An excellent resource for Graph Theory powerpoint slides:

http://people.qc.cuny.edu/faculty/christopher.hanusa/courses/634sp11/Documents/Forms/AllItems.aspx

Notes Packet 1

Graph Definition

```
Figure n1.1 A graph G = (V, E, f)
```

V is the vertex set (e.g. w, x, y...)

E is the edge set (e.g. $e_1, e_2...$)

f is the function mapping edges to vertices (i.e. f: $e_1 \longrightarrow xw$, $e_2 \longrightarrow xw$, $e_3 \longrightarrow yw$...)

Simple Graph Definition

A simple graph G (aside from the null graph) is a graph with $n \ge 1$ vertices and $m \ge 0$ edges that consists of a vertex set

$$V(G) = \{v_1, v_2... v_n\}$$

and an edge set

$$E(G) = \{e_1, e_2... e_m\}$$

where each edge is an unordered pair of vertices.

An example graph theory problem: Can x workers do y jobs such that all of the jobs can be done by at least one worker?

Here's a table of workers and the corresponding jobs they can do.

Worker #	Job
1	a
2	a, b
3	b, c, d
4	a, b

Here's a graph of what that might look like:

Figure n1.3

If we look at jobs c and d, we notice that both can only be done by worker #3.

Figure n1.4

Subgraph Definition

A subgraph of a graph G is a graph H such that $V(H) \subset V(G)$ and $E(H) \subset E(G)$.

We write this as $H \subset G$

An **induced subgraph** of G is a subgraph $H \subset G$ such that if $e \in E(G)$ and e's endpoints are both members of V(H), then $e \in E(H)$.

Notes Packet 2

A **path** is a sequence of unique edges that connects a sequence of unique vertices. You can't repeat any vertices or edges, but the path doesn't have to contain all the edges or vertices from the graph.

A **cycle** is a path that, instead of its endpoint being another unique vertex, it ends at its beginning vertex. Both vertices and edges are not allowed to be repeated here as well.

A graph is **connected** if, given any two vertices a and b, there is a path between a and b.

A **simple** graph G is a graph such that G has no **loops** (an edge such that its two endpoints are the same vertex), and, given any two vertices a and b, a and b have no more than one edge between them.

A **loopless** graph G has all the qualities of a simple graph, but allows any two vertices to have more than one edge between them.

The **Adjacency Matrix** of a graph G, A(G), is a matrix whose entries are the number of edges between two vertices. It is symmetric if the graph is undirected.

The **Incidence Matrix** of a graph G, M(G), is a matrix whose entries indicate whether a vertex and an edge are **incident** (i.e. touching or connected). The number of rows doesn't necessarily equal the number of columns (i.e. |E(G)| = |V(G)| can be true or false).

For example, here is a loopless graph G:

Figure n2.2

And here is A(G) and M(G):

Example:

A(G) - Adjacency Matrix of G

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G})$ - Incidence Matrix of \mathcal{G}

The **degree** of a vertex x, d(x), is how many edges are incident (connected to) x. A(G) and M(G) are related like so:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{G}) + \begin{pmatrix} d(w) & & \\ & d(x) & \\ & & d(y) \\ & & & d(z) \end{pmatrix} = MM^{T}$$

Edges can also cross one another. From most perspectives, G_1 and G_2 are the same graph.

Figure n2.4, Figure n2.5

In fact, G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic.

$$f: V(G_1) \longrightarrow V(G_2)$$

 $1 \longrightarrow a$

 $2 \longrightarrow b$

•••

 $5 \longrightarrow e$

 $ij \in E(G_1 \text{ iff } f(i)f(j) \in E(G_2)$

The full definition is this:

An **isomorphism** from G to H is a **bijection** (a 1 to 1 correspondence) f: $V(G) \longrightarrow V(H)$ such that given an edge uv, uv $\in E(G)$ iff $f(u)f(v) \in E(H)$

We say $G \cong H$ to indicate that G is isomorphic to H.

The **compliment** of a graph G, notated as \bar{G} , is a graph with the same vertex set as G, but if $uv \in E(G)$, then $uv \notin E(\bar{G})$ and vise versa.

