# Abstract homomorphisms of non-quasi-split special unitary groups

Joshua Ruiter

November 4, 2024

## Contents

# 1 Generalizing the construction of Kassabov and Sapir

## 1.1 Original construction

**Example 1.** Let $k$ be a field. For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$, let $e_{ij} : k \to \mathrm{SL}_3(k)$ be the map which takes $u \in k$ to the transvection matrix $e_{ij}(u)$. For example,

$$e_{13} : k \to \mathrm{SL}_3(k) \qquad e_{13}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & u \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $e_{ij}$ is a group homomorphism from $(k, +)$ into $\mathrm{SL}_3(k)$, i.e. $e_{ij}(u) \cdot e_{ij}(v) = e_{ij}(u + v)$. Let $U_{ij} = e_{ij}(k)$, so $e_{ij}$ gives an isomorphism between $(k, +)$ and $U_{ij}$. Let

$$w_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} & -1 & \\ 1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad w_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & 1 \\ & -1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

and note that

$$w_{12} \cdot e_{13}(u) \cdot w_{12}^{-1} = e_{23}(u) \tag{1}$$
$$w_{23} \cdot e_{13}(u) \cdot w_{23}^{-1} = e_{12}(u) \tag{2}$$

Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field, and let

$$\rho : \mathrm{SL}_3(k) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(K)$$

be a group homomorphism (not necessarily well-behaved with respect to any kind of geometric structure). Let $U = e_{13}(k)$, so $e_{13}$ is an isomorphism between $(k, +)$ and $U$.

Let $V = \rho(U)$ and let $A = \overline{V}$ be the Zariski closure of $V$. Since $V$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$, so is $A$. That is, $A$ is closed under matrix multiplication. We define another binary operation on $A$ as follows:

$$\mu_\rho : A \times A \to \mathrm{GL}_m(K) \qquad \mu_\rho(a, b) = \Big[\rho(w_{23}) \cdot a \cdot \rho(w_{23})^{-1}, \rho(w_{12}) \cdot b \cdot \rho(w_{12}^{-1})\Big]$$

A calculation involving the relations (1), (2), and the commutator relation

$$\Big[e_{12}(u), e_{23}(v)\Big] = e_{13}(uv)$$

shows that

$$\mu_\rho\Big(\rho\big(e_{13}(u)\big), \rho\big(e_{13}(v)\big)\Big) = e_{13}(uv)$$

From this, it follows that $\mu_\rho$ maps the subset $V \times V$ (a dense subset of $A \times A$) to $V$. Since $\mu_\rho$ is continuous, it follows that $\mu_\rho$ maps $A \times A$ to $A$.

## 1.2 Replace $\mathrm{SL}_3$ with arbitrary group

**Definition 1.** Let $k$ be a field and $K$ be an algebraically closed field. Let $G$ be an algebraic $k$-group, and let

$$\rho : G(k) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(K)$$

be a group homomorphism. Suppose we have functions $e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{23} : k \to G(k)$ such that

$$\Big[e_{12}(u), e_{23}(v)\Big] = e_{13}(uv)$$

and suppose have elements $w_{12}, w_{23} \in G(k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{12} \cdot e_{13}(u) \cdot w_{12}^{-1} &= e_{23}(u) \\ w_{23} \cdot e_{13}(u) \cdot w_{23}^{-1} &= e_{12}(u) \end{aligned}$$

Let $U = e_{13}(k)$ and let $V = \rho(U)$ and let $A = \overline{V}$. Note that $V$ and $A$ are abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$. Define

$$\mu_\rho : A \times A \to \mathrm{GL}_m(K) \qquad \mu_\rho(a, b) = \Big[\rho(w_{23}) \cdot a \cdot \rho(w_{23})^{-1}, \rho(w_{12}) \cdot b \cdot \rho(w_{12}^{-1})\Big]$$

Note that $\mu_\rho$ is continuous, since it is defined purely in terms of matrix multiplication and inversion of $a, b$, and some fixed elements $\rho(w_{23})$ and $\rho(w_{12})$ in $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$.

