## ----- DATASET SELECTION AND SETUP -----

NOTE: The Logistic\_Regression\_Model.py script MUST be executed prior to running the Cellular\_Automata\_Model.py script.

If one wishes to execute the model over states that contain zero commercial wind farms (Louisiana, Mississippi Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, Kentucky), states that possess wind farms in only one grid cell at all but the highest spatial resolutions (Arkansas, Florida, Virginia, Delaware, Connecticut, New Jersey, Tennessee), or states at low spatial resolutions at which too many predictors were removed due to collinearity (Rhode Island at the 100th or 80th percentile), the Logistic\_Regression\_Model.py script must be executed for the CONUS.

Specified study region: Indiana

Specified wind farm density: 85 acres/MW

Specified wind power capacity: 100th percentile (525 MW)

The constraints and neighborhood effects from the previous model run have not been changed. A hexagonal neighborhood range of 3 grid cell(s) is thus retained, along with the following constraints:

['No wind farms within 2000 meters of an airport.', 'No wind farms within 15000 meters of a power plant.', 'No wind farms within 500 meters of a major road.', 'No wind farms more than 20000 meters from a major road.', 'No wind farms within 500 meters of a major transmission line.', 'No wind farms more than 20000 meters from a major transmission line.', 'No wind farms in grid cells with an average wind speed 80 meters above ground less than 3 meters per second.', 'Wind farm development is prohibited in grid cells shared by national parks.', 'Wind farm development is prohibited in grid cells shared by USFWS critical habitats.', 'Wind farm development is prohibited in grid cells shared by USFWS wildlife refuges.', 'Wind farm development is prohibited in grid cells shared by tribal land.']

The following predictor configurations were selected by the user: ['Full', 'No\_Wind']

The user specified a custom gained wind farm capacity of 2500 MW every 5 years, which based on model resolution (100th percentile, 525MW) translates to 5 new wind farms per model iteration.

----- SCENARIO CONSTRUCTION -----

The following are the scenarios selected by the user (see Model Instructions for scenario details): ['CLIMATE\_CHANGE', 'DEMOGRAPHIC\_CHANGES', 'SOCIOPOLITICAL\_LANDSCAPE', 'NATURAL\_AND\_CULTURAL\_PROTECTION']

------ MODEL PROJECTION: Null ------

Filepath to the constructed hexagonal grid map:

D:\Dissertation\_Resources\Model\_Testing\Constraints\_and\_Neighborhood\_Effects\Hexago n\_Grid\_85\_acres\_per\_MW\_100th\_percentile\_Indiana.gdb\Hexagon\_Grid\_85\_acres\_per\_MW\_100th\_percentile\_Indiana\_Constraints\_Neighborhoods

Coefficient changes under the selected scenario when applying the Full predictor configuration:

```
Predictors Coeff_Change_(%) ... Coeff_2045 Coeff_2050
 Avg Elevat
                     0 ... -0.523482 -0.523482
   Avg_Temp
                     10 ... -0.294820 -0.265338
1
2
                     10 ... 2.118074
   Avg_Wind
                                      2.329882
3
  Bat Count
                    -10 ... -0.130263 -0.143289
4
  Bird_Count
                    -10 ... -1.610006 -1.771007
                 -10 ... 0.241107 0.216996
5
   Critical
6
  Type_15_19
                      0 ... 0.793625 0.793625
7
  Historical
                  -10 ... -0.157192 -0.172912
8
                   0 ... 0.589572 0.589572
   Military
                   0 ... -1.431692 -1.431692
9
    Mining
10 Nat Parks
                    -10 ... -0.618871 -0.680758
   Near Air
                     0 ... 0.285588
                                     0.285588
11
12 Near Plant
                      0 ... 0.080833 0.080833
13 Near_Roads
                       0 ... 0.156328 0.156328
14 Near_Sch
                      0 ... -0.258780 -0.258780
15 Near_Trans
                      0 ... 0.523574 0.523574
16 Near_Hosp
                      0 ... 0.284254 0.284254
17 Fem_15_19
                      10 ... 0.006586 0.007245
18 Hisp_15_19
                      10 ... 0.529305 0.582236
19
     Avg 25
                    10 ... -0.069519 -0.062567
20 Whit_15_19
                     -10 ... 0.506229 0.455606
21 Dens 15 19
                       0 ... -0.034292 -0.034292
                     0 ... -0.324657 -0.324657
22 Plant Year
23 Dem_Wins
                     10 ... -0.285427 -0.256884
24 supp_2018
                      10 ...
                            1.457957
                                       1.603753
25 Prop_Rugg
                      0 ... -1.061243 -1.061243
26 Trib Land
                    -10 ... -0.175184 -0.192702
27 Unem_15_19
                       0 ... 0.498987 0.498987
28 Wild_Refug
                     -10 ... 0.413504 0.372153
29 Farm Year
                     0 ... -0.196794 -0.196794
```

