Show that if a matrix A is both triangular and unitary, then it is diagonal.

Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  be triangular and unitary. We wish to show that A is, therefore, diagonal.

\*\* ATTEMPT 1 (This didn't work, but I'd like to know if it could) \*\*

However, suppose A is also not diagonal.

Then,  $\exists a_j \in A$  and some  $a_{i,j} \in a_j$  such that  $a_{i,j} \neq 0$  and  $i \neq j$ .

Without loss of generality, let's assume A is upper triangular (i.e. that i < j).

Notice:

 $a_{i,i} \in a_i$  and  $a_{i,i} \neq 0$  (since A is triangular).

Since A is unitary,  $a_i^* \cdot a_j = 0$ . Specifically,

$$a_{1,i} * \cdot a_{1,j} + a_{2,i} * \cdot a_{2,j} + \dots + a_{i,i} * \cdot a_{i,j} + \dots + a_{j,i} * \cdot a_{j,j} + \dots + a_{m,i} * \cdot a_{m,j} = 0$$

Notice:  $a_{i,i} \cdot a_{i,j} \neq 0$  and  $a_{k,i} \cdot a_{k,j} = 0$  for k > i. So,

$$a_{i,i} * \cdot a_{i,j} + \dots + a_{j,i} * \cdot a_{j,j} + \dots + a_{m,i} * \cdot a_{m,j} = 0$$

Now, if I could just show that

$$a_{i+1,i} * \cdot a_{i+1,j} + \dots a_{m,i} * \cdot a_{m,j} = 0$$

That would complete the proof by contradiction. Not sure how to do that, though.

## \*\* ATTEMPT 2 \*\*

Proof sketch (by induction), since I'm running out of time:

Base case: up to  $a_1$ , A is diagonal since there's only one non-zero entry and it's at the top.

Inductive step: A is diagonal up to  $a_{k-1}$ .

Prove: A is diagonal up to  $k-1 \Rightarrow A$  is diagonal up to k.

Idea: since  $a_{k-1}$  is diagonal, if  $a_k$  were to have any non-zero entries above  $a_{k,k}$ , then the vector  $a_k$  would lean into the space occupied by one of the

vectors before it (i.e. if  $a_k$  had a non zero entry at i < k, then it'd be leaning into the space occupied by  $a_i$ .

2.3

Let  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  be hermitian. An eigenvector of A is a nonzero vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that  $Ax = \lambda x$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , the corresponding eigenvalue.

1. Prove that all eigenvalues of A are real.

Let  $\lambda$  be the eigenvector of x such that  $Ax = \lambda x$ . Notice:

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$(Ax)^* = (\lambda x)^*$$

$$x^*A^* = x^*\lambda^*$$

$$x^*A^*x = x^*\lambda^*x$$

$$x^*Ax = x^*\lambda^*x$$

$$x^*Ax = \lambda^*x^*x$$

$$x^*Ax = \lambda^*\|x\|^2$$

$$\frac{x^*Ax}{\|x\|^2} = \lambda^*$$

and

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$x^*Ax = x^*\lambda x$$

$$= \lambda x^*x$$

$$= \lambda \|x\|^2$$

$$\frac{x^*Ax}{\|x\|^2} = \lambda$$

Hence,  $\lambda^* = \lambda$ 

2. Prove that if x and y are eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues, then x and y are orthogonal.

Let v1 and v2 be eigenvectors of A with corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  such that  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . So,

$$Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1 \qquad Av_2 = \lambda_2 v_2$$

Notice:

$$Av_2 = \lambda_2 v_2$$

$$v_1^* A v_2 = v_1^* \lambda_2 v_2$$

$$= \lambda_2 v_1^* v_2$$

and

$$Av_{2} = \lambda_{2}v_{2}$$

$$v_{1}^{*}Av_{2} = v_{1}^{*}Av_{2}$$

$$= ((v_{1}^{*}Av_{2})^{*})^{*}$$

$$= (v_{2}^{*}A^{*}v_{1})^{*}$$

$$= (v_{2}^{*}Av_{1})^{*}$$

$$= (v_{2}^{*}\lambda_{1}v_{1})^{*}$$

$$= v_{1}^{*}\lambda_{1}^{*}v_{2}$$

$$= \lambda_{1}v_{1}^{*}v_{2}$$

Since

$$\lambda_2 v_1^* v_2 = \lambda_1 v_1^* v_2$$

and  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , v1 and v2 must be orthogonal.

3.2

Let  $\|.\|$  denote a norm on  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and a matrix norm on A. Show that  $p(A) \leq \|A\|$ , where p(A) is the spectral radius of A (i.e. the largest absolute value of an eigenvalue of A).

Recall the definition of a induced matrix norm:

$$||A||_{(m,n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^m, x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_{(m)}}{||x||_{(n)}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^m, ||x||_{(m)} = 1} ||Ax||_{(m)}$$

Let v be the eigenvector of A corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of largest magnitude (i.e. the eigenvalue with the largest absolute value). By definition,

$$||A||_{(m,n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^m, x \neq 0} \frac{||Ax||_{(m)}}{||x||_{(n)}}$$

And since eigenvectors of a are members of  $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^{m}, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_{(m)}}{\|x\|_{(m)}} \ge \sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^{m}, x \neq 0} \frac{\|\lambda x\|_{(m)}}{\|x\|_{(m)}}$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^{m}, x \neq 0} \frac{|\lambda| \|x\|_{(m)}}{\|x\|_{(m)}}$$

$$= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}^{m}, x \neq 0} |\lambda|$$

$$= |\lambda|$$

$$= p(A)$$

Hence,  $p(A) \le ||A||$