 $G \cong H \text{ iff } \bar{G} \cong \bar{H}$

Bipartite Graph Definition

A bipartite graph G, also called a bigraph, is a graph st V(G) can be decomposed into two disjoint sets (i.e. any vertex $v \in V(G)$ is either in one set or the other, not both) such that no two vertices within the

same set are **adjacent** (connected by an edge). A bipartite graph is a special case of a **k-partite** graph with k = 2.

Some other vocabularly:

A **complete** graph, K_n , is a simple graph with n vertices such that there is one edge between any two vertices.

The number of edges in K_n , $|E(K_n)|$, is equal to $\binom{n}{2}$. Mathematically,

$$|E(\mathbf{K}_n)| = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \binom{n}{2}$$

 \mathbf{C}_n is shorthand for a cycle with n vertices.

 \mathbf{P}_n is shorthand for a path with n vertices and n - 1 edges.

 $\mathbf{K}_{r,s}$ is shorthand for a complete, bipartite graph such that the independent sets have r and s vertices, respectively.

The notation K_5 - $\{e\}$ means a complete graph with 5 vertices minus an edge e.

Decomposition

A **decomposition** of a graph G is a set of subgraphs H_1 , H_2 , ... H_k that partition the edges of G. That is, \forall i, j,

$$\bigcup_{1 \le i \le k} H_i = G, \qquad E(H_i) \cap E(H_j) = \emptyset$$

An **H-decomposition** is a decomposition of G such that each subgraph H_i in the decomposition is **isomorphic** to H.

For example, we know that K_5 - $\{e\}$ decomposes into $3P_4$ (3 paths with 4 vertices):

Figure 2.6

Can K_6 decompose into $5P_4$?

Yes. Using the "rotation" trick.

Figure 2.7

How many copies of P_4 can K_8 decompose into?

So, K_8 has $\frac{8(8-1)}{2} = 23$ edges.

However, P₄ has 4 vertices, and therefore 3 edges.

There is no integer k such that $kP_4 = 23$, so the answer is: it can't.

Peterson Graph Definition

A Peterson graph G is a graph with

$$V(G) \ = \{A: A \subset \{1,\, 2,\, 3,\, 4,\, 5\}, \, and \, |A| \ = 2\}$$

and

 $AB \in E \text{ iff } A \cap B = \emptyset$

In other words, if the vertex is named 34, then it can only be connected to vertices that have neither 3 nor 4 in the name (i.e. 51, 52, 12)

Example:

Figure N2.8

Notes Packet 3

Induction Example

P(n) is true for every positive integer n.

Step (1): P(1) is true (should be a trivial case).

Step (2): Assume that, $\forall k \geq 1$, P(n) is true for n = k

Step (3): Prove that if P(n) is true for n = k, then P(n) is true for n = k + 1 (this is "weak" induction)

Step (4): This proves that since P(1) is true, P(2) is true. Since P(2) is true, P(3) is true. And so on...

A walk is a sequence of edges and vertices in a graph (i.e. a path with no restrictions, so you can cross over the same edge and/or vertex more than once).

A trail is a walk with no repeated edges. Repeated vertices are still okay.

A **path** is, again, a sequence of connected edges and vertices in a graph such that there are no repeated edges nor vertices.

A **circuit** is a cycle that allows repeated vertices. No repeated edges still, though. Also known as a **closed** trail.

A cycle is a path that begins and ends at the same vertex. Also known as a closed path.

A u, v walk / trail / path is a walk / trail / path that begins at u and ends at v.

In the above definition for u, v walk / trail / path, u and v would be the **endpoints**.

The **internal vertices** are the vertices that aren't endpoints.

The **length** of a walk / trail / path / circuit / cycle is how many edges it has.

Lemma 1.2.5

Every u, v walk contains a u, v path.

Proof.

Insert proof here.

Figure N3.1

Be careful, though. If you have a u, v path and a v, w path, that doesn't mean you have a u, w path containing both a u, v path and a v, w path (they might not necessarily be head to tail). Stopped at 3nd page of 3rd packet.

-Side Note-

END OF NOTES FROM BEFORE TEST 1, BEGINNING OF POST TEST 1 NOTES

```
Definition of a king:
For any x, either King \longrightarrow x, or King \longrightarrow y \longrightarrow x for some path y.
Prop 1.4.30 - Every tournament has a king

A graph is acyclic if it has no cycle.
A graph is a forest if it is acyclic.
A graph is a tree if it is a connected acyclic graph.
ipictures of trees;
A leaf is a pendant vertex (i.e. a vertex with degree 1)
A star is ***
ipicture of a star;
The distance, d(u, v), is the length of the shortest path between two vertices u and v.
```

Lemma 2.1.3:

Every tree G st $|V(G)| \ge 2$ has ≥ 2 leaves. Deleting a leaf results in a smaller tree on n - 1 vertices.