**Lemma 1.** $\mu_\rho\Big(\rho \circ e_{13}(u), \rho \circ e_{13}(v)\Big) = \rho \circ e_{13}(uv)$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\rho\Big(\rho \circ e_{13}(u), \rho \circ e_{13}(v)\Big) &= \Big[\rho(w_{23}) \cdot \rho(e_{13}(u)) \cdot \rho(w_{23})^{-1}, \rho(w_{12}) \cdot \rho(e_{13}(v)) \cdot \rho(w_{12}^{-1})\Big] \\ &= \rho\Big[w_{23} \cdot e_{13}(u) \cdot w_{23}^{-1}, w_{12} \cdot e_{13}(v) \cdot w_{12}^{-1}\Big] \\ &= \rho\Big[e_{12}(u), e_{23}(v)\Big] \\ &= \rho \circ e_{13}(uv) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 1.** *$\mu_\rho(V \times V) \subseteq V$, and consequently $\mu_\rho(A \times A) \subseteq A$*

*Proof.* The first inclusion is immediate from Lemma 3. The second inclusion follows from this as $\mu_\rho$ is continuous and $V$ is dense in $A$. □

**Proposition 1.** *$A$ is a commutative unital algebraic ring under the two binary operations of matrix multiplication and $\mu_\rho$.*

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemma 3.2 from *Linear representations of Chevalley groups over commutative rings.* □

## 1.3 Replace some notation

**Definition 2.** Let $k$ be a field and $K$ be an algebraically closed field. Let $G$ be an algebraic $k$-group, and let

$$\rho : G(k) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(K)$$

be a group homomorphism. Suppose we have functions $e_\alpha, e_\beta, e_\gamma : k \to G(k)$ such that

$$\Big[e_\alpha(u), e_\beta(v)\Big] = e_\gamma(uv)$$

and suppose have elements $w_{\gamma\to\beta}, w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \in G(k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\gamma\to\beta} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1} &= e_\beta(u) \\ w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\alpha}^{-1} &= e_\alpha(u) \end{aligned}$$

Let $U = e_\gamma(k)$ and let $V = \rho(U)$ and let $A = \overline{V}$. Note that $V$ and $A$ are abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$. Define

$$\mu_\rho : A \times A \to \mathrm{GL}_m(K) \qquad \mu_\rho(a, b) = \Big[\rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha}) \cdot a \cdot \rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha})^{-1}, \rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta}) \cdot b \cdot \rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1})\Big]$$

Note that $\mu_\rho$ is continuous, since it is defined purely in terms of matrix multiplication and inversion of $a, b$, and some fixed elements $\rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha})$ and $\rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta})$ in $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$.

**Lemma 2.** $\mu_\rho\Big(\rho \circ e_\gamma(u), \rho \circ e_\gamma(v)\Big) = \rho \circ e_\gamma(uv)$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\rho\Big(\rho \circ e_\gamma(u), \rho \circ e_\gamma(v)\Big) &= \Big[\rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha}) \cdot \rho \circ e_\gamma(u) \cdot \rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha})^{-1}, \rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta}) \cdot \rho \circ e_\gamma(v) \cdot \rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1})\Big] \\ &= \rho\Big[w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\alpha}^{-1}, w_{\gamma\to\beta} \cdot e_\gamma(v) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1}\Big] \\ &= \rho\Big[e_\alpha(u), e_\beta(v)\Big] \\ &= \rho \circ e_\gamma(uv) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 2.** $\mu_\rho(V \times V) \subseteq V$*, and consequently* $\mu_\rho(A \times A) \subseteq A$

*Proof.* The first inclusion is immediate from Lemma 3. The second inclusion follows from this as $\mu_\rho$ is continuous and $V$ is dense in $A$. □

**Proposition 2.** *$A$ is a commutative unital algebraic ring under the two binary operations of matrix multiplication and $\mu_\rho$.*

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemma 3.2 from *Linear representations of Chevalley groups over commutative rings.* □

**Example 2.** Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$ and let $e_\alpha = e_{12}$ and $e_\beta = e_{23}$ and $e_\gamma = e_{13}$. Then we have

$$[e_\alpha(u), e_\beta(v)] = e_\gamma(uv)$$

Then with

$$w_{\gamma\to\beta} = w_{12}$$
$$w_{\gamma\to\alpha} = w_{23}$$

we have

$$w_{\gamma\to\beta} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1} = e_\beta(u)$$
$$w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\alpha}^{-1} = e_\alpha(u)$$

(a) Suppose $k = K = K^{\mathrm{alg}}$ and $\rho$ is the inclusion $\mathrm{SL}_n(k) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$. Then $U = V = e_{13}(k)$, and $V$ is already closed so $A = V = U$ (technically $U$ is a subset of a different group than $V = A$, but whatever). As an abelian group (under the operation of matrix multiplication), $A$ is isomorphic to $(k, +)$. Since $\rho$ is just inclusion, Lemma 3 says that

$$\mu_\rho\Big(e_\gamma(u), e_\gamma(v)\Big) = e_\gamma(uv)$$

i.e. $e_\gamma$ is a ring isomorphism $(k, +, \cdot) \to (A, \cdot, \mu_\rho)$.

