROJECT WALLET ADDRESS.
- ACTION: ENABLES THE OWNER TO CHANGE THE ADDRESS TO WHICH PROJECT FUNDS ARE SENT.
- RESTRICTION: CAN ONLY BE EXECUTED BY THE CURRENT CONTRACT OWNER.

3. ENABLE_AIRDROP

- DESCRIPTION: ENABLES THE AIRDROP FUNCTIONALITY.
- ACTION: ALLOWS THE OWNER TO ACTIVATE THE ABILITY TO PERFORM AIRDROPS IN THE CONTRACT (IRREVERSIBLE).
- RESTRICTION: CAN ONLY BE EXECUTED BY THE CURRENT CONTRACT OWNER.

THESE FUNCTIONS PROVIDE THE CONTRACT OWNER WITH A SIGNIFICANT LEVEL OF CONTROL OVER ITS OPERATION AND FEATURES. IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE OWNER TO USE THESE FUNCTIONS WITH CARE AND RESPONSIBILITY, AS THEIR ACTIONS CAN DIRECTLY IMPACT USERS AND THE OVERALL FUNCTIONING OF THE CONTRACT. THE CONTRACT CLIENT IS ADVISED TO BE AWARE OF THESE FUNCTIONS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS BEFORE DEPLOYING THE CONTRACT IN A PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT.

| VULNERABILITY/PROBLEM DESCRIPTION | STATUS |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Reentrancy Attack | Pass |
| Integer Overflow/Underflow | Pass |
| Delegatecall Vulnerability | Pass |
| Front-Running | Pass |
| Visibility of functions | Pass |
| Fallback Function Vulnerability | Pass |
| State Variable Mutable | Pass |
| Erroneous External Calls | Pass |
| Immutable Keyword Misuse | Pass |
| Storage Layout & Proxy Contracts | Pass |
| Timestamp Dependence | Pass |
| Compiler error | Pass |
| Short Address Attack | Pass |
| Using inline assembly | Pass |
| Weak sources of randomness | Pass |
| Gas limit and loops | Pass |
| Use of tx.origin | Pass |

| VULNERABILITY/PROBLEM DESCRIPTION | STATUS |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Oracle security | Pass |
| Malicious libraries | Pass |
| Missing event emission | Pass |
| Uninitialised Storage Pointers | Pass |
| Under-optimized Code | Pass |
| Inadequate Testing | Pass |
| Magic Numbers | Pass |
| Inadequate Permissions & Governance | Pass |
| Presence of unused code | Pass |
| Self-destruct interaction | Pass |
| User balance manipulation | Pass |
| Access Control and Authorization | Pass |
| Ownership Control | Pass |
| Assets Manipulation | Pass |
| Liquidity Access | Pass |
| Stop and Pause Trading | Pass |
| Missing zero address validation | Pass |

| VULNERABILITY/PROBLEM DESCRIPTION | STATUS |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Race Conditions | Pass |
| Sybil Attack | Pass |
| Data consistency | Pass |
| Divide before multiply | Pass |
| Unnecessary use of SafeMath | Pass |
| Solidity Naming Guides | Pass |
| Signature unique id | Pass |
| Optimize code & gas fee | Pass |
| Phishing with contract addresses | Pass |
| Array Length Manipulation | Pass |
| Unchecked Return Values | Pass |
| Forced Ether Reception | Pass |
| Transfer Block | Pass |
| Floating pragma | Pass |
| Deprecated solidity functions | Pass |
| Lack of Arbitrary limits | Pass |
| Incorrect Inheritance Order | Pass |

| VULNERABILITY/PROBLEM DESCRIPTION | STATUS |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| Typographical Errors | Pass |
| Requirement Violation | Pass |
| Coding Style Violations | Pass |
| Third-Party Dependencies | Pass |
| Dos with revert Passed | Pass |

CRITICAL VULNERABILITY FINDINGS

| Vulnerability | Risk Type | Vulnerable | Reason | Affected Code |
|---------------|-----------|------------|--------|---------------|
| - | - | - | - | - |



FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

THE FOLLOWING ARE THE KEY FINDINGS IDENTIFIED DURING THE AUDIT, ALONG WITH CORRESPONDING RECOMMENDATIONS:

OVERFLOW AND UNDERFLOW HANDLING:

• THE CONTRACT IMPLEMENTS MEASURES TO PREVENT OVERFLOW AND UNDERFLOW IN CRITICAL MATHEMATICAL OPERATIONS, WHICH MITIGATES RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THESE ISSUES.

2. INPUT VALIDATION:

• THE CODE INCLUDES VALIDATIONS TO PREVENT INVALID USER INPUTS, WHICH IS ESSENTIAL TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE SYSTEM.

3. REFERRAL SYSTEM SECURITY:

• THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE REFERRAL SYSTEM APPEARS ROBUST AND DOES NOT PRESENT EVIDENT ABUSE RISKS.

4. ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS:

 THE CONTRACT INCLUDES ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS THAT ALLOW THE OWNER TO CHANGE CONTRACT PROPERTIES AND FEATURES. THESE FUNCTIONS SHOULD BE USED WITH CAUTION AND TRANSPARENCY.



CONCLUSION

BASED ON THE REVIEW OF THE SMART CONTRACT, IT IS CONCLUDED THAT IT PRESENTS A SOLID AND SECURE IMPLEMENTATION. NO SIGNIFICANT VULNERABILITIES THAT COULD BE EXPLOITED THROUGH THE ANALYZED ATTACK VECTORS WERE IDENTIFIED. HOWEVER, IT IS RECOMMENDED TO CONTINUE PRACTICING A RIGOROUS SECURITY APPROACH AND CONDUCTING THOROUGH TESTING TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE SYSTEM UNDER REAL-WORLD USAGE CONDITIONS.



SECURITY RATING 9/10

THE RATING ASSIGNED TO THIS SMART CONTRACT IS 9 ON A SCALE OF 0 TO 10. THIS RATING REFLECTS A ROBUST IMPLEMENTATION WITH PROPER SECURITY PRACTICES. FURTHER AUDITS ARE ENCOURAGED IN CASE OF FUTURE MODIFICATIONS TO ENSURE THE SECURITY AND OPERATION OF THE SYSTEM

THIS AUDIT REPORT IS BASED ON THE ANALYSIS OF THE PROVIDED SMART CONTRACT AND IMPLEMENTED SECURITY PRACTICES



CHAINAUDIT
FRANCIS K.
AUDITOR FOR CHAINAUDIT