Proof.

picture of maximal path. i.e. dot-dot-dot-dot;

No leaf is an internal vertex of a path.

We would use an induction method to prove this:

 $B *** A(n) \Rightarrow B(n)$

A(n): T is a tree on n vertices

B(n): T has n - 1 edges

Want to show: num edges = num vertices - 1

¡picture from top right of Method of Induction page;

Induction on n:

Step 1:

 $T' = T - \{a \text{ leaf}\}$

 $T' is \ a \ tree \ on \ n$ - $1 \ vertex$

Step 2:

T'has n - 2 edges (induction hypothesis)

Step 3:

 $T = T' + \{an edge\}$

T has n - 2 + 1 = n - 1 edges.

Theorem 2.1.A (or 4?)

```
a. connected, no cycle. n vertices (do I have n - 1 edges?)
```

b. connected, n - 1 edges

c. n - 1 edges, no cycle (not sure if connected)

d. For any $u, v \in V$, \exists exactly one u, v - path. No loops.

Proof.

We're going to say these three things are equivalent.

We did $A \Rightarrow B$ in previous slides. (induction on n)

For $B \Rightarrow C$:

Want to show: G has no cycles

Suppose G has cycles (contradiction):

picture from Theorem 2.1.A (or 4)

 $G' = G - \{e_1, e_2, ...\}$ is acyclic

acyclic, connected, n - 1 vertices = tree

G'is connected, (using any tree that has n vertices has n - 1 edges), G'has n - 1 edges

 $C \Rightarrow A$ (if you have 3 and 2, then prove you have 1):

Suppose c(G) (number of components) = k (by contradiction).

įpictures of n_1 vertices, n_2 vertices.. n_k vertices; įhas n_1 - 1 edges, n_2 - 1 edges, etc...;

$$n-1 = e(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (n_i - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (n_i - k) = n - k$$

The only solution is that k = 1.

Corollary 2.1.5

a. Every edge of a tree is a cut-edge.

b. Adding one edge to a tree forms exactly one cycle.

c. Every connected graph contains a spanning tree.

A spanning subgraph of G is a subgraph of G that contains all the vertices of G.

A spanning tree is a spanning subgraph that is a tree.

Proposition 2.1.8 (or B)

Tree T has k edges, simple graph G has $min(G) \ge k$ (minimum degree bigger than or equal to k) \longrightarrow T is a subgraph of G.

 $T' = T - \{a \text{ leaf}\} \text{ has } k - 1 \text{ edges.}$

picture of G;

To prove this, we would use induction on k.

 $\min \text{ vertex}(G) \ge k \ge k - 1$

T'has k vertices.

Base: k = 1

If T has only 2 vertices, then T has 1 edge. This is a trivial case.

¡Missing some other stuff;

6

Definition 2.1.9

```
eccentricity (for any connected graph) \epsilon (u) = max{d(u, v) : v \in V(G)} ¡picture below eccentricity; (where 4 is the radius, 7 is the diameter) The radius, rad(G), is the minimum *** = min of \epsilon (u) where u \in V The diameter, diam(G), is the maximum *** = max of \epsilon (u) where u \in V \epsilon (u) = d(u, v) for some leaf v
```

Theorem 2.1.13 (Jordan, 1869)

The center of a tree is always one edge or one vertex.

Proof.

We do induction on n.

```
Let: T' = T - \{\text{all leaves}\}\

\epsilon_{T'}(u) = \epsilon_{T}(u) - 1
```

If $G \neq a$ line segment with a vertex at each end, then no leaf can be a center vertex.

Theorem 2.1.10 [Not On Test]

Not on test

Theorem 2.1.11

G is simple, $Diam(G) \ge 3 \longrightarrow Diam(\overline{G}) \le 3$

Proof.

Claim: x cannot be adjacent to both u and v, otherwise distance will be smaller than 3. So, at least one of them is not true.

Dotted lines signify non-adjacency.

In the case of neither x nor y being adjacent to u, then in \overline{G} , u is adjacent to both x and y.