(b) Suppose $k = K = \mathbb{C}$ and $\rho : \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the composition of inclusion with entrywise complex conjugation. As in the previous example, $A = V = U$. Also as above, $A$ is isomorphic to $(k, +)$ as an abelian group under matrix multiplication. Now Lemma 3 tells us

$$\mu_\rho\Big(e_\gamma(\overline{u}), e_\gamma(\overline{v})\Big) = e_\gamma(\overline{uv})$$

i.e. the map

$$f : \mathbb{C} \to A \qquad f(u) = e_\gamma(\overline{u})$$

is a ring isomorphism.

(c) Let $k$ be any field, let $k^{\mathrm{alg}}$ be the algebraic closure, and fix an embedding $k \hookrightarrow k^{\mathrm{alg}}$. Let $\sigma : k \to k$ be a field automorphism. Let $\rho : \mathrm{SL}_n(k) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be the composition of inclusion and entrywise application of $\sigma$. Then again $A = V = U$, though technically $U = e_{13}(L) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_n(k)$, and $A = V = e_{13}(k) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. As abelian groups, $(k, +) \cong (A, \cdot)$. Lemma 3 says

$$\mu_\rho\Big(e_\gamma(\sigma u), e_\gamma(\sigma v)\Big) = e_\gamma\Big(\sigma(uv)\Big)$$

so the map

$$f : L \to A \qquad f(u) = e_\gamma(\sigma u)$$

gives a ring isomorphism $(k, +, \cdot) \cong (A, \cdot, \mu_\rho)$.

(d) Let $k$ be any field, let $k^{\mathrm{alg}}$ be the algebraic closure, and fix an embedding $k \hookrightarrow k^{\mathrm{alg}}$. Let $\tau : k^{\mathrm{alg}} \to k^{\mathrm{alg}}$ be a field automorphism. Let $\rho : \mathrm{SL}_n(k) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be the composition of inclusion and entrywise application of $\sigma$. Then

$$U = e_\gamma(k) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_n(k)$$
$$V = e_\gamma(\tau k) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$$
$$A = V$$

As above, $(k, +, \cdot) \cong (A, \cdot \mu_\rho)$ via the map $k \to A, u \mapsto e_\gamma(\tau u)$.

**Example 3.** Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$ and let $T \subset G$ be the diagonal torus. Let $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ be the associated root system, of type $A_{n-1}$. Concretely,

$$\Phi = \{\alpha_{ij} : 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$$

where $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_i - \alpha_j$ and $\alpha_i : T \to k^\times$ is the character that picks off the $i$th diagonal entry. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ such that $\alpha + \beta = \gamma \in \Phi$. That is, $\alpha = \alpha_{ij}$ and $\beta = \alpha_{j\ell}$ and $\gamma = \alpha_{i\ell}$ for some three distinct $i, j, \ell$. There are maps $e_\alpha, e_\beta, e_\gamma : k \to \mathrm{SL}_n(k)$ such that $e_\alpha(k)$ is the root subgroup

$$e_\alpha(k) = \left\{x \in \mathrm{SL}_n(k) : txt^{-1} = \alpha(t)x, \forall t \in T(k)\right\}$$

Concretely, $e_{\alpha_{ij}}(u)$ is the matrix with 1's on the diagonal and $u$ in the $(i, j)$ entry and the set of all such matrices is the root subgroup associated to the root $\alpha_{ij}$. Because $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$, we have a commutator relation

$$\Big[e_\alpha(u), e_\beta(v)\Big] = e_\gamma(\pm uv)$$

If the sign is negative, just reverse the roles of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ so that the sign is positive, so we may assume that

$$\Big[e_\alpha(u), e_\beta(v)\Big] = e_\gamma(uv)$$

Also, there are "Weyl group elements" $w_{\gamma\to\alpha}, w_{\gamma\to\beta} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(k)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\gamma\to\beta} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1} &= e_\beta(u) \\ w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\alpha}^{-1} &= e_\alpha(u) \end{aligned}$$

I put "Weyl group elements" in quotation marks because techincally the Weyl group is the quotient $N_G(T)/Z_G(T) = N_G(T)/T$, and so elements of the Weyl group are not in $G(k)$. The elements above are, literally speaking, elements of the normalizer $N_G(T)$, representing elements of the quotient which is the Weyl group.

