Queries


Recommendation System of Listings for a particular Guest

MATCH (a:Guest {guest_id>})-[:STAYS]->(l:Listing)<-[:STAYS]-(b:Guest)-[:STAYS]->(reco:Listing) WHERE not (a)-[:STAYS]->(reco) RETURN reco as Recommendation, count(*) as Frequency ORDER BY Frequency DESC LIMIT 5;

For a given guest, find the top five recommended listings. On Amazon this would be "people who liked this item also liked these other items." In this context, it would be "People who liked the locations you stayed at also liked these other locations."

Finds the listings that the chosen Guest (guest_id) has stayed at, traverses to all other guests who have stayed at the same locations, and collects all locations those other guests have stayed at. Filters away those other locations which the chosen Guest has already stayed at, then orders by the most frequent and limits to the top 5 results.

The below visualization uses guest id 512113813 and returns only 4 nodes, as this guest Jason has only stayed at one listing.



Recommended listings for Jason in graph form.

Recommended listings for Jason in table form.

Hosts with average rating above certain threshold with a minimum number of listings

```
MATCH (h:Host)-[:OWNS]->(I:Listing)
WITH avg(I.review_rating) as avg_rating, h
SET h.avg_rating = avg_rating
RETURN avg_rating, h
```

This query modifies the database, averaging the ratings for all the listings owned by the host (from the related Listing nodes) and adding it as a new avg rating property on each Host node.


First, it is useful to create a new property for each Host node capturing the average rating of all of the listings they own.

```
MATCH (h:Host)-[:OWNS]→(l:Listing)
2 WITH avg(l.review_rating) as avg_rating, h
3 SET h.avg_rating = avg_rating
4 RETURN avg_rating, h
          avg_rating
          4.8933333333333335
"identity": 27233,
                                                            "labels": [
                                                             "Host"
<u>></u>
                                                            "properties": {
                                                             "superhost": "f",
                                                             "joined": "2008-09-09",
                                                             "avg rating": 4.8933333333333335,
                                                             "contact_methods": "['email', 'phone', 'work_email']",
                                                             "host id": 2845.
                                                             "host name": "Jennifer",
                                                             "total_listings": 9
                                                            "elementId": "4:6eba859b-5394-404a-a6ae-6f05dbb6a303:27233"
          4.58
                                                            "identity": 27234,
                                                            "labels": [
                                                             "Host"
                                                            "properties": {
                                                              "superhost": "t",
                                                              "joined": "2009-05-06",
                                                              "avg rating": 4.58
```


MATCH (h:Host)-[r:OWNS]->(I:Listing)
WHERE h.avg_rating > <rating_threshold> AND h.total_listings >= <min_listings>
RETURN h, r, l, h.avg_rating as avg_rating
ORDER BY avg_rating DESC

Next, we can use the new property to sort by average rating and collect the Host-Listing relations for display. The query above allows the user to extract all of the hosts with an average

rating above a desired threshold (rating_threshold) and all of their listings, as long as they have more than a minimum number of listings (min_listings).




Graph results with avg_rating > 4.5 and at least 20 total listings



Same results zoomed in on Host 380601266, Jeremy with an average rating of 4.89

Listings given certain price range

MATCH (I:Listing)
WHERE I.price >= <min_price> AND I.price <= <max_price>
RETURN I LIMIT 50;



Results for listings between \$500 and \$1000 in graph form

Results for listings between \$500 and \$1000 in table form

Neighborhoods with most AirBnBs and those with the most activity (most guest stays)


// Displays neighborhoods, ordered by number of listings descending MATCH (I:Listing)

RETURN I.neighborhood, count(*) as num_listings ORDER BY num_listings DESC



// Display neighborhoods, ordered by number of stays and number of listings descending MATCH (I:Listing)

RETURN I.neighborhood, sum(I.review_count) as num_stays, count(*) as num_listings ORDER BY num_stays DESC, num_listings DESC




Host Distribution by Neighborhood/Borough

// Neighborhood

MATCH (I:Listing)<-[:OWNS]-(h:Host)

RETURN I.neighborhood as neighborhood, count(h) as num_hosts

ORDER BY num hosts DESC



// Borough


MATCH (I:Listing)<-[:OWNS]-(h:Host)
RETURN I.borough as borough, count(h) as num_hosts
ORDER BY num_hosts DESC



Guest-Guest connectivity

MATCH (a:Guest {guest_id:**<chosen_id>**})-[:STAYS]->(I:Listing)<-[:STAYS]-(b:Guest) WHERE a.guest_id <> b.guest_id RETURN a, b, COUNT(I) AS shared_listings ORDER BY shared_listings DESC

Finds the guests that are most connected to the selected guest (chosen_id) by overlap in shared places they have stayed at. This could potentially be used to show guests that are most "similar" to the selected guest. This, combined with further guest metadata, could be used to create guest profile groups for targeted marketing or other uses.



Results for Guest 63643121, Seth.

What AirBnBs are the best investments in terms of revenue?

```
// Adds minimum revenue based on number of stays to nodes with stays MATCH (I:Listing)<-[r:STAYS]-(g:Guest)
WITH I, COUNT(g) as num_stays, I.price as price
SET I.minimum_revenue = num_stays * price
RETURN I
```

```
// Adds minimum revenue of 0 to nodes with no stays MATCH (I:Listing)
WHERE NOT (I:Listing)<-[:STAYS]-()
SET I.minimum_revenue = 0
RETURN I
```


These operations add a property to each Listing node denoting the minimum revenue for each listing as the product of the number of stays at that listing and the listing price. This is not the full revenue, as the dataset used to generate the database did not include duration of stay for each stay, and each listing price is a daily price. Therefore, the minimum revenue generated is assuming that each stay was exactly one full day in length.

MATCH (I:Listing)

RETURN I.listing_id as id, I.neighborhood as neighborhood, I.borough as borough, I.beds as beds, I.minimum_revenue as minimum_revenue

ORDER BY I.minimum_revenue DESC

Now we can use the new property to perform various queries to explore characteristics of the listings that produce more or less revenue. In the query above, we examine revenue by neighborhood and number of beds in the listings.



Results of sorting by minimum_revenue generated with some characteristics of listings.

Most Popular Months for Bookings/Stays

MATCH (g:Guest)-[r:STAYS]-(I:Listing)
WITH toInteger(split(r.date,'-')[1]) as month
RETURN month, count(*) as num_stays
ORDER BY num_stays DESC

2	WITH '	<pre>(g:Guest)-[r:STAYS]-(l:Listing) toInteger(split(r.date,'-')[1]) as month</pre>	
3	ORDER	N month, count(*) as num_stays BY num_stays DESC	
Table		month	num_stays
A	1	9	82718
Code		10	79417
		8	76148
		5	72337
		7	70481
		6	69548
		4	57743
		11	55025
		12	54570
		3	49209
Started streaming 12 records after 6 ms and completed after 421 ms.			