[30 rows x 9 columns]

Predictors removed from the model based on having a constant value in all grid cells: None

Filepath to the constructed hexagonal grid map:

D:\Dissertation\_Resources\Model\_Testing\Constraints\_and\_Neighborhood\_Effects\Hexago n\_Grid\_85\_acres\_per\_MW\_100th\_percentile\_Indiana.gdb\Hexagon\_Grid\_85\_acres\_per\_M W\_100th\_percentile\_Indiana\_Constraints\_Neighborhoods

QADI table produced by comparing projections forced by the Null versus Full predictor configurations:

# Grid Cell Projections (Full Configuration)

### Grid Cell Projections (Null Configuration)

	NoFarm	Y(2025)	Y(2030)	Y(2035)	Y(2040)	Y(2045)	Y(2050)	Sum
NoFarm	357	0	1	1	2	2	1	364
Y(2025)	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
Y(2030)	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	5
Y(2035)	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	5
Y(2040)	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	5
Y(2045)	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5
Y(2050)	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	5
Sum	364	5	5	5	5	5	5	394

Quantity Disagreement: 0

Allocation Disagreement: 31

QADI Index: 0.079

Coefficient changes under the selected scenario when applying the No\_Wind predictor configuration:

Predictors Coeff\_Change\_(%) ... Coeff\_2045 Coeff\_2050

Avg Elevat 0 ... 0.074983 0.074983 1 Avg\_Temp 10 ... -0.320944 -0.288849 2 Bat Count -10 ... -0.255154 -0.280669 -10 ... -1.802695 -1.982965 3 Bird Count -10 ... 0.222165 0.199948 4 Critical Type\_15\_19 5 0 ... 0.674383 0.674383 Historical 6 -10 ... -0.235184 -0.258702 0... 0.601035 7 Military 0.601035 0 ... -1.223741 -1.223741 8 Mining

Nat Parks -10 ... -0.407217 -0.447939 9

10 Near Air 0 ... 0.256439 0.256439 11 Near Plant 0 ... 0.151401 0.151401

12 Near\_Roads 0 ... 0.112543 0.112543 13 Near\_Sch 0 ... -0.207303 -0.207303

14 Near\_Trans 0 ... 0.524056 0.524056

15 Near\_Hosp 0.442366 0.442366 0 ...

16 Fem\_15\_19 10 ... -0.026683 -0.024015

```
0.704906
17 Hisp_15_19
                      10 ...
                                       0.775397
18
     Avg_25
                    10 ... -0.101550 -0.091395
19 Whit_15_19
                     -10 ... 0.516311
                                       0.464680
20 Dens_15_19
                       0 ... -0.179760 -0.179760
21 Plant_Year
                      0 ... -0.320183 -0.320183
22
   Dem Wins
                      10 ... -0.264187 -0.237768
23 supp_2018
                      10 ... 1.826726
                                      2.009399
24 Prop_Rugg
                      0 ... -1.605261 -1.605261
25 Trib_Land
                    -10 ... -0.113987 -0.125385
26 Unem_15_19
                        0 ... 0.566459
                                        0.566459
27 Wild_Refug
                     -10 ... 0.138113 0.124301
28 Farm_Year
                      0 ... -0.175766 -0.175766
```

### [29 rows x 9 columns]

Predictors removed from the model based on having a constant value in all grid cells: None

Filepath to the constructed hexagonal grid map:

D:\Dissertation\_Resources\Model\_Testing\Constraints\_and\_Neighborhood\_Effects\Hexago n\_Grid\_85\_acres\_per\_MW\_100th\_percentile\_Indiana.gdb\Hexagon\_Grid\_85\_acres\_per\_MW\_100th\_percentile\_Indiana\_Constraints\_Neighborhoods

QADI table produced by comparing projections forced by the Null versus No Wind predictor configurations:

# Grid Cell Projections (Null Configuration)

	NoFarm	Y(2025)	Y(2030)	Y(2035)	Y(2040)	Y(2045)	Y(2050)	Sum
NoFarm	357	a	1	2	2	2	a	364
Y(2025)	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
Y(2030)	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	5
Y(2035)	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	5
Y(2040)	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	5
Y(2045)	2	a	a	1	a	1	1	5
Y(2050)	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
Sum	364	5	5	5	5	5	5	394

Quantity Disagreement: 0

Allocation Disagreement: 28

QADI Index: 0.071