## 1.4 Generalize commutator relation

**Definition 3.** Let $k$ be a field and $K$ be an algebraically closed field. Let $G$ be an algebraic $k$-group, and let

$$\rho : G(k) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(K)$$

be a group homomorphism. Suppose we have functions $e_\alpha, e_\beta, e_\gamma : k \to G(k)$ such that

$$\Big[e_\alpha(u), e_\beta(v)\Big] = e_\gamma\Big(N_{\alpha\beta}(u,v)\Big)$$

and suppose we have an element $h_\gamma \in G(k)$ such that

$$h_\gamma \cdot e_\gamma\Big(N_{\alpha\beta}(u,v)\Big) \cdot h_\gamma^{-1} = e_\gamma(uv)$$

and suppose we have elements $w_{\gamma\to\beta}, w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \in G(k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\gamma\to\beta} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1} &= e_\beta(u) \\ w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\alpha}^{-1} &= e_\alpha(u) \end{aligned}$$

Let $U = e_\gamma(k)$ and let $V = \rho(U)$ and let $A = \overline{V}$. Note that $V$ and $A$ are abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$. Define

$$\mu_\rho : A \times A \to \mathrm{GL}_m(K) \qquad \mu_\rho(a,b) = \rho(h_\gamma) \cdot \Big[\rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha}) \cdot a \cdot \rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha})^{-1}, \rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta}) \cdot b \cdot \rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1})\Big] \cdot \rho(h_\gamma)^{-1}$$

Note that $\mu_\rho$ is continuous, since it is defined purely in terms of matrix multiplication and inversion of $a, b$, and some fixed elements $\rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha})$ and $\rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta})$ in $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$.

**Lemma 3.** $\mu_\rho\Big(\rho \circ e_\gamma(u), \rho \circ e_\gamma(v)\Big) = \rho \circ e_\gamma(uv)$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\rho\Big(\rho \circ e_\gamma(u), \rho \circ e_\gamma(v)\Big) &= \rho(h_\gamma) \cdot \Big[\rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha}) \cdot \rho \circ e_\gamma(u) \cdot \rho(w_{\gamma\to\alpha})^{-1}, \rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta}) \cdot \rho \circ e_\gamma(v) \cdot \rho(w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1})\Big] \cdot \rho(h_\gamma)^{-1} \\ &= \rho\Big(h_\gamma \cdot \Big[w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\alpha}^{-1}, w_{\gamma\to\beta} \cdot e_\gamma(v) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\beta}^{-1}\Big] \cdot h_\gamma^{-1}\Big) \\ &= \rho\Big(h_\gamma \cdot \big[e_\alpha(u), e_\beta(v)\big] \cdot h_\gamma^{-1}\Big) \\ &= \rho\Big(h_\gamma \cdot e_\gamma\big(N_{\alpha\beta}(u,v)\big) \cdot h_\gamma^{-1}\Big) \\ &= \rho\Big(e_\gamma(uv)\Big) \\ &= \rho \circ e_\gamma(uv) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.** *$\mu_\rho(V \times V) \subseteq V$, and consequently $\mu_\rho(A \times A) \subseteq A$*

*Proof.* The first inclusion is immediate from Lemma 3. The second inclusion follows from this as $\mu_\rho$ is continuous and $V$ is dense in $A$. □

**Proposition 3.** *$A$ is a commutative unital algebraic ring under the two binary operations of matrix multiplication and $\mu_\rho$.*

*Proof.* Immediate from Lemma 3.2 from *Linear representations of Chevalley groups over commutative rings.* □

**Example 4.** Split special orthogonal group, where $h$ is needed INCOMPLETE

**Example 5.** Quasi-split special unitary group, where $h$ is needed INCOMPLETE

In the following definition, an algebraic $k$-group is a functor from the category of $k$-algebras to the category of groups. So if $G$ is an algebraic $k$-group, then $G$ is not literally a group, but $G(k)$ is a group, called the group of $k$-rational points. A morphism of algebraic groups is a natural transformation $G \to H$, which comes with literal group homomorphisms $G(R) \to H(R)$ for every $k$-algebra $R$.

