

Mobile Communications Problem Set 6

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Markus Fidler
M.Sc. Felix Langenbruch

03.06.2016

Institut für
Kommunikations-
Technik



1. You want to deploy a Gigabit local area network in your company using Jumbo frames of size 9000 Byte and link speeds of 1 Gbps. The maximum distance of the computing stations in your network is 500 meters. Assume a wave propagation speed of $2 \cdot 10^8 m/s$ in cable. Which random MAC technique would you use and why?

Solution:

In the lecture we reviewed the random multiple access techniques CSMA and ALOHA. Given the scenario above we calculate the vulnerability period t_P , i.e., the time until all stations sense the ongoing transmission as

$$t_P = \frac{500m}{2 \cdot 10^8 m/s} = 2.5 \mu s.$$

We calculate the collision window t_T for slotted ALOHA as

$$t_T = \frac{9000 * 8bit}{1 \cdot 10^9 bit/s} = 72 \mu s.$$

Since the vulnerability period is much smaller than the collision window, i.e., $t_T \gg t_P$ we choose CSMA.

2. Consider a 802.11 system using the DCF. The throughput for a single station can be calculated using the renewal reward theorem as

$$S = \frac{l}{t_{DIFS} + t_{backoff} + t_{preamble} + t_{data} + t_{SIFS} + t_{ack}}$$

with $t_{data} = l/C$ where l is the packet length in bit and C is the nominal channel capacity. Name 4 different possibilities to increase the throughput of the considered systems including pros and cons.

Solution:

In case of IEEE 802.11g with 54 Mbps nominal capacity we have $t_{DIFS} + t_{backoff} + t_{preamble} + t_{SIFS} + t_{ack} \approx 240 \mu s$ and $t_{data} \approx 220 \mu s$. The throughput depends on packet size such that for a packet size of 1500 Byte we find a throughput of 26 Mbps!

To increase the we have several possibilities such as

- increasing the packet size l . Note that 802.11 is often used in combination with Ethernet. Thus, from a practical view 1500 Byte is the maximum transfer unit that does not get fragmented when using Ethernet.

- increasing the capacity C . This can be done by increasing the channel bandwidth as in the case of 802.11n with 40 MHz instead of 20 MHz.
- decreasing the mean backoff time through adjusting the parameter of the exponential backoff distribution. In case of a single station this is good idea. However, for more than one station this would lead to an increase of the collisions.
- decrease the preamble time. The preamble is important for synchronization and channel error estimation. A change of the preamble means that older equipment is not supported anymore. A too short preamble may lead to synchronization problems.