In the case

hi

How many simple graphs with vertex set [n] are there?

```
[n] = \{1, 2, 3, \dots n\}
```

In other words, how many labelled graphs on n vertices are there?

Answer: $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$

How many trees with vertex set [n] are there?

Cayley's formula: n^{n-2} (proof is very complicated, only need to understand conclusion) (the number of labelled trees on n vertices)

Labelled trees: 1:1 $(a_1, a_2, ... a_{n-2}) : a_i \in [n]$

Suppose I have 3 vertices. How many labeled trees can I get?

Answer: 3 possible labeled trees. If you have vertices 1, 2, and 3, then you can have 3 graphs: (1) 12, 23, (2) 23, 31, (3) 31, 12

Let's say I have a complete graph, K_n , with labelled vertices. How many labelled spanning trees does it have? Answer: n^{n-2} (Cayley's formula)

To generalize the problem:

Contraction of edge:

; picture with edge uv and vertices A, B, C \longrightarrow picture with multiple edges between w and each vertex in C;

Example 2.2.9:

¡picture of a square with a diagonal edge, same picture minus diagonal edge (G - e), bird (G dot e))

Proposition 2.2.8

 $\tau(G)$ (the number of spanning trees of G) = $\tau(G - e) + \tau(G \text{ dot } e)$

Let: T be a spanning tree of G

case i: e (the diagonal edge in the picture) is not in E(T)

Any spanning tree of the original graph without using the diagonal is still a spanning tree. If you don't use the diagonal edge, it's from G - e.

case ii: e (the diagonal edge in the picture) is in E(T)

You should prove Prop 2.2.8 for practice.

Remark 2.2.10 (Basis case for computing $\tau(G)$)

Suppose G has no cycle other than multiple edges. Then:

 $\tau(G) = \{\text{product of edge multiplicities if G is connected}, 0 \text{ if disconnected}\}$

¡Remark picture;

1*2*3 choices

You're encouraged to try an example. For example: K₄

For a general graph, the Cayley formula doesn't work. Here's a 3rd way:

Theorem 2.2.12

Let: G be a loopless n-graph (graph with n vertices)

 $Q = (q_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is defined by:

 $q_{ij} = \{d(v_i) \text{ if } i = j, -a_{ij} \text{ if } i \neq j\}$

 a_{ij} : the number of edges joining v_i and v_j

Q*: obtained by deleting any row s and column t of Q.

Then $\tau(G) = (-1)^{s+t} \det Q^*$

picture 3;

The sum of every row and every column is equal to 0. Why?

The diagonal talks about the degree, but the off diagonal takes off each edge.

Determining the determinant of the matrix Q doesn't give you any new information, but deleting any row or column and making a submatrix, Q_* , of the matrix, and then taking the determinant will.

The absolute value of det Q_* (or just multiplying by $(-1)^{s+t}$ will give you $\tau(G)$

(we took both a row (1st row) and a column (1st column) to make it symmetric and make it easier to take the determinant)

Conjecture 2.2.13 (still open)

 K_{2m+1} decomposes into 2m + 1 copies of T with m edges.

So K_{2m+1} has $\frac{(2m+1)(2m)}{2}$ edges

Therefore, there are 2m + 1 copies of T.

Graceful labeling: a 1-1 correspondence between the vertices and a set of numbers for each vertex (packed very closely from 0 to n - 1 (where n is the number of vertices))

f: V \longrightarrow distinct number $\in \{0, 1, ... m\}$ (a bijection from a vertex set to "this" set)

For example. If T has m edges, how many vertices do you have? Answer: m + 1

You want every vertex to have a distinct number.

įgraceful labelling picture;

f(uv): = |f(u) - f(v)|

$$\{f(u,\,v)\colon\, uv\in E\}\ =\{1,\,2,\,...\ m\}$$

Conjecture 2.2.15 (Kotzig, Ringel, 1964) (open, stronger than conjecture 2.2.13)

Every tree has a graceful labeling.

Theorem 2.2.16

T is graceful \Rightarrow Conjecture 2.2.12 holds ipicture 1, picture 2 - examples of this; Remember, we want all edges to receive different numbers (Graceful labelling), so when we do a rotation, all of the different edges are covered exactly once

displacement(i, j): number of unit moves from i to j

 K_{2m+1}

end of 10/11 lecture