**Definition 4.** Let $G$ be an isotropic[1] reductive algebraic $k$-group, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. Let $S \subseteq G$ be a maximal[2] $k$-split torus. A **character** of $S$ is a morphism of algebraic groups $S \to \mathbb{G}_m$. The set of characters is denoted $X(S)$. For $\alpha \in X(S)$, let

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(k) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}(k) : s \cdot X = \alpha(s)X, \forall s \in S(k)\}$$

For every $\alpha \in X(S)$, $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(k)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(k)$. If $k$ is algebraically closed and characteristic zero, then $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(k))$ is always zero or one, but for an arbitrary field $k$ it may have larger dimension. Let

$$d_\alpha = \dim_k(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(k))$$
$$V_\alpha = (\mathbb{G}_a)^{d_\alpha}$$

We may think of $V_\alpha(k)$ as a $k$-vector space with dimension $d_\alpha$. In fact, as a vector space, $V_\alpha(k) \cong \mathfrak{g}_\alpha(k)$, though we do not think of $V_\alpha(k)$ as being a subset of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(k)$ or having any kind of Lie algebra structure. When $k$ is algebraically closed and characteristic zero, $V_\alpha$ is just $\{0\}$ or $k$, but in general it may have larger dimension.

The **relative root system of $G$ with respect to $S$** is

$$\Phi = \Phi(G, S) = \{\alpha \in X(S) : \mathfrak{g}_\alpha(k) \neq 0\} = \{\alpha \in X(S) : d_\alpha > 0\}$$

The **Weyl group** of $(G, S)$ is $W = N_G(S)/Z_G(S) = N_G(S)/S$. Usually, the functorial perspective on $W$ is unnecessary and we just care about the group of $k$-points, $W(k) = N_{G(k)}(S(k))/S(k)$. Actually, most of the time we only care about the groups of $k$-points of all of this stuff.

**Definition 5.** Let $\Phi$ be a (possibly non-reduced) root system. For $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, let

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \{i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi : i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$$

**Lemma 4.** *Let $G, k^3, S, \Phi$ be as in Definition 4. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ so that $\gamma = \alpha + \beta \in \Phi$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are not proportional[4]. There exist*

- *Maps $e_\alpha : V_\alpha(k) \to G(k)$ aned $e_\beta : V_\beta(k) \to G(k)$*
- *For each element of $(\alpha, \beta)$ a function $e_{i\alpha+j\beta} : k \to G(k)$*

[1]Isotropic just means it contains a torus of some positive dimension.
[2]$S$ is maximal among $k$-split tori, not necessarily maximal among all tori
[3]Probably need to assume $k$ is characteristic zero, or at least not characteristic 2 or 3.
[4]A relative root system may not be reduced, and we do not want $\alpha = \beta$ even though $2\alpha$ may be a root.

- *For each element of $(\alpha, \beta)$ a function*[5] $N_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} : V_\alpha(k) \times V_\beta(k) \to V_{i\alpha+j\beta}(k)$

*such that for all $u \in V_\alpha(k)$ and $v \in V_\beta(k)$ we have*

$$\Big[e_\alpha(u), e_\beta(v)\Big] = \prod_{(\alpha,\beta)} e_{i\alpha+j\beta}\Big(N_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(u,v)\Big)$$

*Proof.* INCOMPLETE □

**Lemma 5.** *Let $G, k, S, \Phi$ be as in Definition 4. Let $\alpha, \gamma \in \Phi$ be roots of the same length. There exist*

- *An element*[6] $w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \in G(k)$
- *A function*[7] $\varphi_{\gamma\to\alpha} : V_\gamma(k) \to V_\alpha(k)$

*such that for all $u \in V_\alpha(k)$ we have*

$$w_{\gamma\to\alpha} \cdot e_\gamma(u) \cdot w_{\gamma\to\alpha}^{-1} = e_\alpha\Big(\varphi_{\gamma\to\alpha}(u)\Big)$$

*Proof.* INCOMPLETE □

**Lemma 6.** *Let $G, k, S, \Phi$ be as in Definition 4. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ so that $\gamma = \alpha + \beta \in \Phi$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are not proportional, and let $N_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ be the function from Lemma 4. For every $u \in V_\alpha(k)$ and $v \in V_\beta(k)$, there exists an element $h \in G(k)$ such that*

$$h_\gamma(u,v) \cdot \left(\prod_{(\alpha,\beta)} e_{i\alpha+j\beta}\big(N_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(u,v)\big)\right) \cdot h_\gamma(u,v)^{-1} = INCOMPLETE$$

*Proof.* I'm not even sure what the right hand side of the equation above is supposed to be INCOMPLETE □

[5] $N_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ should be homogeneous of degree $i$ in the first input and homogeneous of degree $j$ in the second input, but I am not sure if this is actually needed in any way for the construction.

[6] The element $w_{\gamma\to\alpha}$ should be in $N_{G(k)}(S(k))$ and satisfy $w^2 = 1$, but I am not sure if this is necessary to the calculation.

[7] I am pretty sure $\varphi_{\gamma\to\alpha}$ should be an isomorphism of vector spaces.